



## **Solid Waste Management Program Local Enforcement Agency (LEA)**

### **Summary of Public Comments Received**

#### Verbal Comments & Questions

The following is the summary of the verbal comments and questions received at the Public Informational Meeting held on July 25, 2013 for Puente Hills Material Recovery Facility permit revision:

1. Specific questions/comments pertaining to the permit revision application:
  - a. What is the reason for extended hours?
    - i. Answered by Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County (SD): To provide hours convenient to the companies patronizing the MRF after Puente Hills Landfill closes at the end of October this year.
  - b. What would be a reason for LEA not to approve this project?
    - i. Answer by the LEA: Since the lead agency has approved the project (Addendum to FEIR), there is no reason for LEA to reject the application as long as the application is consistent with and supported by the Addendum to FEIR. Also, the CUP was modified to allow the extended hours.
  - c. Will permit revision approval result in more waste being transferred to the facility?
    - i. Answered by the LEA: The permit revision does not increase the permitted tons per day.
  - d. One person made a statement that operator should be more considerate of the residents/community by the facility and should not request for extended hours because the residents have had to deal with the facility for long time in the past.
  - e. Questions re appeals.
    - i. The LEA discussed the appeals process and applicable code sections were read and shown on two Powerpoint slides.
  - f. Questions were posed to Martin Perez regarding CalRecycle's approval process & a request was made for CalRecycle to mail notices of the upcoming webinar on the permit action.





## **Solid Waste Management Program Local Enforcement Agency (LEA)**

1. The LEA discussed the requirement for an Odor Management Plan and compliance with an AOMP, the requirements of Rule 410 and jurisdiction of South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD).
- vii. When the intermodal facility/waste-to-rail begins operation there will be a “co-mingling/linking” between the MRF and that facility. Does this permit action consider that linking? Will residents be notified when those changes happen?
1. The LEA and SD explained that CEQA was done for intermodal facility/waste-to-rail operations.
  2. The LEA explained that a separate permit will be required for intermodal facility/waste-to-rail operations. Notification of any permit action will be made (type of notification dependent on the type of action).