REQUEST FOR APPROVAL

To: Margo Reid Brown
Director

From: Mark Leary
Deputy Director

Request Date: June 3, 2010

Decision Subject: Covered Electronic Waste Recovery and Recycling Payment Rates

Action By: June 17, 2010

Summary of Request: The Electronic Waste Recycling Act of 2003 (Public Resources Code 42460, et seq) establishes a financing mechanism intended to develop an infrastructure to provide convenient recycling opportunities and reduce the inappropriate disposal of certain electronic products discarded in California.

Pursuant to PRC 42477 and 42478, CalRecycle has the responsibility to evaluate the standard statewide covered electronic waste (CEW) recovery and recycling payment rates every two years and to adjust them if warranted to reflect the average net costs of providing the CEW recovery and recycling services intended by the Act. CalRecycle must act now to determine whether any payment rate adjustments are necessary in order to meet the statutory date of July 1, 2010.

Recommendation: Based on industry cost data reported by participating collectors and recyclers, and taking into consideration that there will inevitably be market fluctuations in the future that impact costs and revenues, staff recommends that the standard statewide recovery payment rate specified in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, section 18660.33, and the standard statewide combined recovery and recycling payment rate specified in 14 CCR 18660.34, remain unchanged.

Director Action: On the basis of the information, analysis, and findings in this Request for Approval, I hereby approve the staff recommendation that the standard statewide recovery payment rate specified in 14 CCR 18660.33, and the standard statewide combined recovery and recycling payment rate specified in 14 CCR 18660.34 remain unchanged and, in doing so, fulfill CalRecycle's obligations pursuant to PRC 42477 and 42478.

Dated: 6/16/2010

Margo Reid Brown

Margo Reid Brown
Director

Attachments:

1. Background and Summary of Net Cost and Payment Rate Considerations
2. Detail and Context of 2009 Net Cost Report Data Analysis