
From: Ralph Vannucci <rvannucci2@earthlink.net>
Sent: Friday, August 09, 2013 11:43 AM
To: Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov; Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; respinosa@lacbos.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com; jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; bob@hendersonsinsure.com; cathywarner@earthlink.net; fdutrawcc@gmail.com; newcomer_cityhall@charter.net; v.shatynski@verizon.net
Subject: Savage Canyon Landfill permit revisions

August 9, 2013

Re :Savage Canyon Landfill permit revision/Proposed Increase of Truck Volume on Penn Street and City of Whittier

I was surprised and very disappointed to learn from my neighbor Valorie Shatynski about the proposal that would increase the volume of daily truck traffic going to and from the Savage Canyon Landfill. Since buying our home 27 years ago, traffic on Penn Street has gotten steadily worse. While the volume of trash truck traffic has remained about the same for the most part, other types of traffic have definitely increased. The use of Penn Park is up due to the advertisement of its charms through Social Media. The increased use of the Whittier College Gym and pool has resulted in the parking lot entrance off Canyon becoming the main entrance to those areas, so that the intersection of Canyon and Penn is much more active than it ever used to be. The college also uses the area of Penn Street in front of the gym as an off-loading site. On a regular basis, and at varying times of the day, there are delivery trucks of all sizes, vans, buses, you name it, parked (or sometimes double-parked) outside the gates. I don't see how adding up to 300 trash truck visits per day to this mix will be anything less than disastrous to this neighborhood and to the city of Whittier in general. The added noise, wear-and-tear on city streets, dirt, and pollution won't just stay contained here on Penn Street. After all, these trucks will have to travel through other parts of the city to get here. The brunt of the impact, however, will fall on Penn Street residents.

I understand that it was mentioned during the meeting that Ms. Shatynski attended that at least once before we had this kind of truck volume on Penn Street, and that no one complained. The fact that our city leaders seem to have known that this incident was complaint-worthy, and even expected us to complain about it is, to me, significant. But I will tell you what I remember about that previous excess of truck traffic. I for one didn't complain at the time, because I believed it was an anomaly. Although the City hadn't informed us ahead of time that this large volume of truck traffic was headed our way, I did know that Whittier Area Christian Church was in the middle of a major building project, and felt certain that what we were experiencing would not last very long. It was extremely unpleasant, not to mention dangerous, to have that many large trucks on our street, but it was one project, it would soon be completed, end of story. If I had thought it was going to be an everyday occurrence, I most certainly would have complained, as, I'm sure, would my neighbors. And here's why - during the weeks when the church was sending soil to the landfill, we had trucks (big, noisy, pollution-spewing trucks) parked down Penn Street from the entrance to the landfill (which is just opposite Penn Park) down to College Street. They had to wait, and wait, and wait their turn to

get into the landfill. What this tells me is that Savage Canyon Landfill ***cannot handle this amount of traffic***. I fail to see why our City leaders and everyone else involved in this decision doesn't also understand that simple fact.

Even discounting the other traffic that we get on Penn Street, which is much more than we were getting at the time of the church project, I don't see how the landfill can reasonably take in more trucks than it currently is accepting. This was the neighborhood's concern with the City Council's plan to send trucks up this street for the oil project. It is preposterous for anyone from the City departments to bring up the old issue of the WACC trucks, saying we didn't complain then, when they know full well that during the public comments period before the vote for the oil project, Penn Street residents were very vocal about not increasing daily truck traffic on this residential street. Please make sure to add conditions to the current landfill permit revision, including such conditions as weekly and monthly limits on the peak inert tonnage, number of trucks daily, types of trucks, and advance notice of non-average traffic activity.

Thank you.

Paula Vannucci

13846 Penn Street

Whittier, CA 90602

From: Brad Campbell <pennstreetfamily@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2013 10:47 AM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov; jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com; bob@hendersonsinsure.com; warner2200@aol.com; fdutrawcc@gmail.com; newcomer_cityhall@charter.net; respinosa@lacbos.org
Subject: Comments Regarding the Proposed Savage Canyon Landfill Permit Revision

Mayor Henderson, Pro Tem Warner, Council Members, City Staff, LEA Staff and CalRecycle Staff:

I am alarmed to hear that the City of Whittier would like to obtain permission to import 3,000 tons per day (TPD) of inert materials (i.e., soil), into the Savage Canyon Landfill.

Please be advised that I am opposed to the proposed increase, as is every single neighbor that I have spoken to regarding this proposed change in the operating limitations of the landfill.

As elected representatives (City of Whittier), you are no doubt acutely aware of the negative impacts visited upon several neighborhoods as a direct result of the dirt hauling that occurred during the soil removal phase of the recent church improvement and construction project.

The level of noise, pollution, vibration, general disturbance and the creation of hazardous traffic conditions was completely unacceptable.

To allow this level of impact on our neighborhoods, potentially on a DAILY AND UNLIMITED BASIS would be irresponsible at best.

I would like to point out that during the church construction project, as well as during this current request for change in the permitting of the landfill, the residents to be most directly impacted by activities at the landfill, were never officially noticed by the City of Whittier.

This, because we do not live within 300 feet of the landfill.

I believe that this shows a lack of transparency and inclusion on the part of the City of Whittier, as in actuality, there are in fact no residences within 300 feet of the landfill.

This fact does not negate the City of Whittier's obligation to properly notify potentially impacted residents and property owners so that we may participate in the process with our respective comments and concerns.

It must also be noted that the Whittier College Sports Complex expansion was approved by the City without CEQA review of impacts. The college now constantly leases out the sports facilities to local high schools and other groups and has become a type of *de facto* business. The main entrance that is used for access to the facilities has fallen on Penn and College Streets. This entrance is adjacent to the City's Penn Park and the landfill entrance, in a high density residential neighborhood.

To burden a high density residential neighborhood, one that is already impacted with short cut commuter traffic, Whittier College traffic, Penn Park traffic and landfill traffic and activities---with potentially an additional 200 to 600 semi-truck trips each day---would be contrary to common sense and logic, as well as the safe and responsible operation of the landfill.

It is interesting to note that the Savage Canyon Landfill was opened BEFORE CEQA was enacted by the State of California, and the City is using this "grandfather" condition to say that CEQA does not apply to this discussion.

In light of the various INDIVIDUAL IMPACTS listed above, it is critical that before a decision is reached to amend the landfill operating permit, that a CEQA review be conducted by the City of Whittier in order to better understand the CUMULATIVE IMPACTS that already exist and that will be exacerbated by the import of up to 3000 TPD of inert material into the Savage Canyon Landfill.

To reiterate, I am opposed to a permit revision that would allow such a large import of inert material on a daily basis and request a full CEQA review that would guide the decision making process prior to any permit revision.

Respectfully,

Brad Campbell
Whittier resident

From: Luna, Peggy <pluna@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2013 11:50 AM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; 'Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov';
'jcollier@cityofwhittier.org'; 'dpelser@cityofwhittier.org'; 'jvcc@bewleylaw.com';
'bob@hendersonsinsure.com'; 'cathywarner@earthlink.net'; 'fdutrawcc@gmail.com';
'newcomer_cityhall@charter.net'
Cc: 'Valorie (v.shatynski@verizon.net)'; 'Brad Campbell (pennstreetfamily@yahoo.com)'
Subject: Savage Canyon Landfill Permit Revision

Importance: High

To Whom it May Concern,

Americans make up approximately five percent of the world's population, produce almost a quarter of its garbage, much of which ends up in landfills and the ocean. EPA official figure—used by environmentalists, businesses, and policymakers—maintains that the average American rolls just over 4.3 pounds to the curb every day. (University of Columbia actually attributes about 7.1 pounds per day.) No matter what the actual amount of garbage is, about a third of that gets recycled and the rest going to landfills. For the City of Whittier, garbage goes to Savage Canyon Landfill that is currently permitted for 350 tons per day.

Recently I have discover that the City of Whittier is requesting a permit to allow 3,000 TONS per day of soil and asphalt in ADDITION to the already 350 tons per day. Wow, what do you anticipate is the future needs of our City? Obviously, this increase brings numerous challenges for our City and my personal neighborhood.

- **Increase of commercial vehicles through a residential neighborhood.** We are NOT a highway, but a neighborhood that faces many challenges mainly because our City does not consider the needs of this neighborhood. The City uses the excuse that our neighborhood doesn't complain. Well, I am complaining and yet the City does still not consider our needs. Will you please consider our residential needs? I thought the City was to represent all of its residents, but I found this is not the case.
- **Communication from the City.** The City is unable to provide REQUIRED notices to the neighborhoods most impacted by its current permit request. During the required public hearing of Matrix Oil the City's efforts, if you could call it that, sent a notice on a simple blank piece of white copy paper that looked like junk mail...but not all people even received that. How are we to give you our public comments with the City not wanting to hear from us? The only reason that I heard about this situation was due to concerns of conscientious Whittier citizen. Thank goodness we have people who have the public's concern in first position. Unfortunately, the City Council does not seem to as they continue not to value our neighborhood and impacts.
- **Parking Restrictions.** Penn Street has very restrictive parking to accommodate the current landfill traffic, will we be expected to not even be able to park along this street? Whittier College overflow parking for events flow out into our residential neighborhood.
- **Timing.** If our residential neighborhood is to accommodate 300 more trash trucks, what time will these vehicles being driving up and down Penn Street? Currently we have trash trucks around 7:00AM and ending sometime around 5:30PM. I take exception to think that my peace we currently have and the peace of our neighborhood has to be given up for all hours of the day and night to allow trash trucks to bring in 3,000 TONS of inerts (soil and asphalt).
- **Environmental Toxins.** In the United States, Lee and Jones (1991) assert that approximately 75 per cent of the estimated 75,000 sanitary landfills pollute adjacent groundwater with leachate. There is a strong need to protect groundwater from the effects of waste disposal, is this even a consideration with this permit request? What of the automotive exhaust that we must endure while the commercial trucking vehicles are idling on Penn Street waiting for their time to enter this landfill? The vehicles also take over the public parking for Penn Park while each driver waits. The City cannot control this issue now with the current permit usage, I certainly do not see that it can improve with 300 more trucks. What happened to Environmental Impact Reports (EIR)?

These are just a **FEW** of my concerns, I do have many, many more. Please be advise that I implore you to reconsider the proposed permit revisions for the landfill and the impact that it will have on the Penn Street neighborhood. Please do not issue this landfill permit to the City of Whittier and consider the impact of this neighborhood, people that live here and those enjoying Penn Park.

Sincerely,
Peggy Luna
13915 Penn Street
Whittier, CA 90602

From: Velasquez, Cynthia <Cynthia.Velasquez@adp.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2013 6:58 PM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov;
jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com;
bob@hendersonsinsure.com; warner2200@aol.com; fdutrawcc@gmail.com;
newcomer_cityhall@charter.net
Cc: Valorie Shatynski; Luna, Peggy
Subject: On behalf of Penn St residents

To: Council members, City staff, LEA staff and CalRecycle staff, Mayor and Pro Tem:
Re: LEA's July 24th public informational meeting

Please reconsider the proposed permit revisions for the landfill and the impact that it will have on the Penn street community. The impact in my opinion would be detrimental to the health of the Penn Street residents, Whittier college students and any visiting tourist that trek up to the park on a regular basis from the Radisson Hotel. I encourage **any one of you** to take a walk up Penn street during the morning or afternoon hours and see if you can make it to Penn Park without inhaling large amount of exhaust from the Trash Trucks and Whittier College Sports Complex traffic. It wasn't always this way....but things have mostly definitely changed.

Last time you made changes without my knowledge, you turned Penn Street into a TRUCK FWY. I was not aware of the Whittier church parking lot project until then it was too late. I work from home and was well aware truck traffic was non-stop, loud and FAST. As a result, my elderly neighbors made it habit to call me for traffic crossing interference whenever they wanted to get in their cars. I called the city over and over to complain, but it fell on deaf ears as the project was already in motion and nothing changed for months on end. And it's not only about the street crossing hazard/noise; it's also about property damage. The trash trucks are heavy and kick up debris in the street that damaged parked cars. And you want to do this over and over again for years to come. Not good.

And need I say anything about the Whittier Sports Complex? I'm sure the college has benefitted, as well as the city, but you **must know** it's at the expense of Penn street residents. Traffic and parking conditions are horrible when the college hosts events, and that is very often! The college takes **NO** responsibility for the visitors it brings into the neighborhood nor the congestion and trash that it creates. Recently I called the Policy Community line during the Whittier High School graduation ceremony as college visitors were fist fighting for a parking space in front of my home. The operator was less than helpful. In the meantime, I had to park 4 blocks away and carry my groceries all the way home while trying to avoid aggressive drivers that parked in the alley and blocked my garage access?! Not fun, but a common fact of life for Penn street residents.

The Penn Street community is a diverse collection of students and families with children, law abiding, and tax paying citizens of Whittier that come in all colors. We may not own million dollar homes, but our health and quality of life is just as important as the next Whittier resident. Based on your history of discounting Penn street residents, I'm sure you understand my fears that you may have already made up your mind. I'm aware that there are many renters on Penn and not enough engaged property owners and so you may have the impression that we don't care or don't feel the impact of your decisions, **but WE do** and **we need you** to stand up for us! Penn is a beautiful street that leads to a beautiful park, but the block is suffering from **too much traffic** these days. Please know Penn street traffic hasn't always been this dangerous nor does it have to be! And I'm confident that if you really look into the Penn street living conditions you too would agree that the residents cannot absorb another hit on our quality of life!

Please, I beg you to conduct an **EIR before you approve** the additional truck traffic or extend the landfill usage through 2050. Do the research so you **TRULY KNOW** the impact of your decisions on those you serve. Thank you for your consideration.

Ms. Cynthia Velasquez
13808 Penn Street
Whittier, CA 90602

This message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If the reader of the message is not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, notify the sender immediately by return email and delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: warner2200@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, July 28, 2013 8:25 PM
To: vshatynski@gmail.com; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov; jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylegal.com; bob@hendersonsinsure.com; Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; fdutrawcc@gmail.com; newcomer_cityhall@charter.net; taskforce@dpw.lacounty.gov; poda@dhs.co.la.ca.us; mikemohajer@yahoo.com; betseylandis@sprintmail.com; mmc clean@santa-clarita.com; MaryAnn@lutz-co.com
Cc: v.shatynski@verizon.net; mikes hatynski@gmail.com; pennstreetfamily@yahoo.com; rowena11511@gmail.com; rvannucci2@earthlink.net; yellowpieantiques@hotmail.com; emolmsted90602@yahoo.com; cynthia.velasquez@adp.com; cynvel@yahoo.com; terrymolina@earthlink.net; Jack.perez@inxintl.com; ronjohn1951@gmail.com; homeloanluna@yahoo.com; pluna@exchange.fullerton.edu; mshatynski@verizon.net; happycherylc@aol.com; marc.casado@martekpower.com; marc.casado@cooperindustries.com; mcasado1@verizon.net; wojat@sbcglobal.net
Subject: Re: Savage Canyon Landfill proposed permit revision COMMENTS

Valerie:

The email was sent to me under Cathy Warner, although we have the same last name (and I have done work for her at Tri Cities), we are not related, only in caring for what is best for Whittier.

I do promotional products and advertising specialties for some of the city departments here in Whittier., And, am active in the Whittier Chamber.

Jerry Warner - Promo Guy
www.kbbestbuys.com www.kbpromosearch.com
15th Largest Promo Company in the Country...

-----Original Message-----

From: Valorie Gmail <vshatynski@gmail.com>
To: David Pelser <dpelser@cityofwhittier.org>; Villalobos, Gerry <gvillalobos@ph.lacounty.gov>; Martin.Perez <Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov>; Jeff Collier <jcollier@cityofwhittier.org>; Joe Vinatieri <jvcc@bewleylegal.com>; Bob Henderson <bob@hendersonsinsure.com>; Cathy Warner <warner2200@aol.com>; dlugo <dlugo@ph.lacounty.gov>; gvillalobos <gvillalobos@ph.lacounty.gov>; Martin.Perez <Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov>; fdutrawcc <fdutrawcc@gmail.com>; newcomer_cityhall <newcomer_cityhall@charter.net>; taskforce <taskforce@dpw.lacounty.gov>; poda <poda@dhs.co.la.ca.us>; mikemohajer <mikemohajer@yahoo.com>; betseylandis <betseylandis@sprintmail.com>; mmc clean <mmc clean@santa-clarita.com>; MaryAnn <MaryAnn@lutz-co.com>
Cc: v.shatynski <v.shatynski@verizon.net>; mikes hatynski <mikes hatynski@gmail.com>; Brad Campbell <pennstreetfamily@yahoo.com>; Rowena Hernandez <rowena11511@gmail.com>; Paula and Ralph Vannucci <rvannucci2@earthlink.net>; Mary Holt <yellowpieantiques@hotmail.com>; Elaine Olmsted <emolmsted90602@yahoo.com>; Cynthia Velasquez <cynthia.velasquez@adp.com>; Cynthia Velasquez <cynvel@yahoo.com>; Teresa Molina <terrymolina@earthlink.net>; Jack Perez <Jack.perez@inxintl.com>; Ronald Johnson <ronjohn1951@gmail.com>; Roger Luna <homeloanluna@yahoo.com>; Peggy Luna <pluna@exchange.fullerton.edu>; mshatynski <mshatynski@verizon.net>; Cheryl Casado <happycherylc@aol.com>; Marc Casado <marc.casado@martekpower.com>; Marc Casado <marc.casado@cooperindustries.com>; Marc Casado <mcasado1@verizon.net>; Aurora and Jesse Lopez <wojat@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Sun, Jul 28, 2013 6:09 pm
Subject: Savage Canyon Landfill proposed permit revision COMMENTS

Good afternoon, Mayor Henderson, Pro Tem Warner, Council members, City staff, LEA staff and CalRecycle staff.

I attended the LEA's July 24th public informational meeting regarding the proposed permit revision for the Savage Canyon Landfill. It was extremely helpful to have this opportunity to hear what is going on and the planned updates for the landfill. The agenda is attached, along with those noticed. I did not receive the mailed notice, but did find out because Public Works Director, David Pelsler sent me an email.

I was alarmed to hear that the City wants to gain permission to import 3,000 tons per day (TPD) of inert materials (i.e., soil), while the permitted waste limit is 350 TPD. 3,000 tons per day is unacceptable through this high-density neighborhood. For comparison, 3,000 tons is roughly the equivalent of covering a football field with 12" of dirt. While there is a need for soil at the landfill to use as cover, there is no reason for the City to import this huge volume daily. See below - 3,000 tons per day would be 100 to 300 dump trucks (up and down Penn Street) depending on the size of the trucks. We fought to prevent this kind of hauling for the oil project, and this is no different. This could be 200-600 truck trips DAILY.

I have heard repeatedly, and again at the meeting by the LEA staff, that this should be OK because this volume of import has occurred in the past and no complaints were registered, specifically, related the dirt from the church project. I explained that most, if not all of the residents (including myself) are more than the legally required 300' notice requirement under CEQA, and so we never knew the City was approving that project. By the time the hauling started, we were powerless and had no voice.

Additionally, conditions have changed significantly over the years in respect to impacts of projects on the Penn Area neighborhood. The Whittier College Sports Complex expansion was approved by the City without CEQA review of impacts. The college now leases out the sports facilities to local high schools and other groups. The main entrance that is used for access to the facilities has fallen on Penn Street and College Street. This entrance is adjacent to the City's Penn Park and the landfill entrance, in a residential neighborhood. Safety has become an issue due to the increased traffic and uses, including during the hours the landfill is open and operating Monday – Saturday.

The cumulative impacts of the proposed daily import of inert materials, as well as the extension of the landfill operations from 2025 to 2050 and the increased activities for the Sports Complex, Penn Park, commuter traffic and other normal neighborhood uses must be considered with a full EIR. Historically, the City has been allowed to process these projects with either a Negative Declaration based upon an Initial Study, or in the case of the college project, with no CEQA compliance.

Again, this proposed change is totally unacceptable and City Council is urged to consider the policy of doing FULL Environmental Impact Report (EIR) that evaluates the CUMULATIVE impacts of this proposed change, as well as the impacts to our streets/neighborhood, quality of life/health and safety/environmental justice issues, etc., due to the expanded Whittier College Sports Complex traffic and uses, along with other public uses in our area (Penn Park, commuter traffic, the proposed oil project, etc.).

Key members of the County Task Force are addressed on this email, because the Countywide Siting Element Finding of Conformance dated March 22, 2012 for the landfill requires that changes in the ratio capacity to AADT should be negligible after calculating the number of trucks on the major and minor routes expected to service the facility. Additionally, the element requires that the project must protect the residents, water and air quality, and ensure safe transportation.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter and comments.

Regards,
Valorie Shatynski
President, Central Whittier Area Neighborhood Assn.
13726 Penn Street
Whittier, CA 90602
562-328-3421
vshatynski@gmail.com
or v.shatynski@verizon.net

From: Maria Villegas <mariavplas@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 6:01 AM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov;
jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com;
bob@hendersonsinsure.com; cathywarner@earthlink.net; fdutrawcc@gmail.com;
newcomer_cityhall@charter.net; respinosa@iacbos.org
Subject: Savage Canyon Landfill Permit Revision Commnets

To Whom it May Concern,

Please be advised that I am opposed to the revision of the Savage Canyon Landfill permit if it does not include conditions that protect the health and well being of city residents.

Please note the following:

1. Penn Street is already highly impacted by traffic from landfill operations, park users, college students and events and cut through commuter traffic. Any additional traffic would be an unacceptable burden.
2. The impact from additional truck traffic would negatively impact the health and well being of residents who live on Penn Street.
3. The additional truck traffic will impact all city residents with increased diesel particulate, noise and congestion as they travel our city streets to and from the landfill.

I would request that conditions be placed on the proposed import of inert material into the Savage Canyon Landfill, such conditions allowing the City to obtain the soil that it needs to effectively run the landfill, while protecting the health and well being of the residents of the City of Whittier.

The City is requesting to import 90% more soil on a DAILY basis, then they have HISTORICALLY imported over the life of the landfill.

That DAILY increase of 90% over the life of the landfill makes one wonder what they will do with all of the soil to be imported.

Will the City of Whittier stockpile the soil and sell it to other entities, thus increasing the amount of trash truck traffic to be imposed upon city residents?

Whittier College took that route when they upgraded their sports complex and then began to lease out their facilities on a daily basis to schools, athletic and other groups.

The upgrade to the sports complex was undertaken without a review and without the inclusion of any conditions and the result has been an ongoing and negative impact on the neighborhood.

We need conditions placed on the revised permit to prevent just such an occurrence with the Savage Canyon Landfill.

Thank you,

Maria Elena Villegas
Whittier Resident

From: Mike Shatynski <mikeshatynski@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2013 8:22 PM
To: bob@hendersonsinsure.com; cathywarner@earthlink.net; fdutrawcc@gmail.com; jvcc@bewleylaw.com; newcomer_cityhall@charter.net
Cc: admin@cityofwhittier.org; jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov; vshatynski@gmail.com
Subject: Comments Regarding Revised Permit for Savage Canyon Landfill

Mayor Henderson, Mayor Pro Tem Warner, Council Members Vinatieri, Dutra, and Newcomber;

Thank you for listening to my comments regarding the revised permit for Savage Canyon Landfill during public comments at the 8/13/13 City Council meeting. This email contains my specific recommendations for permit conditions regarding inert waste that align with other landfills in our region and will protect our City and citizens as well as help ensure the long term financial viability of one of our City's greatest resources. Some of these requested conditions comply with regulations already in place and a few are typical of more stringent requirements required at the discretion of each jurisdiction in consideration of their circumstances. (For example, according to CalEPA's Air Resources Board, regulations are in place restricting idling commercial vehicles to less than 5 minutes and fines are \$300 to \$1000. Similarly, the ARB treats fugitive dust same as any other air pollutant)) I request that you direct City staff to include these or similar conditions in the revised permit rather than the unconditioned 3000 ton per day limit on inerts. In my comments, I pointed out that Puente Hills Landfill is closing in 3 months and this will be a real game-changer for disposal and fees in the our region. I have included a some interesting facts that should be considered in developing a business plan for the Savage Canyon Landfill that makes sense for the City and citizens especially regarding inert waste. Thanks for your prompt consideration in this matter since the permit revision must be submitted by the LEA to CalRecycle at the end of August.

Thank you for all you do for our City!
Mike Shatynski

Cc: Jeff Collier, Dave Pelser, Dee Lugo LEA, Martin Perez CalRecycle

Proposed Permit Conditions for Savage Canyon Landfill regarding inert waste:

- Inerts including dirt, asphalt, and concrete will be taken only from 9 am to 2 pm on Monday through Friday. No inerts will be taken on Saturday.
- Inerts must be hauled using double-axle dually dump trucks or smaller dump trucks. No dump trailers are allowed. No 10 wheeler, Super 10, end dump or bottom dump trucks are allowed.
- Contaminated soil is not accepted at the Savage Canyon landfill. Loads of inerts are subject to inspection by landfill staff to ensure only acceptable inert material is contained. Certification of clean soil is required.
- Fugitive (nuisance) dust is unacceptable. Loads must be covered while transporting within City of Whittier. Complaints by citizens of uncovered loads and fugitive dust can be made on the City's website with the following posting: "How do I register an air quality complaint? Any injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance occurring as a result of air contaminants or other materials including, but not limited to, smoke, dust, or odors. Call 1-800-CUT-SMOG (1-800-288-7664).
- No idling of diesel engines while waiting in or around the landfill.
- Downhills speeds on single-lane residential streets in City of Whittier are limited to 15 miles per hour.
- Inerts are limited to 400 tons per day. (SPECIAL NOTE: This still means 1 trip every 3-4 minutes on Penn Street during acceptable hours!)
- Inerts are limited to 8,667 tons per month. (This is an average of 400 TPD for 5 days a week.)
- Residents along landfill access roads must be informed when inert waste is planned to be taken at the peak limit for more than a 1-day period. This should be done by notifying CWANA (Central Whittier Area

Neighborhood Association) prior to the activity so CWANA can notify residents to use care exiting/entering driveways, walking across streets, etc.

-Residents in the landfill neighborhood will be provided with a means to quickly and effectively contact City staff for quick resolution of safety concerns and other landfill issues.

Interesting facts regarding disposal and fees (AKA revenue generating opportunities for Savage Canyon Landfill):

Puente Hills Landfill is closing permanently on November 1, 2013

Puente Hills Landfill currently takes 11,700 tons per day of clean dirt for FREE since dirt is needed for daily cover and closure cap.

Puente Hills Landfill also takes another 5500 tons per day in clean uncontaminated asphalt and green waste debris at \$10 to \$23.97 per ton.

All transfer stations charge the same fee for inerts as for municipal solid waste. All other landfills in our region charge for dirt and **current costs for disposal of inert waste** are:

Scholl Canyon Landfill (Glendale) – Clean dirt \$4.00 per ton, clean green waste \$26.46 per ton.

Chiquita Canyon Landfill (Castaic) – Clean dirt/concrete/asphalt - \$24.00 per ton, clean green waste \$27.00 per ton

Sunshine Canyon Landfill (Sylmar) – All waste including inert \$59.88 per ton, clean green waste \$42.00 per ton

Calabasas Landfill (Calabasas) – Clean asphalt \$5.50 per ton, clean green waste \$25.50 per ton

Lancaster Landfill (Lancaster) – Clean dirt \$10.55 per ton, clean asphalt/concrete \$25.32 per ton, green waste \$37.99 per ton

Antelope Valley Disposal Facility (Palmdale) – Clean dirt \$53.81 per ton, clean asphalt/concrete \$25.32 per ton, green waste \$37.99 per ton

El Sobrante Landfill (Corona) – Clean dirt/concrete asphalt \$35.80 per ton, green waste \$45.80 per ton

Lamb Canyon Landfill (Moreno Valley) - Clean dirt/concrete asphalt \$35.80 per ton, green waste \$45.80 per ton

Olinda Alpha Landfill (Brea) – All waste including inert \$56.05 per ton

Prima Deschecha Landfill (San Juan Capistrano) – Clean asphalt FREE, all other waste including inert \$56.05 per ton

Bowerman Landfill (Irvine) – All waste including inert \$56.05 per ton

Penalties for uncovered loads at landfills in our region include:

Puente Hills Landfill - \$4.40 per ton surcharge

Sunshine Canyon Landfill - \$117.50 per untarped load

Scholl Canyon Landfill - \$5.00 per ton surcharge

Lancaster Landfill - \$25 per uncovered load

El Sobrante Landfill - \$10 per uncovered load

From: Valorie Shatynski <v.shatynski@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2013 11:33 AM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov
Cc: Jeff Collier; David Pelser; Brad Campbell; Mary Holt; Laura & Jason & Leah Prelesnik; Paula and Ralph Vannucci; Paul Hernandez; Elaine Olmsted; Teresa Molina; mikeshatynski@gmail.com; mshatynski@verizon.net
Subject: Public Comment at City of Whittier Council meeting 8/13/13
Attachments: Mike's talk to City Council AUG 13'2013.docx; Valorie Council 8-13-13.docx

Good morning, two of us spoke at the City Council meeting last night during the three minute comment period. The notes from both are submitted to you, as we understand only written comments will be accepted.

Mike Shatynski:

Good evening Mayor Henderson & council members. My name is Mike Shatynski and I live at 13726 Penn Street. I have a Master's Degree in Civil Engineering and am a licensed engineer in the State of California. I work for the Sanitation Districts who operate the Puente Hills landfill.

I am here to ask that the Council intervene to put conditions in the new Savage Canyon landfill permit to protect residents and ensure that the landfill operates for its full life to the benefit of the citizens of Whittier.

I am shocked to hear that the only condition proposed by City staff is 3000 TPD of inerts including concrete, asphalt & dirt. 3000 TPD of inerts means that, every 45 seconds, a double axle dually dump truck travels Penn Street and other streets of our city. These are diesel trucks spewing particulate and carcinogen, not clean burning natural gas trash trucks. The landfill needs no more than 400 TPD of dirt to cover trash and close the landfill. Every landfill in LA County has numerous conditions regarding traffic to protect its neighbors. I ask that you put conditions in the Savage Canyon landfill permit such as limiting hours for dirt from 9 am to 2 pm to avoid school children and commuters. Put conditions limiting the types of dump trucks and size of loads and ensure the loads are covered. Put conditions limiting downhill speeds to 15 mph and no idling of diesel engines while waiting in line. Put conditions limiting the landfill to 500 TPD of inerts and make it primarily clean dirt needed for landfill operations and not other space-filling waste like concrete and asphalt debris.

It is important for you to consider that Puente Hills landfill is closing in 3 months and 10,000 TPD of clean dirt taken by that landfill must go somewhere. Put a business plan in place at Savage Canyon landfill to take clean dirt so that haulers from outside Whittier bring in the dirt needed to operate and we avoid the ongoing crisis management of huge peaks and valleys of hauling huge tonnages of dirt in short timeframes.

I congratulate David Pelser's contracts with haulers from outside Whittier to ensure our landfill takes 350 TPD of trash and the city profits despite the economic downturn. Let's do something similar for inerts. At 350 TPD of trash and 400 TPD of clean dirt, the landfill will stay open until 2055. On a cautionary note, at 3000 TPD of inerts, the landfill will close in less than 10 years. We must avoid taking too much dirt, concrete, & asphalt debris and permit conditions should ensure that doesn't happen either.

You put in scores of conditions to protect citizens from hazards from the mineral extraction process including traffic. I ask you to put appropriate conditions in place to operate our landfill with a responsible business plan and to protect your citizens.

I will follow up my brief talk with an email with proposed conditions in writing for your and the City staff's consideration. This issue must be resolved this month so I ask for timely action. Thanks for all you do for the City and citizens. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Valorie Shatynski

Good evening. I am Valorie Shatynski, a resident on Penn Street.

However, I am here to request limits and conditions on inert imports to the Savage Canyon Landfill.

During the Public Information meeting held by the Local Enforcement Agency on July 24th for this issue, our public works Director, Mr. Pelsler, stated that adding limits and conditions **are the best solution**, and he advised this is a policy issue that we should bring directly to you, our City Council.

His support of adding conditions was based in his explanation that the 3,000 t/d is a proposed maximum daily amount **“with no intention by the City for that to be a regular occurrence.”**

This statement is supported by the City's records show that "peaks or spikes" of inert imports of this magnitude have occurred only a handful of times since the landfill opened 37 years ago, including the church project in 2009.

As a PRACTICAL MATTER, the landfill can only use an average of 400 tons per day of inert materials

With no conditions or limits, there may be less reasonable operators at the City's helm during the future 40 years (because the landfill is projected to close in 2048) to protect our assets (streets/infrastructure, quality of life for City's citizen taxpayers, businesses, and homeowners).

Furthermore, this is an Environmental Justice issue for our predominately disadvantaged Latino neighborhood, which has been the recipient of cumulative neighborhood impacts, such as the oil project.

In my meeting with the LEA, they provided typical conditions used by jurisdictions, which concur with those during my 16 years as a landfill consultant and operator.

In addition to conditions my husband noted, others should include advance notice to residents and businesses on the final collector streets on "SPIKE/PEAK" import days, and established methods for the city to address safety concerns and issues raised by citizens. . Thank you

From: ladapaul@netscape.net
Sent: Wednesday, August 07, 2013 2:33 PM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov; jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com; bob@hendersonsinsure.com; cathywarner@earthlink.net; fdutrawcc@gmail.com; newcommer_cityhall@charter.net; respinosa@lacbos.org
Cc: v.shatynski@verizon.net; rowena11511@gmail.com
Subject: CITY OF WHITTIER PROPOSED SAVAGE CANYON LANDFILL PERMIT REVISION

Good Afternoon,

As a City of Whittier resident and Penn Street homeowner, It is with great concern that I write this letter to you in regards to the City of Whittier's proposed revision to its landfill permit. At no time, has the City Council made any attempt to personally inform me or any other Penn Street resident of their intention. You would think that the likely negative impact on our lives that 3000 tons of soil (inert materials) daily including 300 additional garbage truck trips daily would cause would warrant the concern of our City Council. Obviously, the City hoped to have this permit granted with minimal resident knowledge thus limiting any pushback. The City Council is well aware that Penn Street residents currently deal with excessive traffic due to truck/landfill vehicles, Whittier College students and school activities (football games, campus parties etc.) along with traffic from visitors of Penn Park. The constant noise pollution of vehicles most notably rumbling trash trucks through our neighborhood emitting diesel exhaust, kicking up dust and leaving trash behind is a detriment to our health and quality of life. To grant this permit will only exacerbate the problems we currently face and quite possibly could add a negative financial element if home values are affected by this action.

I urge you to demand the City of Whittier to add conditions to the current proposed landfill permit revision including a full environmental impact study followed by a detailed findings report. The City has an obligation to its residents to address fully the impact of health, safety, quality of life and home values before moving forward on this proposed permit revision.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Paul Hernandez
13722 Penn St.
Whittier, CA 90602
(213) 434.9705

From: HappycherylC@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, August 04, 2013 1:43 PM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov; jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com; bob@hendersonsinsure.com; warner2200@aol.com; fdutrawcc@gmail.com; newcomer_cityhall@charter.net
Subject: Penn Street truck use

I live on Penn Street and I thought we had already discussed this and brought this up with the city and appropriate people managing and pushing this oil drilling.

100-300 very heavy dump trucks of soil on a residential road every day is not a good thing and we don't like it and don't want it. I thought we made ourselves very clear. Very heavy dump trucks cause the road to rumble as it makes its way to the landfill.

This small residential road has enough congestion with the college traffic and all its events and the park with all its parties people have in it every weekend. There is a lot of foot traffic from the college and foot traffic from the park, daily. People walking their dogs, People strolling with babies in strollers and walking with their kids. My point, Penn Street has heavy pedestrian traffic daily.

Directing an onslaught of additional truck traffic is an assault on Penn Street. What part of sending a caravan of trucks in addition to what we already have to deal with on a residential street that has heavy pedestrian traffic that you could possibly think is acceptable. I am begging you to rethink this very bad plan of yours.

This extra traffic should be on Colima. Now that is a commercial built road. Penn Street should be off limits in regards to what happens to this oil drilling and land fill issue.

I ask the City of Whittier to set into motion some weight limit and make the trucks after a certain weight to enter & exit on Colima. In regards to all these extra oil drilling and 100-300 dump trucks they should use Colima. After all, with Colima already being a commercial roadway they probably won't even notice. The traffic there is already heavily used.

Please reconsider and move all this extra traffic to Colima.

Cheryl Casado
13807 Penn Street
Whittier, CA 90602

From: Teresa Molina <terrymolina@earthlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2013 1:55 PM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo
Subject: Re: LEA's July 24th public informational meeting, SAVAGE CANYON LANDFILL PERMIT REVISION

Re: LEA's July 24th public informational meeting, SAVAGE CANYON LANDFILL PERMIT REVISION

Hello,

My name is Teresa Molina and I live at 7291 Canyon Crest Rd., Whittier. I was just informed by a resident on Penn street that the city wants a permit to haul up to 3,000 tons of soil (inert materials) per day to the landfill. I understand that some dirt is required to cover the waste but 3,000 tons daily for a week/weeks is not acceptable.

I have not received any formal letters regarding this matter!!!! Is this normal, to not include the residents within 500 yards of the landfill?

Also, I want to inform you that I never received notice for the go ahead was to bring up all that soil and materials from when the Church was being built (2009). The 2009 import of soil was up to 45,000 tons in one month!!! We have been told that there were more than 3,000 tons per day during that time, which was an unacceptable burden on this high-density neighborhood, and is an environmental justice issue. Time in and time out the city keeps hiding behind the fact that nobody says anything about the previous time when Penn street gets over utilized due to the landfill access but that is because we are never properly informed. It's always after the fact. That last time Penn street was over utilized with dump trucks my children were almost hit by a dump truck walking to the park. In addition, the noise level could be heard at my home. The health, safety and quality of life for residents on Penn Street & Canyon Crest need to be protected by the City. The cumulative impacts of this proposed hauling, along with the expanded Whittier College Sports Complex and other uses proposed (oil traffic, Penn Park and commuter traffic), must be assessed and reduced appropriately by the City through a full environmental impact report analysis to protect the people on Penn Street. The inert materials must be limited to maximums weekly, monthly, annually, based on averages over time, related to true needs calculated for weekly cover needs, not based on historic intermittent spikes in imports as dirt projects became available. While opportunistic in nature, the import activity MUST be reasonable and protect this neighborhood, IN CONSIDERATION and conjunction with all other current and proposed uses, which have NEVER been analyzed in a transparent matter by the City.

Teresa Molina
7291 Canyon Crest Rd
Whittier

From: LMK <laura.krueger@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 8:16 AM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov;
jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com;
bob@hendersonsinsure.com; cathywarner@earthlink.net; fdutrawcc@gmail.com;
newcomer_cityhall@charter.net; respinosa@lacbos.org; v.shatynski@verizon.net
Cc: Jason Prelesnik
Subject: CITY OF WHITTIER PROPOSED SAVAGE CANYON LANDFILL PERMIT REVISION

Good Morning -

Can you imagine 300 garbage truck trips a day driving by your home? Do you think you would notice?

As a City of Whittier resident, Penn Street homeowner, and mother of young children, It is with great concern that I write this letter to you in regards to the City of Whittier's proposed revision to its landfill permit. At no time, has the City Council made any attempt to personally inform me or any other Penn Street resident of their intention. You would think that the likely negative impact on our lives that 3000 tons of soil (inert materials) daily including 300 additional garbage truck trips daily would cause would warrant the concern of our City Council. Obviously, the City hoped to have this permit granted with minimal resident knowledge thus limiting any pushback. The City Council is well aware that Penn Street residents currently deal with excessive traffic due to truck/landfill vehicles, Whittier College students and school activities (football games, campus parties etc.) along with traffic from visitors of Penn Park. The constant noise pollution of vehicles most notably rumbling trash trucks through our neighborhood emitting diesel exhaust, kicking up dust and leaving trash behind is a detriment to our health and quality of life. To grant this permit will only exacerbate the problems we currently face and quite possibly could add a negative financial element if home values are affected by this action.

I urge you to demand the City of Whittier to add conditions to the current proposed landfill permit revision including a full environmental impact study followed by a detailed findings report. The City has an obligation to its residents to address fully the impact of health, safety, quality of life and home values before moving forward on this proposed permit revision.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Laura & Jason Prelesnik

13802 Penn St

Whittier CA 90602

From: Jason Prelesnik <preles@me.com>
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2013 11:15 AM
To: Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov;
jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com;
bob@hendersonsinsure.com; warner2200@aol.com; fdutrawcc@gmail.com;
newcomer_cityhall@charter.net
Subject: Landfill

Hello,

My name is Jason Prelesnik and I live at 13802 Penn Street. I just was informed today by another resident on Penn street that the city wants to haul 3,000 tons of soil per day up to the landfill. I understand that some dirt is required to cover the waste but 3,000 tons daily for a week/weeks is not acceptable. I never received any formal letters regarding this matter. Also I want to point out I never received any letters when the go ahead was made to bring up all that soil and materials from when the Church was being built. Time in and time out the city keeps hiding behind the fact that nobody says anything about the previous time when Penn street gets over utilized due to the landfill access but that is because we are never properly informed. It's always after the fact. That last time Penn street was over utilized with dump trucks my children could not play out in the front yard. The noise level was so bad it woke up my infant every morning.

My house sustained multiple strees fractures which cause me numerous repairs that cost money and time.

The residents on Penn Street need to know how this extra traffic will affect them. I strongly urge the city to to a full environmental impact report so the people on Penn Street can be properly informed.

Thank you for your time.

Jason Prelesnik

From: Roger Luna <homeloanluna@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2013 6:48 PM
To: jvcc@bewleylaw.com; bob@hendersonsinsure.com; cathywarner@earthlink.net; fdutrawcc@gmail.com; newcomer_cityhall@charter.net; Dorcas Hanson-Lugo; Gerardo Villalobos; Martin.Perez@CalRecycle.ca.gov; jcollier@cityofwhittier.org; dpelser@cityofwhittier.org; jvcc@bewleylaw.com; bob@hendersonsinsure.com; cathywarner@earthlink.net; fdutrawcc@gmail.com; newcomer_cityhall@charter.net
Subject: Penn Street

Hello Ladies and Gentlemen,

I am Roger Luna and I reside at 13915 Penn Penn Street in the city of Whittier. I have been recently informed by another resident on Penn street that the city wants a permit to haul up to 3,000 tons of soil (inert materials) per day to the landfill. I understand that some dirt is required to cover the waste but 3,000 tons daily for a week/weeks is not acceptable. I never received any formal letters regarding this matter. Also I want to point out I never received any letters when the go ahead was made to bring up all that soil and materials from when the Church was being built (2009). The 2009 import of soil was up to 45,000 tons in one month!!! We have been told that there were more than 3,000 tons per day during that time, which was an unacceptable burden on this high-density neighborhood, and is an environmental justice issue. Time in and time out the city keeps hiding behind the fact that nobody says anything about the previous time when Penn street gets over utilized due to the landfill access but that is because we are never properly informed. It's always after the fact. That last time Penn street was over utilized with dump trucks my children could not play out in the front yard. The noise level was so bad it woke up my infant every morning. My house sustained multiple stress fractures which has caused the need for numerous costly repairs. The health, safety and quality of life for residents on Penn Street need to be protected by the City. The cumulative impacts of this proposed hauling, along with the expanded Whittier College Sports Complex and other uses proposed (oil traffic, Penn Park and commuter traffic), must be assessed and reduced appropriately by the City through a full environmental impact report analysis to protect the people on Penn Street. The inert materials must be limited to maximums weekly, monthly, annually, based on averages over time, related to true needs calculated for weekly cover needs, not based on historic intermittent spikes in imports as dirt projects became available. While opportunistic in nature, the import activity MUST be reasonable and protect this neighborhood, IN CONSIDERATION and conjunction with all other current and proposed uses, which have NEVER been analyzed in a transparent matter by the City.