



Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

APPEARANCES

MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, CHAIRMAN  
MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, MEMBER  
MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL  
MS. KATHY MARSH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

I N D E X

PAGE\_NO.

---

CALL TO ORDER & EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS	7
ITEM 1: REPORT FROM DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION.	10
ITEM 2: REPORT ON WASTE PREVENTION ACTIVITIES OF THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.	16
ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA:	22
ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF UNION CITY, ALAMEDA COUNTY.	
ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF PLEASANT HILL, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY.	
ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SAN RAMON, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY.	
ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MCFARLAND, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY.	
ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.	
ITEM 13: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MAYWOOD, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.	

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 14: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF  
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR AND  
PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE  
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF  
WEST COVINA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 18: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF  
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL  
FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF AUBURN, PLACER  
COUNTY.

ITEM 19: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF  
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL  
FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF COLFAX, PLACER  
COUNTY.

ITEM 20: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF  
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR FOR THE  
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING  
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO  
COUNTY.

ITEM 21: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF  
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY  
CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND  
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR UNINCORPORATED SAN  
BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 24: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF  
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR TONNAGE  
FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND  
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SAN  
BUENAVENTURA, VENTURA COUNTY.

ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON  
THE PETITION TO REDUCE THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE  
COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND SUMMARY PLAN FOR  
ALPINE COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	22
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	24
ACTION	25

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE DEL NORTE REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT.

STAFF PRESENTATION	25
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	29, 34
DISCUSSION	31, 35
ACTION	34

ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE TIME EXTENSION FOR MEETING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1989 FOR THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE, LASSEN COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	39
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	46

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE, LASSEN COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	39
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	43
ACTION	45

ITEM 15: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PETITION FOR REDUCTION OF THE 2000 GOAL FOR THE CITY OF GONZALES, MONTEREY COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	46
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	48

ITEM 16: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PETITION FOR REDUCTION OF THE 2000 GOAL FOR THE CITY OF GREENFIELD, MONTEREY COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	48
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	50

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 17: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND  
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF GREENFIELD,  
MONTEREY COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	50
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	51

ITEM 22: (PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF STAFF  
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE YEAR  
GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED  
SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE  
CITY OF LOS ALTOS, SANTA CLARA COUNTY.

ITEM 23: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS  
TO CHANGE THE BASE YEAR FROM 1990 TO 1995 FOR THE  
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING  
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF OXNARD, VENTURA COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	52
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	
ACTION	53

ITEM 25: CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL  
ASSISTANCE PLAN.

STAFF PRESENTATION	57
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	54, 63
ACTION	67

ITEM 26: CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED WINNERS OF  
THE 1997 WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM.

STAFF PRESENTATION	69
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
DISCUSSION	72, 76
ACTION	83

ITEM 27: OPEN DISCUSSION 83

ITEM 28: ADJOURNMENT 83

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 20, 1997

2 9:30 A.M.

3

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD MORNING. THIS IS  
5 THE MEETING OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING  
6 COMMITTEE OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT  
7 BOARD. LET'S BEGIN BY CALLING THE ROLL, PLEASE.

8 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

9 MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.

10 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

11 MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.

12 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HERE.

14 BEFORE WE BEGIN, ONE NOTE OF  
15 HOUSEKEEPING. ITEM 22 HAS BEEN PULLED FROM THE  
16 AGENDA, AND I THINK THIS WAS NOTED ON THE AGENDA,  
17 BUT I'M JUST POINTING THAT OUT FOR ANYONE WHO  
18 HASN'T NOTICED IT.

19 I HAVE SOME WRITTEN EX PARTES TO  
20 ENTER INTO THE RECORD. WE RECEIVED ON THE LOCAL  
21 ASSISTANCE PLAN FOUR LETTERS WHICH I WANTED TO  
22 ENTER INTO THE RECORD. ONE'S FROM JOHN PINCHES  
23 (PHONETIC) OF MENDOCINO COUNTY SUPERVISOR. THAT'S  
24 ITEM 25. KEN WELLS OF SONOMA COUNTY, ITEM 25;  
25 JERRY MELLO, CITY OF FORT BRAGG, ITEM 25; AND JEFF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WONG, CITY OF LANCASTER ON OBVIOUSLY THE LOCAL  
2 ASSISTANCE PLAN. AND WE ALSO RECEIVED A LETTER  
3 FROM -- SIGNED BY GERALD LEE PALMER OF BCP  
4 ASSOCIATES REGARDING THE BASE YEAR NUMBERS,  
5 ESSENTIALLY OBJECTING ACROSS THE BOARD TO OUR  
6 PROCESS OF ALLOWING ADJUSTMENTS.

7 AND I JUST WANTED TO SORT OF BRIEFLY  
8 RESPOND TO THAT AND SAY THAT THE IMPLICATION HERE  
9 IS THAT IT'S A FORM OF RELIEF, THAT IT SOMEHOW  
10 REDUCES THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THOSE JURISDICTIONS.  
11 THAT'S NOT THE INTENT OF ADJUSTING THE BASE-YEAR  
12 NUMBERS. THE INTENT IS CLEARLY TO TRY TO BE AS  
13 ACCURATE AS WE POSSIBLY CAN AND HAVE CREDIBLE  
14 NUMBERS, NOT LET THE JURISDICTIONS OFF THE HOOK.  
15 THAT'S NOT WHAT THAT'S ABOUT. WE DO HAVE A NUMBER  
16 OF THOSE ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, BUT I DID WANT TO  
17 ENTER THAT LETTER INTO THE RECORD. AND I ASSUME  
18 STAFF HAS GOTTEN A COPY OF IT. IF YOU HAVEN'T,  
19 WE'LL BE HAPPY TO SUPPLY YOU WITH ONE.

20 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'M NOT SURE IF WE HAVE  
21 ALL THE LETTERS ON THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLANS.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE'LL MAKE SURE THAT  
23 THOSE ALL GET DELIVERED IF YOU DON'T HAVE THEM. I  
24 WAS ACTUALLY, BEFORE I SAW THIS LETTER THIS  
25 MORNING, GOING TO TOUT THE FACT THAT WE HAD THESE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 NUMBER OF BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENTS ON THE AGENDA  
2 TODAY. I THINK OF IT IN A POSITIVE LIGHT. I  
3 THINK IT'S SHOWING THAT, AT LEAST FOR A NUMBER OF  
4 JURISDICTIONS IN THE STATE, THE PROCESS THAT THE  
5 BOARD ADOPTED IS WORKING. AND I THINK -- I DON'T  
6 THINK IT'S BEEN PROVED TO BE PERFECT. I THINK  
7 THERE'S STILL A LOT OF PROBLEMS OUT THERE, BUT  
8 IT'S CERTAINLY, FOR THOSE JURISDICTIONS WHO HAVE  
9 UNDERTAKEN TO UTILIZE THE PROCESS, SEEMS TO BE  
10 RESULTING IN US SOLVING SOME PROBLEMS HERE. AND  
11 WE'VE GOT A NUMBER OF THOSE ON THE AGENDA TODAY,  
12 SO JUST WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT AND POINT IT  
13 OUT.

14 ARE THERE OTHER EX PARTES?

15 MEMBER GOTCH: NO. I THINK THE ONLY ONE  
16 THAT I HAD RECEIVED THAT HAD NOTED MR. FRAZEE'S  
17 NAME AND MINE WAS THE ONE FROM GERALD LEE PALMER,  
18 BCP ASSOCIATES.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WE'VE ALL BEEN  
20 ADEQUATELY EX PARTE-IZED. NEW VERB. ACTUALLY  
21 IT'S NOT THAT NEW. I THINK I TOLD THAT JOKE  
22 BEFORE.

23 AGENDA ITEM 1 IS THE ORAL REPORT BY  
24 JUDY FRIEDMAN FOR THE DIVERSION, PLANNING AND  
25 LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION OF THE BOARD.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN  
2 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THIS IS AN UPDATE  
3 ON SOME OF THE MAJOR ACTIVITIES OF THE DIVERSION,  
4 PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION. FIRST,  
5 LOCAL PLANS, AND WE HAVE ELEMENTS OF 20 JURISDIC-  
6 TIONS ON TODAY'S AGENDA, AND THIS REPRESENTS 4  
7 SRRE'S, 3 HHWE'S, AND 5 NDFE'S.

8 OVER THE LAST MONTH STAFF PROVIDED  
9 ASSISTANCE TO MORE THAN 150 JURISDICTIONS ON A  
10 VARIETY OF TOPICS, INCLUDING ANNUAL REPORT  
11 PREPARATIONS, SUBMITTING OVERDUE ELEMENTS, SLUDGE  
12 DIVERSION PETITIONS, AND PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION  
13 CONTRACTS FOR COLLECTING MATERIALS. SO WE'VE BEEN  
14 VERY ACTIVE.

15 STAFF CONTINUES TO WORK ON PLANNING  
16 ELEMENTS SUBMITTED AS A RESULT OF THE BOARD'S  
17 ENFORCEMENT POLICY AND ACTION. AND WE CONTINUE TO  
18 WORK WITH LOCAL REPRESENTATIVES TO OBTAIN MISSING  
19 ELEMENTS OR DOCUMENTS AND MAINTAIN COMPLIANCE WITH  
20 THE BOARD-ACCEPTED COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE TIME LINES.

21 STAFF PROVIDED ANNUAL REPORT  
22 PREPARATION TRAINING FOR JURISDICTION STAFF FROM  
23 RIVERSIDE AND SAN BERNARDINO COUNTIES, AND WE  
24 DISCUSSED PREPARATIONS AND PROCESS FOR THEIR 1996  
25 ANNUAL REPORT.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1                   A FORMAL OFFER OF ASSISTANCE WAS  
2                   SENT TO THE NEWLY INCORPORATED CITY OF CITRUS  
3                   HEIGHTS IN SACRAMENTO COUNTY, AND STAFF EXTENDED  
4                   THE OFFER TO PROVIDE THE CITY WITH ASSISTANCE IN  
5                   UNDERSTANDING AND COMPLYING WITH THE PLANNING AND  
6                   IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS OF AB 939.

7                   SOME OTHER PLANNING ISSUES. STAFF  
8                   COMPLETED THE MOST RECENT UPDATE OF THE RURAL  
9                   COOKBOOK AND MAILED COPIES TO ALL THE JURISDIC-  
10                  TIONS. THE NEXT PACKET OF MATERIAL FOR INCLUSION  
11                  INTO THE COOKBOOK IS TENTATIVELY SCHEDULED FOR  
12                  DISTRIBUTION IN SEPTEMBER. AND THE NEXT PACKET  
13                  WILL CONTAIN INFORMATION ON NEW PROGRAMS.

14                  STAFF COMPLETED THE JUNE 1997  
15                  INFOCYCLING WEB PAGE FOR IMB AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS  
16                  REVIEW; AND JURISDICTIONS, INTERESTED PARTIES, AND  
17                  THE PUBLIC WILL NOW BE ABLE TO ACCESS THE  
18                  QUARTERLY NEWSLETTER VIA THE INTERNET, SO WE'LL BE  
19                  ABLE TO BROADEN OUR READERSHIP HOPEFULLY THAT WAY  
20                  WITHOUT INCREASING COST TO THE BOARD.

21                  STAFF HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO SPEAK AT  
22                  THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION'S  
23                  NORTHERN EDUCATIONAL UPDATE MEETING IN FAIRFIELD  
24                  ON OCTOBER 3RD, AND THE THEME FOR THE MEETING IS  
25                  PARTNERSHIPS, CATALYSTS FOR PROGRESS. THE TOPIC

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FOR PRESENTATION IS THE COOPERATION BETWEEN THE  
2 STATE, LOCAL, AND FEDERAL AGENCIES DURING THE  
3 JANUARY FLOODS, ESPECIALLY THOSE DEALING WITH  
4 HAZARDOUS WASTE AND HOW SMOOTHLY THINGS WORKED.  
5 FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND, WE'RE HEADING FOR AN EL  
6 NINO YEAR OF INTERESTING PROPORTIONS, SO HOPEFULLY  
7 WE WON'T HAVE FLOODS, BUT IT'S GOOD TO BE  
8 PREPARED.

9 STAFF COMPLETED A DRAFT OUTLINE FOR  
10 A NEW PROJECT WHICH IS THE DEVELOPMENT OF A  
11 DIVERSION STUDY GUIDE. THIS GUIDE IS UNDER  
12 DEVELOPMENT IN RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FROM LOCAL  
13 GOVERNMENT. THE GUIDE WILL PROVIDE TECHNICAL  
14 ASSISTANCE TO JURISDICTIONS THAT WOULD LIKE TO  
15 CONDUCT A NEW DIVERSION STUDY TO QUANTIFY THEIR  
16 DIVERTED MATERIALS. A JURISDICTION MAY WANT TO  
17 CONDUCT A DIVERSION STUDY FOR INTERNAL PLANNING  
18 PURPOSES TO MONITOR AND EVALUATE DIVERSION  
19 PROGRAMS OR PLAN FOR FUTURE DIVERSION PROGRAMS AND  
20 FOR OBTAINING A MORE ADEQUATE MEASUREMENT OF THE  
21 DIVERSION PROGRESS BEING MADE.

22 UPDATE ON PUBLIC EDUCATION AND  
23 PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTATION. DURING THE BRANCH, THE  
24 MONTH OF JULY, TEN NEW RECYCLING PROGRAM SITES  
25 WERE ADDED TO THE STATE PROGRAM. STAFF RECENTLY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 RECEIVED BIDS ON AN IFB FOR THE COLLECTION AND  
2 PURCHASE OF USED INK JET CARTRIDGES FROM STATE  
3 FACILITIES, AND THE HIGH BIDDER WAS GOVERNMENT  
4 TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS. THE CONTRACTOR BID A RANGE  
5 FROM \$1 TO \$2 PER CARTRIDGE, DEPENDING ON THE  
6 MODEL OF THE CARTRIDGE, AND THE CONTRACTOR WILL  
7 SUPPLY BOXES TO MAIL IN THE USED CARTRIDGES AND  
8 WILL ALSO PAY FOR THE RETURN SHIPPING.

9 THIS CONTRACT IS A REVENUE  
10 GENERATING CONTRACT FOR THE PROGRAM, AND STAFF IS  
11 IN THE PROCESS OF NOTIFYING ALL STATE OFFICES OF  
12 THIS CONTRACT FOR THE RECYCLING OF THESE ITEMS.  
13 SO THIS IS ALSO A NEW ITEM THAT WE'RE STARTING TO  
14 PUSH THE RECYCLING OF. SO IT'S PRETTY EXCITING.

15 STAFF IS WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT  
16 OF GENERAL SERVICES SURPLUS PROPERTY UNIT TO  
17 DEVELOP AN IFB FOR SCRAP METAL COLLECTION AT STATE  
18 FACILITIES, AND AGAIN REVENUES GENERATED BY THAT  
19 CONTRACT WOULD BE DEPOSITED IN THE PROJECT RECYCLE  
20 FUND.

21 UPDATE ON USED OIL AND HOUSEHOLD  
22 HAZARDOUS WASTE. DURING THE MONTH OF JULY, THERE  
23 WERE 17 CENTERS WERE CERTIFIED AND 29 CENTERS WERE  
24 RECERTIFIED. STAFF -- CERTIFICATION STAFF STAFFED  
25 A BOOTH IN THE SOUTH LAKE TAHOE FOR THE WOODEN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BOAT SHOW AT THE TAHOE KEYS MARINA. THE EVENT WAS  
2 QUITE WELL ATTENDED, AND SEVERAL HUNDRED PEOPLE  
3 WERE PROVIDED INFORMATION ABOUT THE STATE USED OIL  
4 PROGRAM AND EL DORADO COUNTY'S PROGRAM. THE TAHOE  
5 MARINA HAS TWO SITES FOR OIL COLLECTION, ONE NEAR  
6 THE BOAT DOCKS AND ANOTHER IN THE PARKING LOT NEAR  
7 THE ENTRANCE OF THE MARINA FOR CONVENIENCE OF THE  
8 PUBLIC. IN ADDITION TO THE MARINA, COUNTY  
9 COUNSEL -- COUNTY PERSONNEL -- EXCUSE ME -- WERE  
10 ABLE TO PROVIDE CERTIFICATION STAFF WITH MORE --  
11 TWO MORE APPLICATIONS FOR TWO NEW CERTIFIED  
12 CENTERS IN SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, BRINGING THE TOTAL  
13 CENTERS TO SIX IN THAT AREA.

14 ON JULY 23RD, THE BOARD AWARDED A \$1  
15 MILLION, 30-MONTH CONTRACT TO THE CALIFORNIA  
16 CONSERVATION CORPS TO CONDUCT USED OIL EDUCATION  
17 ACTIVITIES AND GRANTEE ASSISTANCE STATEWIDE.  
18 ADDING THE ANTICIPATED CARRY-OVER OF 314,000 FROM  
19 THE EXISTING CONTRACT, TOTAL MONIES AVAILABLE FOR  
20 USED OIL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES WILL BE 1.3 MILLION.  
21 THE CURRENT CONTRACT WILL EXPIRE ON SEPTEMBER 30,  
22 1997.

23 AND ALSO OF INTEREST TO LOCAL  
24 GOVERNMENT, ON AUGUST 5TH THE POLICY COMMITTEE  
25 APPROVED STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE CRITERIA

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FOR THE '97-'98 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE GRANT.  
2 THE ITEM WAS ON THE -- IS ON THE BOARD'S CONSENT  
3 AGENDA FOR THE AUGUST 27TH MEETING, AND 1.5  
4 MILLION IS AVAILABLE FOR HHW GRANTS THIS YEAR, AND  
5 THE PROGRAM WILL FOCUS ON PERMANENT SOLUTIONS FOR  
6 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION.

7 AND STAFF HAVE COMPLETED MAJOR  
8 REVISIONS TO THE USED OIL RECYCLING PROGRAM  
9 REGULATIONS. THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN  
10 PLACED ON THE INTERNET AND ARE CURRENTLY  
11 UNDERGOING A 45-DAY INFORMAL PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD  
12 THAT ENDS SEPTEMBER 26TH. AND STAFF WILL  
13 INCORPORATE COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE PUBLIC INTO  
14 PROPOSED REGULATIONS PRIOR TO THE FORMAL  
15 REGULATORY REVIEW PROCESS, WHICH WE'RE PROJECTING  
16 FOR NOVEMBER. AND THIS HAS BEEN A PART OF A YEAR  
17 OR TWO LONG PERIOD OF LOOKING AT STREAMLINING THE  
18 USED OIL REGULATIONS.

19 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS  
21 FOR JUDY?

22 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANKS.

24 THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS ORAL  
25 REPORT FOR THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DEVELOPMENT DIVISION FROM CAREN TRGOVCICH.

2 MS. TRGOVCICH: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN  
3 CHESBRO AND MEMBERS. I HAVE A BRIEF REPORT  
4 HIGHLIGHTING SOME EFFORTS OF THE STAFF OVER THE  
5 PAST MONTH FOR YOU THIS MORNING. THE FIRST I'D  
6 LIKE TO DO IS UPDATE YOU ON THE STATUS OF THE  
7 CALCULATION OF THE 1996 RATE FOR THE RIGID PLASTIC  
8 PACKAGING CONTAINERS.

9 AS YOU ARE AWARE, THE BOARD AT ITS  
10 APRIL MEETING APPROVED A METHODOLOGY FOR BOTH THE  
11 NUMERATOR CALCULATION AND THE DENOMINATOR  
12 CALCULATION. STAFF HAVE BEEN PROCEEDING WITH THE  
13 DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION TO FINALIZE AN  
14 AGREEMENT AND DEVELOP AND COMPLETE A SURVEY  
15 MECHANISM THAT WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE THE  
16 NUMERATOR.

17 WHILE THE AGREEMENT IS MOVING  
18 THROUGH THE FINALIZATION PROCESS, STAFF OF BOTH  
19 THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AS WELL AS THE  
20 BOARD HAVE BEEN WORKING IN THE MEANTIME TO  
21 COMPLETE THE SURVEY, KNOWING THAT THIS AGREEMENT  
22 WILL BE IMMINENTLY SIGNED.

23 THE STAFF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF  
24 CONSERVATION HAVE BEEN MODIFYING IN ORDER TO  
25 STREAMLINE THE SURVEY MECHANISM USED FOR THE 1995

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SURVEY THAT WAS COMPLETED. THIS SURVEY MECHANISM  
2 WAS THE SAME MECHANISM THAT WAS USED BY CASCADIA  
3 CONSULTING GROUP IN THE CALCULATION OF THE  
4 NUMERATOR FOR THE 1995 RATE.

5 IF YOU WILL REMEMBER THOSE  
6 DISCUSSIONS AROUND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE 1995  
7 RATE, THE NUMERATOR CALCULATION RECEIVED  
8 WIDESPREAD SUPPORT FROM BOTH INTERESTED PARTIES,  
9 AS WELL AS THE BOARD AS AN APPROACH IN TERMS OF  
10 CALCULATION. SO WE ARE PROCEEDING ALONG THOSE  
11 LINES.

12 ONCE WE HAVE AN AGREEMENT IN PLACE  
13 WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION, WE WILL BE  
14 FORWARDING THAT DRAFT REVISED SURVEY MECHANISM,  
15 ONCE AGAIN REVISED PRINCIPALLY FOR STREAMLINING  
16 PURPOSES, TO THE INTERESTED PARTIES GROUP FOR  
17 THEIR COMMENT. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT ANY  
18 CHANGES IN THE PROCESS ARE AFFORDED AN OPPORTUNITY  
19 FOR REVIEW BY ANYONE INTERESTED IN THE PROCESS  
20 ITSELF.

21 ONCE WE GET COMMENTS BACK, AND WE  
22 WILL BE ASKING FOR COMMENTS ON A VERY SHORT  
23 TURNAROUND, DOC WILL THEN PROCEED TO SURVEY  
24 PROCESSORS. AND WE WILL BE THEN BRINGING BACK THE  
25 CALCULATION, WHICH WILL CONTAIN THE RESULTS OF THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       METHODOLOGY FOR BOTH THE NUMERATOR AND THE  
2       DENOMINATOR, AND WE ARE TARGETING THE OCTOBER  
3       COMMITTEE MEETING TO BRING BACK THAT PROPOSED  
4       CALCULATION.

5                    SO KNOWING THAT THIS IS A PRETTY  
6       COMPLICATED PROCESS, I WANTED TO MAKE SURE TO  
7       BRING THIS FORWARD AND UPDATE YOU, AND I CAN TRY  
8       TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE ON THAT  
9       ITEM AS WELL. IF NOT, I'LL MOVE ON TO MY NEXT  
10      COUPLE OF ITEMS.

11                   WE RECEIVED YET ANOTHER AWARD AROUND  
12      OUR EDUCATION EFFORTS IN THE AREA OF LANDSCAPE.  
13      BOARD MEMBER JONES ACCEPTED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD  
14      THE 1997 AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN PUBLIC INFORMA-  
15      TION FROM THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA TURF AND  
16      LANDSCAPE COUNCIL AT THE COUNCIL'S ANNUAL AWARDS  
17      BANQUET IN OAKLAND. I BELIEVE THIS WAS JUST A  
18      COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO. THE BOARD WAS HONORED FOR  
19      ITS WORK IN RAISING AWARENESS AROUND GRASSCYCLING  
20      AND YARD WASTE PREVENTION AMONG THE GREEN  
21      INDUSTRY.

22                   IT SHOULD BE NOTICED THAT OUR  
23      EFFORTS WITH THE INDUSTRY ARE NOW BEARING FRUIT,  
24      WHICH IS DEMONSTRATED BY THIS AWARD, AND, MORE  
25      IMPORTANTLY, BY MEMBERS OF THE LANDSCAPE INDUSTRY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PRACTICING YARD WASTE PREVENTION THROUGH  
2 GRASSCYCLING. SO WE ARE SEEING SOME BENEFITS THAT  
3 REALLY COME OUT OF OUR SPRING CAMPAIGN THAT WE  
4 REALLY PUSHED. THERE ARE SEVERAL MEMBERS SITTING  
5 ON THE COMMITTEE HERE TODAY THAT DID SPOTS IN THE  
6 SPRING CAMPAIGN, AND WE ARE CURRENTLY IN NEGOTIA-  
7 TIONS WITH MULTIPLE ENTITIES DOWN IN THE LOS  
8 ANGELES AREA TO BRING ABOUT ADDITIONAL PARTNER-  
9 SHIPS THAT WILL FURTHER THE GRASSCYCLING EFFORT.

10 AND WE LOOK AT THIS AS A LARGE  
11 TONNAGE DIVERSION OPPORTUNITY. SO WE'RE REALLY  
12 SINKING OUR RESOURCES AND FOCUSING A LOT OF EFFORT  
13 ON WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITIES THAT WANT TO COME  
14 FORWARD AND BUILD PARTNERSHIPS WITH US, WITH THE  
15 AIR DISTRICTS, IF THAT IS ONE OF THE MEDIAS THAT  
16 THEY ALSO WANT TO AFFECT WITH THIS TYPE OF A  
17 PROGRAM, AND WITH THE MOWER MANUFACTURERS  
18 THEMSELVES. WE HAVE A LOT OF INTEREST ON THE PART  
19 OF THE MOWER MANUFACTURERS TO BUILD ADDITIONAL  
20 PARTNERSHIPS AROUND THE STATE. AS YOU ARE AWARE,  
21 THE SACRAMENTO PARTNERSHIP WAS VERY SUCCESSFUL,  
22 AND THEY WANT TO BUILD ON THOSE EFFORTS.

23 AND WHAT I'D LIKE TO ALSO REPORT TO  
24 YOU SOME EFFORTS THAT WE'RE UNDERTAKING IN THE  
25 WASTE REDUCTION AREA. THE STAFF OF THE BUSINESS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       RESOURCE EFFICIENCY AND WASTE REDUCTION -- WE CALL  
2       IT BREWR PROGRAM -- ARE WORKING WITH THE STAFF OF  
3       THE DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE  
4       DIVISION TO BRING ADDITIONAL DATA POSSIBILITIES  
5       FOR USE BY OUR STAFF AS WELL AS LOCAL JURISDIC-  
6       TIONS.

7                       WE'RE LOOKING AT COMBINING  
8       EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT BUSINESS DATA  
9       EITHER IN LIEU OF OR TO AUGMENT OUR EXISTING DUN &  
10      BRADSTREET INFORMATION. SO WE'RE GOING HIGH TECH  
11      ON-LINE, AND WE'RE REALLY TRYING TO GET THE  
12      INFORMATION OUT AND MAKE BUSINESS INFORMATION MORE  
13      AVAILABLE TO OUR STAFF AND MORE AVAILABLE TO LOCAL  
14      JURISDICTIONS FOR THEIR USE AS WELL.

15                      AND FINALLY, I'D JUST LIKE TO LET  
16      YOU KNOW THAT WE WILL BE HOLDING ONE ADDITIONAL  
17      WASTE REDUCTION WORKSHOP IN THE FRESNO AREA ON  
18      SEPTEMBER 9TH. WE HAVE A HOST OR SPONSOR, VENDO  
19      CORPORATION, THAT HAS COME FORWARD TO SAY THEY  
20      WOULD LIKE TO HOST A WORKSHOP FOR LOCAL OFFICIALS  
21      OR AGENCY PARTICIPANTS DOWN IN THAT AREA, AND THAT  
22      WILL BE HELD ON SEPTEMBER 9TH.

23                      AND THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT.

24                      CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU  
25      VERY MUCH. ANY QUESTIONS?

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NO QUESTIONS. THANKS,  
3 CAREN.

4 NEXT WE HAVE THE CONSENT AGENDA,  
5 WHICH THERE ARE COPIES OF IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM  
6 FOR ANYBODY WHO DOESN'T HAVE IT IN FRONT OF THEM  
7 RIGHT NOW. AND THE ITEMS THAT ARE PROPOSED FOR  
8 THE CONSENT AGENDA ARE ITEMS 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13,  
9 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, AND 24. EVERYBODY GOT THAT?  
10 YES.

11 MS. FRIEDMAN: IF I MAY, CHAIRMAN  
12 CHESBRO, I WOULD LIKE TO ADD, IT LOOKS LIKE ITEM  
13 NO. 17, THE CONSIDERATION OF THE NDFE FOR THE CITY  
14 OF GREENFIELD WAS LEFT OFF. THE SRRE IS NOT ON  
15 CONSENT, BUT THAT ONE SHOULD BE.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ITEM 17, THE NDFE IS  
17 ALSO ON CONSENT. ANY OTHERS? ANY QUESTIONS? ANY  
18 REQUESTS TO PULL ANY OF THOSE ITEMS OFF THE  
19 CONSENT? IF NOT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO  
20 APPROVE THESE ITEMS AND FORWARD THEM TO THE  
21 BOARD'S CONSENT AGENDA.

22 MEMBER GOTCH: MOVE APPROVAL OF THE  
23 CONSENT AGENDA.

24 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1           SECONDED.   CAN WE CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

2                   THE SECRETARY:   COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

3                   MEMBER FRAZEE:   AYE.

4                   THE SECRETARY:   GOTCH.

5                   MEMBER GOTCH:   AYE.

6                   THE SECRETARY:   CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

7                   CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:   AYE.   MOTION CARRIES.

8                               SO THE FIRST NONCONSENT ITEM IS ITEM  
9           5, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF  
10          RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PETITION TO  
11          REDUCE REQUIREMENTS OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING  
12          ELEMENT AND SUMMARY PLAN FOR ALPINE COUNTY.

13                               I SHOULD MENTION THAT THERE ARE  
14          SPEAKER SLIPS IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM.   I'M NOT  
15          AWARE IF ANYBODY IS HERE FROM ALPINE COUNTY OR  
16          NOT; BUT IF YOU ARE HERE TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE,  
17          IT'S HELPFUL TO US TO FILL ONE OF THESE OUT AND  
18          BRING IT FORWARD TO THE COMMITTEE'S ASSISTANT.

19                               MS. FRIEDMAN:   YES.   BEFORE I INTRODUCE  
20          BILL HUSTON, WHO WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR  
21          STAFF, AS YOU KNOW, THIS COUNTY HAS BEEN THE  
22          SUBJECT OF A BILL IN THE LEGISLATURE THAT YOU HAVE  
23          UPDATES ABOUT IN BOTH THIS COMMITTEE AND AT THE  
24          LPEC COMMITTEE.   AND STAFF HAVE BEEN WORKING VERY  
25          HARD, PRINCIPALLY CATHY DONAHUE, TO HELP THIS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 JURISDICTION. CATHY IS OUT TODAY, BUT BILL  
2 HUSTON, HER SUPERVISOR, WILL PRESENT THIS ITEM FOR  
3 STAFF.

4 MR. HUSTON: THANK YOU, JUDY. AND GOOD  
5 MORNING, MEMBERS. PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE AND THE  
6 BOARD'S REGULATIONS REQUIRE EACH COUNTY TO SUBMIT  
7 TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL A SITING ELEMENT, A  
8 COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, AND A SUMMARY PLAN AS  
9 PART OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT  
10 PLAN. THE PROVISIONS OF STATUTE AND REGULATION  
11 ALSO ALLOW A RURAL COUNTY TO PETITION THE BOARD  
12 FOR A REDUCTION IN THE PROVISIONS OF THESE  
13 PLANNING REQUIREMENTS.

14 MOTION -- OR THE AGENDA ITEM BEFORE  
15 YOU TODAY IS A PETITION BY ALPINE COUNTY TO REDUCE  
16 ITS PLANNING REQUIREMENTS FOR BOTH ITS SUMMARY  
17 PLAN AND FOR ITS SITING ELEMENT. THE FIRST ONE,  
18 THE REDUCTION IN REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SITING  
19 ELEMENT, IS PRESENTED BECAUSE THE CITY -- EXCUSE  
20 ME -- THE COUNTY HAS NO PERMITTED LANDFILLS AT  
21 THIS POINT, IT DOES NOT EXPECT TO HAVE ANY  
22 LANDFILLS IN THE NEAR FUTURE, AND CURRENTLY IS  
23 EXPORTING ALL OF ITS WASTE TO NEIGHBORING COUNTIES  
24 OR TO LOCKWOOD IN NEVADA.

25 THE LANDFILL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COUNTY IS LESS THAN 15 TONS PER DAY OF THE  
2 POPULATION OF LESS THAN 12,000.

3 THE SECOND PETITION OR THE SECOND  
4 PART OF THE PETITION IS TO ENTIRELY RELAX THE  
5 REQUIREMENTS FOR A SUMMARY PLAN. THE COUNTY HAS  
6 NO INCORPORATED CITIES. THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY HAS  
7 APPROVED THE OTHER ELEMENTS OF THE COUNTY'S  
8 PLANNING REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING THE SRRE, THE  
9 NDFE, AND THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT.  
10 THERE SIMPLY ARE NO PROGRAMS TO BE SUMMARIZED IN A  
11 SUMMARY PLAN SINCE, AGAIN, THE COUNTY HAS NO  
12 INCORPORATED CITIES.

13 THE RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF BEFORE  
14 YOU TODAY IS TO APPROVE ALPINE COUNTY'S PETITION  
15 TO RELIEVE THEM ENTIRELY FROM SUBMITTAL OF A  
16 SUMMARY PLAN AND TO REDUCE THEIR SITING ELEMENT TO  
17 ONLY A DESCRIPTION OF THE STRATEGY THAT THEY WILL  
18 USE TO DISPOSE OF THEIR WASTE.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. QUESTIONS FROM  
20 COMMITTEE MEMBERS? COMMENTS? SEEMS IMMINENTLY  
21 REASONABLE, AS HAS BEEN THE APPROACH GENERALLY  
22 WHEN WE'VE HAD SPECIFIC PROBLEMS. OF COURSE, WE  
23 HAD THE SAME DISCUSSION WITH THE SAME COMMITTEE  
24 MEMBERS YESTERDAY. I GUESS I COULD PLAY THE TAPE.  
25 BUT BASICALLY STAFF'S TO BE COMPLIMENTED, AND WE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WILL PROCEED WITH THIS AND HOPE THAT THE  
2 ACCOMPANYING LEGISLATION WILL GO AWAY.

3 SO I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO  
4 APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AND FORWARD IT TO  
5 THE BOARD'S CONSENT AGENDA.

6 MEMBER FRAZEE: MOVE ADOPTION OF  
7 RESOLUTION 97-368.

8 MEMBER GOTCH: AND I'LL SECOND.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND  
10 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.  
11 THE MOTION CARRIES THREE TO ZERO. THANK YOU,  
12 BILL.

13 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 8,  
14 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE DEL  
15 NORTE REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT.

16 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. HEIDI SANBORN AND  
17 CHRIS SCHMIDLE WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR  
18 STAFF.

19 MS. SANBORN: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN  
20 AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS.

21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: INCIDENTALY, LET ME  
22 WARN EVERYONE TO CALL IT DEL NORTE, NOT DEL NORTE.  
23 WHEN WE HAD A BOARD MEETING IN EUREKA, YOU MAY  
24 RECALL I HAD AN ADVISOR NAMED MARTHA VALDES, AND  
25 EVERYBODY ON THE NORTH COAST CALLS IT DEL NORTE,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       SEE.  SO I'M SITTING IN THE MEETING AND I SAY DEL  
2       NORTE, AND SHE MADE THE MOST AWFUL FACE AT ME  
3       BECAUSE SHE KNEW I KNEW BETTER, YOU KNOW, THAT IT  
4       SHOULD BE DEL NORTE, BUT I EXPLAINED TO HER THAT  
5       THE ONLY THING YOU PROVE BY SAYING DEL NORTE IS  
6       THAT YOU ARE NOT FROM THE NORTH COAST.

7                 MS. SANBORN:  I'M NOT FROM THE NORTH  
8       COAST, BUT I'LL TRY AND SAY DEL NORTE.

9                 THE ITEM BEFORE YOU TODAY IS  
10       CONSIDERATION OF THE DEL NORTE COUNTY REGIONAL  
11       AGENCY AGREEMENT FOR THE UNINCORPORATED DEL NORTE  
12       COUNTY AND CITY OF CRESCENT CITY.  BEFORE  
13       PRESENTING THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE DEL  
14       NORTE REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT, I'D LIKE TO TAKE  
15       A MINUTE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME BACKGROUND  
16       INFORMATION.

17                IN 1992 DEL NORTE COUNTY AND  
18       CRESCENT CITY FORMED A JPA CALLED THE DEL NORTE  
19       SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY.  SINCE FORMATION  
20       THE AUTHORITY HAS BEEN ACTING AS ONE ENTITY AND  
21       HAS SUBMITTED A MULTIJURISDICTIONAL SRRE, HHWE,  
22       AND NDFE.  THE INTENT HAS ALWAYS BEEN TO JOIN  
23       RESOURCES AND TO PERMIT THE AUTHORITY TO BE LEAD  
24       AGENCY FOR ALL SOLID WASTE TASKS IN THE CITY AND  
25       THE COUNTY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1                                DESPITE OTHER PRESSING SOLID WASTE  
2                                ISSUES, SUCH AS CLOSURE OF THE CRESCENT CITY  
3                                LANDFILL, AUTHORITY STAFF, USING REGIONAL AGENCY  
4                                EXAMPLES FROM THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE  
5                                LIBRARY, COMPLETED AN AMENDMENT TO THE EXISTING  
6                                JPA TO MEET THE REGIONAL AGENCY REQUIREMENTS.  
7                                CRESCENT CITY AND THE COUNTY  
8                                SUPERVISORS APPROVED THE JPA AMENDMENT IN JUNE AND  
9                                JULY RESPECTIVELY. AS A REGIONAL AGENCY, DEL  
10                                NORTE COUNTY MAY SUBMIT ANNUAL REPORTS, DISPOSAL  
11                                REPORTS, AND OTHER REPORTING DATA AS ONE ENTITY  
12                                INSTEAD OF SUBMITTING SEPARATE REPORTS FOR EACH  
13                                JURISDICTION.  
14                                THIS WILL FACILITATE ACCURATE  
15                                TRACKING AND TIMELY REPORTING OF QUARTERLY  
16                                DISPOSAL TONNAGE. A REGIONAL AGENCY WILL SAVE THE  
17                                COUNTY TIME AND MONEY IN BOTH GATHERING INFORMA-  
18                                TION AND PREPARING REPORTS, ALLOWING THE COUNTY TO  
19                                CONCENTRATE THE EFFORTS ON EFFECTIVELY AND  
20                                EFFICIENTLY IMPLEMENTING THEIR DIVERSION PROGRAMS.  
21                                THE MAIN ISSUE IN THE FORMATION OF  
22                                THE REGIONAL AGENCY IS HOW THE NEW REGIONAL  
23                                DIVERSION PROJECTIONS MEET THE CONDITION OF THE  
24                                CRESCENT CITY SRRE CONDITIONAL APPROVAL. IN 1994  
25                                THE BOARD TOOK ACTION ON THE SRRE'S. THE COUNTY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SRRE WAS APPROVED, BUT THE CITY OF CRESCENT CITY'S  
2 SRRE WAS CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOLELY BASED ON  
3 THE DIVERSION PROJECTIONS BEING 22.4 PERCENT FOR  
4 1995 AND 45.2 PERCENT BY 2000.

5 BY FORMING A REGION, THE DIVERSION  
6 PROJECTIONS ARE NOW 46.1 PERCENT FOR 1995 AND 51  
7 PERCENT FOR 2000. THEREFORE, BY BEING A MEMBER OF  
8 A REGION WHICH HAS APPROVABLE DIVERSION PROJEC-  
9 TIONS, THE CITY OF CRESCENT CITY HAS MET THE  
10 REQUIREMENT OF THE PREVIOUS CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

11 AS THERE ARE NO OTHER OUTSTANDING  
12 ISSUES, STAFF FINDS THAT THE DEL NORTE JPA MEETS  
13 THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE DEEMED A REGIONAL AGENCY  
14 AND RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMITTEE APPROVE THE DEL  
15 NORTE SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY AGREEMENT AS A  
16 REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT.

17 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. I'M  
18 HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. AND CHRIS SCHMIDLE  
19 IS HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE ON  
20 THE NUMBERS. AND WE HAVE KEVIN HENDRICK,  
21 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE AUTHORITY, IN THE  
22 AUDIENCE, WHO IS ALSO AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY  
23 QUESTIONS.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: KEVIN DID FILL OUT A  
25 SPEAKER REQUEST. WOULD YOU LIKE TO ADDRESS THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COMMITTEE?

2 MR. HENDRICK: NO VIDEOS TODAY. MY NAME  
3 IS KEVIN HENDRICK. I'M THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEL  
4 NORTE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY. AS HEIDI  
5 POINTED OUT, THE CITY OF CRESCENT CITY AND DEL  
6 NORTE COUNTY FORMED A JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY IN  
7 1992, SO I WAS HIRED IN 1993. WE'VE ALWAYS ACTED  
8 AS A REGIONAL AGENCY, SO IT'S GOOD TO BE  
9 RECOGNIZED AS A REGIONAL AGENCY FINALLY. I WAS  
10 ACTUALLY SURPRISED TO FIND OUT THAT WE WEREN'T  
11 RECOGNIZED AS A REGIONAL AGENCY DUE TO THE FACT  
12 THAT WE HAVE ALWAYS ACTED AS A REGIONAL AGENCY.  
13 WE HAVE A MULTIJURISDICTIONAL SRRE, ETC., ETC.

14 BUT NEVERTHELESS, LAWS WERE PASSED  
15 AFTER THE JPA WAS FORMED, AND WE ALWAYS TRY TO  
16 COMPLY WITH THE LAW, ALTHOUGH HEIDI WILL ATTEST I  
17 BALKED ON THIS ONE. POLITICALLY IT WAS A RISK.  
18 IT WAS DIFFICULT BECAUSE IT'S A CONTROVERSIAL  
19 SUBJECT. AND HAVING GONE THROUGH THIS PROCESS TO  
20 ANSWER THE WHAT-IF, WHAT IF THE SOLID WASTE  
21 AUTHORITY DOESN'T DO WHAT THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO DO  
22 AND THERE'RE FINES ON THE TABLE AND IT GETS  
23 DISSOLVED, WHO'S GOING TO PAY THE FINES? WHICH,  
24 HAVING GONE THROUGH THE PROCESS NOW, I EQUATE TO A  
25 POSTNUPTIAL AGREEMENT. LIKE GOING TO MY WIFE AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SAYING, "WE'VE BEEN TOGETHER FIVE YEARS. THINGS  
2 ARE GOING REALLY GOOD, BUT WHAT -- LET'S COME UP  
3 WITH A PLAN JUST IN CASE WE GET DIVORCED, YOU  
4 KNOW. WHO GETS THE KIDS? WHO GETS THE HOUSE?"  
5 IT WAS LIKE THAT.

6 SO IT TOOK A LITTLE WHILE. BUT THE  
7 FACT IS THAT WE ARE COMPLYING WITH THE LAW. WE  
8 ARE COMMITTED TO COMPLY WITH THE LAW. WE'VE  
9 EXCEEDED OUR 25 PERCENT BY 1995. OUR REPORTS ARE  
10 SUBMITTED, OUR PLANS ARE IN OPERATION, AND WE PLAN  
11 TO EXCEED THE 50-PERCENT GOAL.

12 AND NOW THAT WE'RE AT THIS POINT,  
13 BEING RECOGNIZED AS A REGIONAL AGENCY, I WANTED TO  
14 MAKE A PITCH FOR BEING RECOGNIZED AS A RURAL  
15 REGIONAL AGENCY. ONCE AGAIN, SURPRISED TO FIND  
16 OUT THAT DEL NORTE COUNTY SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY IS  
17 NOT -- DOESN'T FIT THE CATEGORY OF A RURAL  
18 REGIONAL AGENCY, SO I HAVE A STRATEGY HERE. OKAY.  
19 PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE DON'T MAKE ME DO THIS  
20 AGAIN.

21 (SPEAKER PUTS ON STRAW HAT.)

22 AS I POINTED OUT, WE ARE GOING TO  
23 MEET THE GOALS, BUT WE DO HAVE AN INTEREST IN  
24 BEING RECOGNIZED FOR ONE REASON. AND THAT IS THE  
25 REDUCTION IN SOME OF THE PLANNING REQUIREMENTS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AS JUST APPROVED FOR ALPINE COUNTY, THERE COULD BE  
2 A BENEFIT FOR US. WE DO HAVE AN INTEREST IN THE  
3 POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF PLANNING REDUCTION, NOT  
4 REDUCTION OF DIVERSION GOALS, BUT IN THE TIME IT  
5 TAKES TO PUT TOGETHER THE SITING ELEMENT AND THE  
6 SUMMARY ELEMENT.

7 WE WOULD CONSIDER THIS LIKE A TIME  
8 GRANT, SOMETHING THAT WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH OF THAT  
9 YOU COULD GIVE US. AND THAT'S PRIMARILY THE  
10 REASON. SO I'M ENCOURAGED TO KNOW THAT THERE ARE  
11 PEOPLE THAT RECOGNIZE THAT WE SHOULD BE A RURAL  
12 REGIONAL AGENCY, WE SHOULD BE RECOGNIZED AS A  
13 RURAL REGIONAL AGENCY, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO  
14 WORKING WITH THE STAFF.

15 I WANT TO END BY SAYING THAT THE  
16 STAFF HAVE BEEN GREAT TO WORK WITH. THEY CONTINUE  
17 TO BE SUPPORTIVE AND HELPFUL AND PATIENT AND  
18 NUDGING, THE TYPE OF THING LIKE, WELL, WOULD IT  
19 HELP YOU TO MOVE THIS JPA IF WE SEND YOU THIS  
20 ENFORCEMENT LETTER NOW, OR WOULD YOU LIKE US TO  
21 WAIT AND GIVE YOU ANOTHER WEEK OR TWO? THAT'S  
22 SORT OF HOW IT WAS, HAVING THE INTERPLAY IN THE  
23 COMMUNICATION. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.  
24 I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS? I

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       ACTUALLY WOULD LIKE TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE  
2       OTHER ISSUE, BUT I PROBABLY SHOULD TAKE THE ONE  
3       ITEM, AND WE COULD ASK STAFF SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT  
4       THE ISSUE OF THE REDUCTION IN REQUIREMENTS. BUT  
5       ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE REGIONAL AGENCY  
6       APPROVAL?

7                 MEMBER GOTCH: NO.

8                 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I'D JUST LIKE TO  
9       COMPLIMENT YOU, KEVIN. I KNOW IT'S BEEN A  
10      DELICATE PROCESS UP THERE OF GETTING THE PARTIES  
11      TO WORK TOGETHER AND NOT DESTABILIZE A RELATIVELY  
12      STABLE SITUATION. SO --

13                MR. HENDRICK: THANK YOU. ONE OF THE  
14      THINGS THAT THE CITY WANTED, BECAUSE ONCE WE START  
15      TALKING ABOUT SPLITTING, THEY WANTED TO MAKE SURE  
16      THEY HAD THEIR INFORMATION SOLID AND SEVERABLE.  
17      SO WE'VE -- WE'RE UPDATING OUR WASTE CHARACTERI-  
18      ZATION AS WE SPEAK. I HAVE CORPS MEMBERS SORTING  
19      THROUGH OUR GARBAGE TO UPDATE OUR INFORMATION AND  
20      PROVIDE INFORMATION THAT IS SEVERABLE BY CITY AND  
21      COUNTY, BY GENERATION, INCLUDING SEPARATE STATE  
22      AGENCIES, SUCH AS THE PRISON, BECAUSE THIS IS MUCH  
23      MORE COMPREHENSIVE THAN OUR ORIGINAL STUDY, WHICH  
24      WAS DONE BY AN OUTSIDE CONSULTANT. WE WILL REALLY  
25      RELY ON THIS INFORMATION FOR FUTURE PLANNING, NOT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       ONLY FOR OUR DIVERSION, BUT FOR PLANNING OF OUR  
2       DISPOSAL AND DIVERSION FACILITIES AND FOR FUTURE  
3       PLANNING FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND JOBS  
4       CREATION.  AND THAT'S -- WE ARE BUSY.

5               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:  I THINK IT'S REALLY  
6       NOTABLE FOR ONE OF THE SMALLEST COUNTIES THAT'S  
7       PROBABLY PHYSICALLY AS ISOLATED AS JUST ABOUT  
8       ANYWHERE IN THE STATE IN TERMS OF DISTANCE TO  
9       MARKETS, DISTANCE TO SACRAMENTO JUST TO GET DOWN  
10      HERE, AS YOU ARE TODAY.  THE PROGRESSIVE APPROACH  
11      AND CONSTRUCTIVE EFFORT TO MEET ALL THESE  
12      CHALLENGES, I THINK IT'S REALLY REMARKABLE.

13             MR. HENDRICK:  I SAID AT A PREVIOUS BOARD  
14      MEETING THAT THEY USED TO SAY THERE'S NO LAW NORTH  
15      IF THE KLAMATH.  NOW WE SAY THERE'S NO MARKETS  
16      NORTH OF THE KLAMATH.  SO FOR US IT'S A VERY  
17      PRACTICAL APPROACH TO DEVELOP LOCAL MARKETS AND  
18      LOCAL BUSINESSES THAT CAN TAKE THESE PRODUCTS  
19      BECAUSE IT'S A LONG DISTANCE TO SHIP IT AND WE  
20      NEED THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.  SO PROGRESSIVE AS  
21      IT MIGHT BE, CONSERVATIVE PEOPLE RECOGNIZE THIS AS  
22      BEING VALUABLE, SO I HOPE WE'LL BE SUCCESSFUL.

23             CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:  OKAY.  WELL, I WILL  
24      ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE DEL NORTE  
25      REGIONAL AGENCY AGREEMENT AND FORWARD IT TO THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.

2 MEMBER GOTCH: MOVE RESOLUTION 97-370.

3 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND  
5 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.  
6 MOTION CARRIES.

7 I WANTED TO ASK A FEW QUESTIONS OF  
8 STAFF ABOUT THE ABILITY OF THE COUNTY TO QUALIFY.  
9 YOU KNOW, WHEN THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF RURAL  
10 REDUCTIONS WAS PASSED, I THOUGHT, WOW, 200,000  
11 POPULATION IS BEING DEFINED AS RURAL, AND I NEVER  
12 IMAGINED -- I MEAN I WAS THINKING OF DOES THAT  
13 MEAN THAT WE'RE GOING TO WIND UP WITH PLACER  
14 COUNTY COMING IN HERE AND SAYING THAT THEY'RE  
15 RURAL? I NEVER IMAGINED THAT A COUNTY LIKE DEL  
16 NORTE WOULD HAVE ANY PROBLEM QUALIFYING. CAN WE  
17 TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT THE PROBLEM IS WITH  
18 THEIR ABILITY TO GET QUALIFIED?

19 MS. SANBORN: IT WAS A SURPRISE TO US  
20 TOO. THE PROBLEM IS THAT THEY MEET THE STATUTORY  
21 DEFINITION, BUT THEY DON'T MEET THE REGULATORY  
22 DEFINITION. CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, ELLIOT, BUT  
23 THE STATUTE IS THE 200,000 OR LESS AND IS IN A  
24 RURAL AREA, WHICH THEY DO MEET. THE PROBLEM IS IN  
25 THE REGULATION WHERE IT TALKS ABOUT HAVING A

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 GEOGRAPHIC AREA OF 10,000 SQUARE MILES OR A  
2 POPULATION DENSITY OF LESS THAN 70 PEOPLE PER  
3 SQUARE MILE AND A WASTE GENERATION RATE OF 60 TONS  
4 PER DAY OR LESS. AND CHRIS CAN TALK ABOUT THE  
5 GENERATION RATE BECAUSE THAT'S THE PROBLEM.

6 MR. SCHMIDLE: I THINK IT CAME IN AT 78  
7 TONS PER DAY. SO REGULATIONS SAY 60. THEY'VE GOT  
8 78. TECHNICALLY, I'VE GOT TO SAY NO.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S GENERATION.  
10 THAT'S NOT DISPOSAL.

11 MR. SCHMIDLE: YES, GENERATION RATE. SO  
12 REGARDLESS OF HOW GOOD THEY'RE DOING WITH  
13 DIVERSION, THEY STILL GET PENALIZED. THEY'RE  
14 STUCK.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S NOT A NUMBER  
16 THAT WAS IN THE STATUTE.

17 MS. SANBORN: RIGHT.

18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT WAS A NUMBER THAT  
19 WAS IN OUR REGULATIONS. WELL, I WOULD LIKE US TO  
20 EXAMINE THIS SOMEHOW, EITHER IN TERMS OF OUR  
21 REGULATORY PROCESS OR THE STATUTE. I DON'T KNOW  
22 WHAT WE COULD DO.

23 MS. FRIEDMAN: IF I MAY, CHAIRMAN  
24 CHESBRO, I DO WANT TO CLARIFY THAT STATUTE ALSO  
25 HAS A LIMITATION ON THIS BECAUSE IT SAYS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       GENERATION BASED IN STATUTE AS WELL.  SO IT'S NOT  
2       JUST OUR REGULATIONS.  IT'S ALSO THE STATUTORY  
3       PROVISION THAT DESCRIBES THIS AS A BASIS OF  
4       GENERATION AS OPPOSED TO DISPOSAL.  SO WE DO HAVE  
5       A PROBLEM WITH THE STATUTE AS WELL.

6                 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:  WELL, LIKE I SAID,  
7       EVERYBODY SITTING IN THE ROOM THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN  
8       INVOLVED IN OR AT THE TABLE, AS DENISE DELMATIER  
9       ALWAYS LIKES TO SAY, DISCUSSING THE ISSUE OF WHAT  
10      IT SHOULD BE, WHAT THE REQUIREMENT SHOULD BE, I  
11      DON'T THINK ANYBODY WOULD HAVE IMAGINED THAT DEL  
12      NORTE COUNTY WOULD NOT BE A QUALIFIED JURISDIC-  
13      TION.  IT'S ESPECIALLY IRONIC GIVEN THE FACT THAT  
14      THEY DON'T APPEAR TO BE REALLY VERY INTERESTED IN  
15      NUMERIC REDUCTIONS.  THEY'RE INTERESTED IN SIMPLY  
16      THE STREAMLINING OF THE PROCESS, WHICH ALSO THIS  
17      BOARD MADE A PRIORITY OF STREAMLINING FOR THE  
18      RURAL AREAS.

19                SO I GUESS I WOULD ASK STAFF AND  
20      MAYBE THE ADVISORS TO THIS COMMITTEE TO GET THEIR  
21      HEADS TOGETHER AND TRY TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN  
22      ADDRESS DEL NORTE'S PROBLEM.  I DON'T WANT TO TRY  
23      TO SOLVE IT HERE TODAY, BUT IT WOULD BE, I THINK,  
24      A GOOD IDEA FOR US TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO  
25      MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE NOT PENALIZING DEL NORTE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COUNTY.

2 MS. FRIEDMAN: IF I MAY RESPOND TO THAT  
3 AS WELL, YES, ALSO STAFF HAVE BEEN LOOKING AT  
4 DIFFERENT STATUTORY LANGUAGE OPTIONS THAT, IF THE  
5 BOARD SO DESIRED, WE COULD PURSUE IN TERMS OF  
6 FIXING THIS ISSUE. SO...

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WHAT'S THE SENSE OF  
8 THE OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS? I KNOW WE DON'T --  
9 YOU KNOW, IT'S KIND OF VERY LATE IN THE LEGIS-  
10 LATIVE PROCESS FOR US TO BE ABLE TO GENERATE A  
11 LEGISLATIVE CONCEPT; BUT IF THERE WAS INTEREST IN  
12 THE LEGISLATURE OF A SIMPLE FIX THAT WOULD RESOLVE  
13 THE PROBLEM. IT'S NOT ON THE AGENDA FOR US TO  
14 VOTE ON, BUT JUST A GENERAL SENSE OF THE  
15 COMMITTEE. IS THERE AN INTEREST IN THAT?

16 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'M JUST WONDERING HOW  
17 MANY OF OUR JURISDICTIONS MAY BE AFFECTED OR FALL  
18 IN THIS GENERAL AREA. ONCE YOU START MOVING THE  
19 TARGET UP, THEN YOU RUN INTO OTHER ONES THAT ARE  
20 JUST A LITTLE --

21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S ALWAYS A PROBLEM  
22 OF WHERE DO YOU DRAW THE LINE.

23 MS. SANBORN: WE DO HAVE MORE THAT ARE  
24 COMING UP, LIKE THE CITY OF CORNING IN TEHAMA  
25 COUNTY IS NOT QUALIFYING. THEY'RE 50 PEOPLE OVER

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE POPULATION DENSITY REQUIREMENT.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT IS POSSIBLE, IT  
3 SEEMS -- I'M INTERRUPTING YOU. I'M SORRY.

4 MS. SANBORN: THAT'S OKAY. SUSANVILLE IS  
5 THE SAME THING TODAY.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I WAS GOING TO SAY  
7 IT'S POSSIBLE THAT ADDRESSING THE QUESTION OF  
8 WHETHER IT SHOULD BE GENERATION OR DISPOSAL THAT  
9 IS THE MEASUREMENT. WE AT LEAST MIGHT AVOID THE  
10 IDEA THAT WE'RE PENALIZING SOMEBODY FOR THEIR  
11 DIVERSION RATE, YOU KNOW. I'M JUST SORT OF  
12 THINKING OUT LOUD HERE, BUT THAT MIGHT ALLOW US TO  
13 GET AWAY FROM THE QUESTION OF, A LITTLE BIT  
14 ANYWAY, OF JUST WHERE THE LINE IS DRAWN. ALTHOUGH  
15 INEVITABLY, LIKE YOU SAY, WHEREVER THE LINE IS  
16 DRAWN, SOMEBODY IS GOING TO BE ON THE RIGHT SIDE  
17 OF IT AND SOMEBODY IS GOING TO ON THE WRONG SIDE  
18 OF IT.

19 I KNOW STATE AGENCIES THAT DEAL WITH  
20 RURAL COUNTIES HAVE STRUGGLED WITH THIS PROBLEM  
21 FOR -- IT'S NOT JUST THIS BOARD. EVERY AGENCY  
22 THAT DEALS WITH RURAL COUNTIES HAS TRIED TO FIGURE  
23 OUT HOW DO YOU DEFINE A RURAL COUNTY. IT'S ALWAYS  
24 BEEN A PROBLEM.

25 MR. SCHMIDLE: WE HAVEN'T DONE A STRICT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ANALYSIS, BUT THERE SEEMS TO BE A NUMBER OF THEM  
2 THAT ARE SORT OF CLUSTERED AT THE BOTTOM, SO I  
3 DON'T THINK WE'D HAVE TO CHANGE IT VERY MUCH. AND  
4 I DON'T THINK THERE'D TOO MANY PEOPLE WHO WOULD BE  
5 GETTING -- I DON'T THINK WE REALLY HAVE TO WORRY  
6 ABOUT TOO MANY PEOPLE GETTING A FREE RIDE OR  
7 ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT WOULD HELP. AS I  
9 SAID, THE BOARD SET, I THINK, A PRETTY CLEAR  
10 PRIORITY THAT ONE OF THE THINGS WE WANTED TO DO  
11 WAS SIMPLIFY THESE PROCESSES FOR THE RURAL  
12 COUNTIES. AND I DON'T THINK WE WANT TO SPEND A  
13 LOT OF BOARD ENERGY TRYING TO FORCE DEL NORTE  
14 COUNTY TO JUMP THROUGH HOOPS THAT REALLY AREN'T  
15 PRODUCTIVE FOR THE AMOUNT OF WASTE THAT THEY HAVE  
16 AND THE FACT THAT THEY'RE DOING SO CONSTRUCTIVELY  
17 ON OTHER FRONTS, YOU KNOW.

18 OKAY. WELL, THERE'S A SENSE OF THE  
19 COMMITTEE. IT'S NOT A FORMAL DIRECTION TO STAFF,  
20 BUT I THINK THAT SHOULD GIVE YOU SOME ABILITY TO  
21 WORK WITHIN SOME CONSTRAINTS AS TO HOW WE DO WHAT  
22 WE CAN TO HELP.

23 THANKS, KEVIN.

24 THE NEXT ITEM IS SUSANVILLE IN  
25 LASSEN COUNTY, ANOTHER RURAL AREA, ITEM 11, WHICH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 IS THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND  
2 RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE  
3 ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE.

4 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. ITEMS 10 AND 11 ARE  
5 VERY INTERRELATED AND THEY WILL BE PRESENTED  
6 TOGETHER. BILL HUSTON WILL PRESENT THE ITEMS FOR  
7 STAFF, STARTING WITH ITEM 11.

8 MR. HUSTON: GOOD MORNING AGAIN. THE  
9 ITEMS BEFORE YOU TODAY ARE APPROVAL OR  
10 CONSIDERATION OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND  
11 RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS  
12 WASTE ELEMENT SUBMITTED BY THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE.  
13 AS PART OF THEIR SUBMITTAL, THE CITY ALSO  
14 REQUESTED A PETITION ON THE PART OF THE BOARD,  
15 PETITIONED THE BOARD FOR A ONE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION  
16 FOR MEETING THE 1995 25-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL.

17 THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE  
18 ELEMENT MEETS ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE  
19 BOARD, AND STAFF IS RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THAT  
20 DOCUMENT FOR THE CITY OF SUSANVILLE, JUST TO  
21 BASICALLY GET THAT ONE OUT OF THE WAY.

22 THE SRRE, THOUGH, OUTLINES A VARIETY  
23 OF PROGRAMS THAT THE CITY HAS ALREADY IMPLEMENTED  
24 OR INTENDS TO IMPLEMENT TO REDUCE, REUSE, AND  
25 RECYCLE THEIR MATERIALS. AS I NOTED, THE CITY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 REPORTED IN THEIR SRRE THAT THEIR 1995 DIVERSION  
2 RATE WAS GOING TO BE ABOUT 15 PERCENT, THEIR 1996  
3 DIVERSION RATE WOULD BE ABOUT 25 PERCENT, AND  
4 THEIR YEAR 2000 DIVERSION IS PROJECTED TO BE 50  
5 PERCENT.

6 THE CITY IS REQUESTING THE ONE-YEAR  
7 TIME EXTENSION TO MEET THE '95 GOAL IN '96.  
8 ALTHOUGH THE CITY IS ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE A ONE-  
9 YEAR TIME EXTENSION, BOARD POLICY EARLIER THIS  
10 YEAR DID NOT ALLOW OR DOES NOT ALLOW THE BOARD TO  
11 RETROACTIVELY GRANT A ONE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION.  
12 NOW, YOU MIGHT WONDER WHY SUSANVILLE IS REQUESTING  
13 ONLY A ONE-YEAR EXTENSION.

14 THE REALITY IS IS THAT SUSANVILLE  
15 DOES NOT MEET THE BOARD'S DEFINITION OF RURAL  
16 BECAUSE IT EXCEEDS BOTH THE DENSITY -- THE  
17 POPULATION DENSITY AND THE SQUARE MILE LIMITATION  
18 TO BE CONSIDERED RURAL. SO IN LIGHT OF THAT, I  
19 THINK THE BOARD HAS THREE VERY DISTINCT OPTIONS  
20 FOR CONSIDERATION TODAY.

21 THE FIRST IS TO DISAPPROVE THE SRRE  
22 BECAUSE IT DOES NOT MEET -- IT DOES NOT PROJECT A  
23 25-PERCENT REDUCTION BY THE YEAR '95. ADMITTEDLY,  
24 THERE IS LITTLE THE CITY CAN DO AT THIS POINT TO  
25 REACH 25 PERCENT EITHER IN '95 OR IN '96. IT DOES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PROJECT A 25 PERCENT IN '96; BUT BECAUSE OF BOARD  
2 POLICY, IT'S NOT ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE THE ONE-YEAR  
3 EXTENSION. SO THE BOARD CAN DISAPPROVE THE SRRE,  
4 BUT THERE IS LITTLE THAT THE CITY CAN DO TO BRING  
5 IT INTO CONFORMANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS AND BOARD  
6 POLICY.

7 THE SECOND OPTION IS TO APPROVE THE  
8 SRRE. THIS WOULD PERMIT THE BOARD TO APPROVE THE  
9 SRRE, BUT IT WOULD ALSO REQUIRE THE BOARD TO GRANT  
10 AN EXCEPTION TO ITS EARLIER POLICY OF NOT GRANTING  
11 A ONE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION. IF THAT OPTION WERE  
12 SELECTED, WE COULD THEN ALSO APPROVE THE PETITION  
13 SUBMITTED BY THE CITY FOR THE ONE-YEAR EXTENSION.

14 THE THIRD OPTION IS A CONDITIONAL  
15 APPROVAL OF THE SRRE CONDITIONED UPON THE CITY  
16 SUBMITTING ITS FIRST ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD  
17 FOR THE YEARS 1995 AND 1996 BY DECEMBER 31ST OF  
18 THIS YEAR. BASICALLY WHAT THAT DOES IS PUTS THE  
19 CITY ON A COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE TO SAY WE RECOGNIZE  
20 THAT YOU DIDN'T MEET YOUR GOAL IN '95. WE  
21 UNDERSTAND THAT WE THINK YOU ARE GOING TO REACH  
22 THE GOAL IN '96, BUT WE REALLY WANT TO SEE WHAT  
23 PROGRAMS YOU'VE IMPLEMENTED, WHAT DIVERSION YOU'RE  
24 ACHIEVING, AND WE WANT TO SEE THAT VERY QUICKLY.  
25 WE WANT TO SEE IT BY THE END OF THE YEAR.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1                   THIS WOULD NOT REQUIRE THE BOARD TO  
2                   CONSIDER THE PETITION. IT WOULD ALSO NOT REQUIRE  
3                   THE BOARD TO PERMIT AN EXCEPTION TO ITS EARLIER  
4                   POLICY OF RETROACTIVE TIME EXTENSIONS. IN LIGHT  
5                   OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS, THE STAFF IS RECOMMENDING  
6                   BOTH APPROVAL OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE  
7                   ELEMENT AND CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE SRRE  
8                   CONDITIONED UPON THE CITY SUBMITTING ITS FIRST  
9                   ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD BY DECEMBER 31ST OF  
10                  THIS YEAR FOR THE YEARS 1995 AND 1996.

11                  CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. IF YOU RECALL  
12                  THE DISCUSSION OF US NOT GRANTING RETROACTIVE TIME  
13                  EXTENSIONS FOR TIME PERIODS THAT HAVE ALREADY  
14                  PASSED, THE -- THAT WAS PART OF THE LOGIC WAS HOW  
15                  CAN YOU EXTEND SOMETHING WHEN WE'VE ALREADY PASSED  
16                  THE TIME THAT WAS BEING DISCUSSED, BUT ALSO THAT  
17                  ANNUAL REPORTING PROCESS AND THE DETERMINATION OF  
18                  HOW THEY DID DURING THAT YEAR WOULD BE THE PROCESS  
19                  BY WHICH WE WOULD DECIDE WHAT TYPE OF RELIEF WE  
20                  WOULD PROVIDE.

21                  AND I THINK THE STAFF WITHIN THE  
22                  SPIRIT OF THAT HAS COME UP WITH AN ALTERNATE  
23                  SOLUTION TO THE RETROACTIVE TIME EXTENSION. IT  
24                  DOES GIVE THE CITY, IN ESSENCE, AN EXTENSION  
25                  WITHOUT FORMALLY GRANTING IT RETROACTIVELY AS THEY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1           REQUESTED.

2                               SO I THINK THE STAFF HAS MADE A GOOD  
3           RECOMMENDATION HERE.  ARE THERE OTHER OPINIONS?  
4           OTHER COMMENTS THAT MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE WOULD  
5           LIKE TO MAKE?  WE DON'T HAVE ANY REQUESTS FROM THE  
6           CITY OF SUSANVILLE.  I DON'T BELIEVE ANYBODY IS  
7           HERE.  IT'S ALMOST AS HARD TO GET FROM SUSANVILLE  
8           FROM SACRAMENTO AS IT IS FROM CRESCENT CITY, NOT  
9           QUITE THOUGH.

10                            I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION THEN.  
11           WHAT'S THE PLEASURE OF THE COMMITTEE.

12                            MEMBER FRAZEE:  IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH  
13           THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION, THAT WOULD INVOLVE  
14           ATTACHMENT 2 AND ATTACHMENT 3, APPROVAL OF THE  
15           HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE, AND THEN THE  
16           CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE --

17                            MS. FRIEDMAN:  THAT IS CORRECT.

18                            CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:  YES.

19                            MR. HUSTON:  ALSO DISAPPROVAL OF THE  
20           PETITION.

21                            CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:  WELL, THAT'S THE NEXT  
22           ITEM.  SO WE SHOULD PROBABLY TAKE THAT AS A  
23           SEPARATE ACTION.  BUT I DO THINK THAT YOU'RE  
24           RIGHT.  THE ATTACHMENTS -- RESOLUTIONS THAT ARE  
25           ATTACHMENTS 2 AND 3 ARE WHAT WE NEED TO ACT ON

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       TODAY.

2                   MEMBER FRAZEE:  I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION  
3       97-342 AND 97-384.

4                   MEMBER GOTCH:  AND I WILL SECOND.  I'M  
5       SORRY.  I JUST WANTED TO READ THESE FIRST.

6                   CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:  IT'S BEEN MOVED AND  
7       SECONDED.  ANY COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?  IF NOT, WE'LL  
8       SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.  MOTION CARRIES  
9       THREE ZERO.  AND WE'LL PLACE IT ON CONSENT.  AND I  
10      SUPPOSE IF THE CITY WANTS TO APPEAR BEFORE THE  
11      BOARD, THEN WE CAN PULL IT OFF CONSENT.  SINCE  
12      THEY DIDN'T SHOW UP AT COMMITTEE, WE'LL GO AHEAD  
13      AND PLACE IT ON CONSENT.

14                   NOW RETURNING TO ITEM 10, WE'VE  
15      ALREADY HAD THE STAFF REPORT ON THAT, IT IS  
16      ESSENTIALLY THE DISAPPROVAL OF THE TIME EXTENSION  
17      IN MEETING THE 1995 25-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL.  
18      AND IS THERE A RESOLUTION ON THAT?

19                   MR. HUSTON:  NO.

20                   MS. FRIEDMAN:  WE DON'T HAVE ONE YET  
21      BECAUSE WE WEREN'T CERTAIN OF THE OUTCOME, BUT WE  
22      WOULD HAVE ONE BY THE TIME OF THE BOARD MEETING.

23                   CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:  OKAY.  SO I GUESS IT  
24      WOULD JUST SIMPLY BE A MOTION TO ACCEPT STAFF  
25      RECOMMENDATION, DISAPPROVE THE TIME EXTENSION, AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.

2 MEMBER FRAZEE: SO MOVED.

3 MEMBER GOTCH: AND SECONDED.

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AND INCLUDED IN THAT  
5 WOULD BE DIRECTION TO STAFF TO PREPARE A RESOLU-  
6 TION FOR THE BOARD. OKAY. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE  
7 PRIOR ROLL CALL. THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.  
8 THANKS, BILL.

9 NEXT WE HAVE ITEM 15, WHICH IS  
10 CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE  
11 PETITION FOR REDUCTION OF THE YEAR 2000 GOAL FOR  
12 THE CITY OF GONZALES. FORTUNATELY IN MONTEREY  
13 COUNTY THEY HAVE GOOD SENSE TO PRONOUNCE HISPANIC  
14 NAMES WITH THE CORRECT PRONUNCIATION.

15 MS. FRIEDMAN: THE NEXT THREE ITEMS, TWO  
16 PETITIONS FOR REDUCTION AND AN SRRE, WILL BE  
17 PRESENTED BY TABETHA WILLMON. ALL THREE OF THESE  
18 ITEMS ARE FOR SMALL RURAL JURISDICTIONS IN  
19 MONTEREY COUNTY.

20 MS. WILLMON: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN  
21 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M HERE TO  
22 PRESENT INFORMATION ON ITEM NO. 15, WHICH IS THE  
23 PETITION FOR REDUCTION FOR THE CITY OF GONZALES IN  
24 MONTEREY COUNTY.

25 THIS ITEM IS THE STAFF RECOMMENDA-

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 TION FOR THE COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER THE CITY OF  
2 GONZALES' PETITION FOR REDUCTION IN THE 2000 GOAL  
3 FROM THE MANDATED 50 PERCENT TO 32.1 PERCENT.

4 THE CITY OF GONZALES MEETS THE RURAL  
5 CRITERIA AND QUALIFIES TO PETITION THE BOARD FOR A  
6 REDUCTION IN THE MANDATED GOAL. IT HAS AN AREA OF  
7 1.1 SQUARE MILES, A POPULATION OF 6,180 PEOPLE,  
8 AND A WASTE GENERATION RATE OF 5.9 TONS PER DAY.

9 THE CITY OF GONZALES CONTRIBUTES  
10 APPROXIMATELY .005 PERCENT OF THE STATE'S  
11 WASTESTREAM. THE CITY PLANS TO MEET 32.1-PERCENT  
12 DIVERSION BY THE END OF 2000 THROUGH IMPLEMENTA-  
13 TION OF THE FOLLOWING PROGRAMS: BUY-BACK AND  
14 DROP-OFF CENTERS, GOVERNMENTAL WASTE REDUCTION AND  
15 RECYCLING PROGRAMS, SCHOOL RECYCLING PROGRAMS,  
16 PUBLIC OUTREACH PROGRAMS IN BOTH ENGLISH AND  
17 SPANISH, AND SINGLE-FAMILY CURBSIDE RECYCLING AND  
18 YARD WASTE COMPOSTING PROGRAMS.

19 THE CITY OF GONZALES HAS REQUESTED A  
20 REDUCTION IN THE 2000 GOAL FOR THE FOLLOWING  
21 REASONS: LIMITED REVENUE, LIMITED CITY STAFF,  
22 STRICT LIMITATIONS ON OPTIONS FOR ADDITIONAL FEES  
23 DUE TO ITS SMALL POPULATION AND ECONOMIC BASE,  
24 LIMITED COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL BUSINESSES WITH  
25 CORRESPONDING WASTESTREAMS, UNDEVELOPED MARKETS IN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE REGIONS, AND FISCAL IMPACTS OF STATE MANDATED  
2 PROGRAMS.

3 BOARD STAFF HAVE REVIEWED THE  
4 PETITION FROM GONZALES AND FOUND THAT IT COMPLIES  
5 WITH THE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. BOARD STAFF  
6 BELIEVE THAT A REDUCTION IN THE YEAR 2000  
7 REQUIREMENT TO 32.1 PERCENT IS JUSTIFIED AND  
8 RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMITTEE CONSIDER FOR  
9 APPROVAL THE CITY OF GONZALES' PETITION FOR  
10 REDUCTION.

11 THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. COMMENTS?  
13 QUESTIONS? MOTION?

14 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL MOVE APPROVAL OF THE  
15 REQUESTED REDUCTION.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED. IS  
17 THERE A SECOND?

18 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND  
20 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.  
21 MOTION CARRIES.

22 MOVE ON TO ITEM 16, WHICH IS THE  
23 PETITION FOR REDUCTION FOR THE CITY OF GREENFIELD.

24 MS. WILLMON: THIS ITEM IS A STAFF  
25 RECOMMENDATION FOR THE COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CITY OF GREENFIELD'S PETITION FOR REDUCTION IN THE  
2 2000 GOAL FROM 50 PERCENT TO 32.9 PERCENT. THE  
3 CITY OF GREENFIELD ALSO MEETS THE RURAL CRITERIA  
4 AND QUALIFIES TO PETITION FOR A REDUCTION IN THE  
5 GOAL.

6 IT HAS AN AREA OF 2.1 SQUARE MILES,  
7 A POPULATION OF 9,159 PEOPLE, AND HAS A WASTE  
8 GENERATION RATE OF 10.6 TONS PER DAY. THE CITY OF  
9 GREENFIELD CONTRIBUTES APPROXIMATELY .016 PERCENT  
10 OF THE STATE'S WASTESTREAM.

11 IT TOO PLANS TO MEET THE 32.9  
12 DIVERSION BY THE END OF 2000 THROUGH SIMILAR  
13 PROGRAMS, SUCH AS BUY-BACK AND DROP-OFF CENTERS,  
14 GOVERNMENTAL WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING  
15 PROGRAMS, SCHOOL RECYCLING PROGRAMS, CURBSIDE --  
16 SINGLE-FAMILY CURBSIDE AND YARD WASTE COMPOSTING.

17 THE CITY OF GREENFIELD HAS REQUESTED  
18 A REDUCTION IN THE 2000 GOAL FOR SIMILAR REASONS  
19 AS THE CITY OF GONZALES, SINCE THEY'RE JUST AN  
20 ARM'S THROW FROM EACH OTHER: LIMITED REVENUE,  
21 LIMITED CITY STAFF, STRICT LIMITATIONS ON OPTIONS  
22 FOR ADDITIONAL FEES DUE TO SMALL POPULATION AND  
23 ECONOMIC BASE, LIMITED COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL  
24 BUSINESSES WITH CORRESPONDING WASTESTREAMS,  
25 UNDEVELOPED MARKETS, AND FISCAL IMPACTS OF STATE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MANDATED PROGRAMS.

2 BOARD STAFF HAVE REVIEWED THE  
3 PETITION FROM GREENFIELD AND FOUND THAT IT  
4 COMPLIES WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. BOARD  
5 STAFF BELIEVE THAT A REDUCTION IN THE YEAR 2000  
6 REQUIREMENT TO 32.9 IS JUSTIFIED AND RECOMMENDS  
7 THAT THE COMMITTEE CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL THE CITY  
8 OF GREENFIELD'S PETITION FOR REDUCTION.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU.  
10 COMMENTS? QUESTIONS?

11 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF  
12 RESOLUTION 97-339.

13 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL SECOND.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND  
15 SECONDED. IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, WE'LL  
16 SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL. MOTION CARRIES  
17 THREE ZERO. AND THIS AND THE PRIOR ITEM WILL GO  
18 ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. OKAY.

19 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 17, WHICH  
20 ALSO GREENFIELD. IT'S THE APPROVAL OF THE SOURCE  
21 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND NDFE FOR THE  
22 CITY OF GREENFIELD.

23 MS. WILLMON: THIS ITEM IS BEING  
24 PRESENTED BEFORE THE COMMITTEE BECAUSE STAFF  
25 RECOMMENDATION ON THIS ITEM, AND PARTICULARLY THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SRRE, WAS DEPENDENT ON THE OUTCOME OF THE PREVIOUS  
2 ITEM, WHICH WAS CONSIDERATION OF THE PETITION FOR  
3 REDUCTION FOR THE CITY OF GREENFIELD.

4 AS A RESULT OF THE COMMITTEE'S  
5 DECISION TO GRANT THE PETITION, STAFF RECOMMENDS  
6 THAT THE SRRE FOR THE CITY BE APPROVED. THE  
7 CITY'S SRRE PROJECTS TO MEET 26.1 DIVERSION BY  
8 1997 AS ALLOWED BY THEIR BOARD APPROVED EXTENSION  
9 AND 32.9 BY THE YEAR 2000 AS ALLOWED BY THEIR  
10 NEWLY COMMITTEE APPROVED REDUCTION.

11 THE CITY PLANS AND HAS IMPLEMENTED  
12 SEVERAL PROGRAMS TO MEET THESE GOALS, OF WHICH I  
13 DESCRIBED IN THE PREVIOUS ITEM. STAFF HAS ALSO  
14 FOUND THE CITY OF GREENFIELD'S NDFE -- NEVER MIND.  
15 THAT WAS ON CONSENT. WASN'T SURE IF THAT WAS  
16 GOING TO BE ON CONSENT OR NOT. THIS CONCLUDES MY  
17 PRESENTATION.

18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANY  
19 COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? MOTION?

20 MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION NO.  
21 97-337.

22 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND  
24 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.  
25 MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1                   MEMBER FRAZEE:   AND THEN WE DID THE NDFE  
2                   ALREADY.

3

4                   MEMBER GOTCH:   IT WAS ON CONSENT.

5                   CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:   ITEM 23 IS  
6                   CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CHANGE  
7                   THE BASE-YEAR TONNAGE FROM 1990 TO 1995 FOR  
8                   PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SRRE FOR THE CITY OF OXNARD.

9                   MS. FRIEDMAN:   YES, PAT SCHIAVO WILL MAKE  
10                  THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

11                  MR. SCHIAVO:   GOOD MORNING.   ON JULY 25TH  
12                  IN 1995, THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY APPROVED THE SOURCE  
13                  REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF  
14                  OXNARD.   SINCE THAT TIME THE CITY FELT THAT THEY  
15                  COULD COME UP WITH A MUCH MORE ACCURATE APPROACH,  
16                  AND SO THEY'RE REQUESTING THAT THEY BE ALLOWED TO  
17                  CREATE A NEW BASE YEAR FOR THE YEAR 1995.   THIS  
18                  APPROACH IS VERY CONSISTENT WITH THE BOARD'S  
19                  ACTION TO DATE OF APPROVING THE MEASUREMENT  
20                  ACCURACY WORKING GROUP'S RECOMMENDATIONS IN MARCH  
21                  OF THIS YEAR, WHICH TOOK PLACE AND SAID THAT  
22                  CITIES COULD CREATE NEW BASE YEARS.

23                                TO BUILD A NEW BASE YEAR, THE CITY  
24                                SURVEYED A NUMBER OF RECYCLERS, PRIVATE AND  
25                                PUBLIC, THAT ACCEPTED MATERIALS FROM THE CITY OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 OXNARD. THEY ALSO SURVEYED PRIVATE, LARGE,  
2 INDUSTRIAL COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES IN THE AREA WHO  
3 HAD SELF-CONTAINED PROGRAMS THAT DIDN'T GO THROUGH  
4 THE RECYCLING BUSINESSES IN THE AREA. AND THEY  
5 ALSO SURVEYED PRIVATE AND PUBLIC SOURCE REDUCTION  
6 PROGRAMS IN THE AREA.

7 SO THEY AGGREGATED THIS INFORMATION  
8 FOR THE DIVERSION SIDE OF THE EQUATION, AND THEN  
9 WHAT THEY ALSO DID IS THEY USED THE DISPOSAL  
10 REPORTING SYSTEM THAT THE BOARD MAINTAINS AND  
11 CREATED THE NEW GENERATION NUMBER FOR 1995. SO  
12 THEIR PROJECTION FOR 1995, WHICH IS ACTUALLY THE  
13 REALITY, WAS 25 PERCENT IN 1995.

14 AND THE BOARD STAFF SCRUTINIZED THE  
15 APPROACH BECAUSE THIS WAS THE FIRST REQUEST OF  
16 THIS KIND THAT'S COME TO THE COMMITTEE AND FELT  
17 VERY COMFORTABLE WITH THE APPROACH THAT WAS TAKEN  
18 AND THAT OXNARD DID AN EXCELLENT JOB.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I MADE MY  
20 COMMENTS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING. ARE  
21 THERE OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT THIS?

22 MEMBER GOTCH: MOVE RESOLUTION 97-359.

23 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND  
25 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

2 AND I'M GOING TO CALL FOR ABOUT A  
3 TWO-MINUTE BREAK BEFORE WE DO ITEM 25.

4 (RECESS TAKEN.)

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WE'RE NOW BACK  
6 IN SESSION. FOR THE RECORD, WITHOUT ANY OBJECTION  
7 FROM OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS, WE WILL PLACE ITEM  
8 23 ON THE CONSENT AGENDA OF THE BOARD.

9 THE NEXT ITEM IS CONSIDERATION OF  
10 APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. AND BEFORE  
11 STAFF INTRODUCES IT, I WANTED TO MAKE A COUPLE OF  
12 COMMENTS. WE'VE GENERATED SOME LOCAL INPUT AND  
13 ALSO INPUT FROM OTHER BOARD MEMBERS' OFFICES AND  
14 FROM OTHER DIVISIONS OF THE BOARD IN TERMS OF  
15 INTERDIVISIONAL STAFF COMMENT. AND I WANTED TO  
16 COMMENT ON A COUPLE OF AREAS THAT WERE IDENTIFIED  
17 AND I THINK STAFF'S RESPONDED TO, AND I CERTAINLY  
18 WELCOME ANY ADDITIONAL STAFF COMMENT.

19 THE FIRST ONE WAS THE FACT THAT  
20 WHILE THIS IS CALLED A LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN, IT  
21 DIDN'T -- WE DIDN'T JUMP IN AND ROLL UP OUR  
22 SLEEVES AND ADDRESS THE MARKETS QUESTION. THAT  
23 WAS ORIGINALLY SORT OF, I THINK, INTENTIONAL IN  
24 THE PART OF BOTH THE DIVISION AND CERTAINLY MY  
25 ENCOURAGEMENT FROM THIS COMMITTEE SO THAT WE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WEREN'T DUPLICATING THE WORK THAT THE MARKETS  
2 DIVISION AND THE MARKETS COMMITTEE HAVE DONE OVER  
3 THE YEARS TO DEVELOP A MARKETS PLAN. ON THE OTHER  
4 HAND, THEY'RE NOT UNRELATED. IT'S NOT LIKE  
5 THEY'RE COMPLETELY DIVORCED FROM EACH OTHER,  
6 REFERRING BACK TO KEVIN'S METAPHOR. I WON'T NEED  
7 ANY POSTNUPTIAL AGREEMENTS HERE.

8 THE -- I THINK THAT IT'S CRUCIAL  
9 THAT WE ADDRESS THE QUESTION OF DEMAND, WHICH IS  
10 THE WAY I VIEW THE MARKETS PLAN, AND ALSO THE  
11 QUESTION OF SUPPLY, WHICH IS THE WAY I VIEW THE  
12 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. IT'S REALLY TWO PURPOSES  
13 OF A LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN. ONE IS TO HELP LOCAL  
14 JURISDICTIONS ACHIEVE THEIR STATUTORY REQUIRE-  
15 MENTS. THE SECOND ONE IS TO MAKE SURE THAT AN  
16 ADEQUATE, HIGH QUALITY SUPPLY OF MATERIALS IS  
17 GENERATED TO SUPPORT ALL THE EFFORTS THAT ARE  
18 BEING MADE OVER ON THE MARKETS SIDE.

19 SO THE PLAN HAS BEEN ADAPTED AND  
20 MODIFIED TO CROSS REFERENCE AND TRY TO CREATE SOME  
21 INTEGRATION, WHICH IS PART OF WHAT WE'RE SUPPOSED  
22 TO BE ABOUT ALSO WITH THE MARKETS PLAN, THANKS IN  
23 PART TO INPUT FROM BOARD MEMBER RELIS' OFFICE AND  
24 ALSO OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.

25 BUT I WANTED TO MAKE REFERENCE TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1        THAT.  THE TWO ARE INTERRELATED, BUT IT'S LIKE AN  
2        EQUATION OR SOMETHING.  YOU NEED BOTH SIDES OF THE  
3        EQUATION IN ORDER TO SUCCEED IN THIS BUSINESS.

4                    THE OTHER THING -- CONCERN THAT WAS  
5        RAISED HAD TO DO WITH THE QUESTION OF JUST  
6        TARGETING -- APPEARING TO JUST TARGET THE SMALLEST  
7        JURISDICTIONS AND PUT TOO MUCH RESOURCES INTO  
8        THAT.  AND I THINK THAT THAT CERTAINLY WASN'T THE  
9        INTENT, BUT IT COULD HAVE BEEN READ THAT WAY, SO I  
10       THINK THAT'S BEEN RESPONDED TO, AND I'LL ASK STAFF  
11       TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT.

12                    IT'S BOTH -- THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE  
13        PLAN HAS BEEN MODIFIED TO HELP ADDRESS THAT  
14        QUESTION, BUT ALSO I THINK OUR ACTION, OUR  
15        PREVIOUS ACTION, THAT'S AIMED AT STREAMLINING THE  
16        LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROCESS FOR RURALS SHOULD HELP TO  
17        CLEAR THE DECK A LITTLE BIT OF THE RURALS BEING  
18        THE SMALLEST WASTESTREAM DEMANDING A LARGE AMOUNT  
19        OF THE BOARD'S STAFF TIME AND ALLOW PERHAPS A  
20        BROADER APPROACH TO LOCAL ASSISTANCE, WHICH  
21        INVOLVES SUCH THINGS AS THE CASE STUDIES, FOR  
22        EXAMPLE, WHICH WE'RE GOING TO DO A LOT OF.

23                    IF THE BOARD ADOPTS THIS PLAN, WE'RE  
24        GOING TO TRY TO ADOPT A WIDE VARIETY OF CASE  
25        STUDIES THAT WILL ADDRESS THE INDIVIDUAL KINDS OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PROBLEMS THAT DIFFERENT SIZES AND DIFFERENT TYPES  
2 OF JURISDICTIONS HAVE AROUND THE STATE.

3 HOPEFULLY I HAVEN'T GIVEN YOUR WHOLE  
4 PRESENTATION. THAT'S MY POINT OF VIEW AS THE  
5 COMMITTEE CHAIR. I KNOW THAT THERE'S A LOT MORE  
6 TO IT, AND I -- DON'T WORRY ABOUT REPEATING  
7 ANYTHING I'VE SAID. I THINK THE STAFF PERSPECTIVE  
8 NEEDS TO BE GIVEN HERE.

9 BUT I WANTED UP FRONT TO ADDRESS, I  
10 THINK, SOME PRETTY SIGNIFICANT ISSUES THAT I'VE  
11 HEARD FROM TALKING TO LOCAL OFFICIALS AROUND THE  
12 STATE, TALKING TO MY COLLEAGUES, AND MY STAFF, MY  
13 OFFICE TALKING TO THE OFFICES OF OTHER BOARD  
14 MEMBERS ABOUT THEIR CONCERNS. SO THAT BEING THE  
15 CASE, JUDY.

16 MS. FRIEDMAN: THANK YOU. AS YOU KNOW,  
17 IN MARCH THIS COMMITTEE DIRECTED STAFF TO PREPARE  
18 THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN AND PRESENT IT TO THE  
19 COMMITTEE. AND THE PLAN WAS TO IDENTIFY LOCAL  
20 ASSISTANCE WHICH COULD BE PROVIDED TO JURIS-  
21 DICTIONS, THE NEEDS OF THE BOARD REGARDING PROGRAM  
22 PLANNING IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT, AND THE  
23 CRITERIA THE BOARD COULD USE OVER THE NEXT TWO TO  
24 THREE YEARS TO PRIORITIZE REQUESTS, AND TO ASSIST  
25 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO MEET THEIR DISPOSAL REDUCTION

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1           REQUIREMENTS.

2                           AT YOUR MAY MEETING THE COMMITTEE  
3           DIRECTED STAFF TO RELEASE THE DRAFT TO THE  
4           JURISDICTIONS, INTEREST GROUPS, AND THE INTERESTED  
5           INDIVIDUALS FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT. AND STAFF  
6           HAVE ANALYZED THE COMMENTS AND MADE SOME CHANGES  
7           IN THE DRAFT. AND YOU'VE INDICATED, CERTAINLY,  
8           THE AREAS THAT WE'VE HEARD COMMENTERS MAKE, SO  
9           THAT'S WHAT WE CONCENTRATED ON OBVIOUSLY.

10                           AND I DO WANT TO SAY ONE THING  
11           BEFORE I TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO STAFF. THE  
12           ONE COMMENT THAT WE KEPT HEARING FROM LOCAL  
13           GOVERNMENT IS WE NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE REGARDLESS  
14           OF WHETHER WE'RE DOING A GOOD JOB OR NOT. SO IT  
15           WAS ONE THING THAT WE KEPT HEARING PRETTY  
16           UNIVERSALLY WAS WE LIKE AND WE NEED YOUR  
17           ASSISTANCE.

18                           SO WITH THAT, I'LL TURN THE  
19           PRESENTATION OVER TO ALAN WHITE OF THE OFFICE OF  
20           LOCAL ASSISTANCE. AND ONCE HE'S CONCLUDED AND  
21           YOU'VE CONCLUDED, I'D LIKE TO MAKE SOME CLOSING  
22           REMARKS.

23                           MR. WHITE: WHAT I WANT TO SAY IS WHAT  
24           YOU BOTH SAID, THAT'S FINE. I THINK MINE'S MORE  
25           STOIC, AND YOU WILL HAVE TO PUT ALL THESE DATES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND HAVE TO PUT ALL THESE THINGS IN FOR THE  
2 RECORD. YOU GUYS DID A BETTER JOB THAN I DID, BUT  
3 I'LL DO IT ANYWAY.

4 SO GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN AND  
5 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M PLEASED TO PRESENT THE  
6 REVISED DRAFT, THE REVISED DRAFT, OF THE FIRST  
7 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN TO YOU TODAY. AS YOU JUST  
8 HEARD, BUT I'LL SAY IT AGAIN FOR THE RECORD, AS  
9 YOU KNOW, ON MARCH 22D THIS COMMITTEE DIRECTED  
10 STAFF TO PREPARE A DRAFT LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN AND  
11 PRESENT IT TO THE COMMITTEE AT ITS MAY MEETING.

12 AT THE MAY MEETING THE COMMITTEE  
13 GAVE STAFF THEIR COMMENTS AND DIRECTED STAFF TO  
14 RELEASE THE DRAFT PLAN TO JURISDICTIONS, INTEREST  
15 GROUPS, AND THE INTERESTED INDIVIDUALS FOR REVIEW  
16 AND COMMENT. WE DID SEND OUT THE DRAFT PLAN TO  
17 ALL 530 PLUS JURISDICTIONS WHO'S ON OUR BOARD'S  
18 MAILING LIST. WE'VE ALSO SENT COPIES TO ALL THE  
19 INTEREST GROUPS, HELD AN INTERNAL MEETING HERE.  
20 SEVERAL OF YOU HAVE TAKEN COPIES OF THE PLAN OUT  
21 TO VARIOUS OTHER INTEREST GROUPS. I THINK WE  
22 DID -- I THINK WE'RE PRETTY CONFIDENT WE DID A  
23 GOOD JOB OF GETTING THE WORD OUT.

24 WHEN I WROTE THIS, STAFF HAD  
25 RECEIVED 18 WRITTEN COMMENTS. SOUNDS LIKE WE MAY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BE UP TO A TOTAL OF 20 FROM WHAT I HEARD OF TWO OF  
2 YOUR FOUR THAT I HAVEN'T YET RECEIVED OR SEEN.  
3 THAT'S ALL WE'VE GOTTEN IN WRITTEN COMMENTS IS  
4 ABOUT 20.

5 AND THOSE COMMENTS FALL INTO THREE  
6 BASIC SUBJECT GROUPS. THE FIRST AND THE LARGEST  
7 ARE REALLY EDITS. HERE AND THERE YOU WILL SEE  
8 DIFFERENT WORDING CHANGES THAT THEY WOULD PREFER  
9 AIMED AT SOME OF THE SUBJECTS THAT YOU'RE BRINGING  
10 UP. THEY WANT TO BE SURE THAT -- SINCE THIS IS  
11 RECEIVED BY EVERYBODY, JUST THESE LITTLE TINY WORD  
12 CHANGES THAT HELP ENCOURAGE THAT REFINEMENT OF THE  
13 MESSAGE, AND MOST OF THOSE WE'VE GONE AHEAD AND  
14 INCLUDED.

15 THE SECOND GROUP OF COMMENTS IS  
16 COMPRISED MAINLY OF JURISDICTIONS THAT JUDY JUST  
17 REFERRED TO THAT WANT TO BE, EVEN THOUGH THEY  
18 REALLY HAVE THEIR ACT TOGETHER, THEY STILL WANT TO  
19 BE ABLE TO GET ASSISTANCE IF THEY NEED IT, WHETHER  
20 THEY CAN GET INTO OUR LIBRARY OR GET AN EXAMPLE OF  
21 A BETTER PROGRAM THAT'S WORKING. THEY STILL WANT  
22 TO BE REASSURED THAT WE'RE GOING TO ANSWER THE  
23 PHONE.

24 AND, OF COURSE, THAT'S ALWAYS BEEN  
25 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE PRIORITY, SO THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WILL BE DONE. SO WE WENT THROUGH THE PLAN AND  
2 MADE SEVERAL CHANGES, ESPECIALLY USING THE WORD  
3 "ALL JURISDICTIONS." YOU WILL SEE THAT A COUPLE  
4 OF TIMES. THEY EVEN LEFT IT UNDERLINED IN THE  
5 COPY YOU'VE GOT. WE WENT THROUGH AND CLARIFIED  
6 THAT ALL JURISDICTIONS WILL ALWAYS RECEIVE  
7 ASSISTANCE. WE'RE NOT GOING TO NEVER NOT ANSWER  
8 THE PHONE.

9 THE PRIORITIES ARE THERE JUST TO  
10 HELP STAFF AND MANAGEMENT PRIORITIZE WHEN WE GET  
11 THE BIG JOBS WE HAVE TO GET IN.

12 THE THIRD GROUP, AS YOU JUST  
13 REFERRED TO, CHAIRMAN, WERE CONCERNED ABOUT  
14 FOCUSING ON THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS  
15 THAT MEET THE 939 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS, AND THEY  
16 WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE FOCUS ON THE TECHNICAL  
17 ASSISTANCE FOR THOSE JURISDICTIONS WITH THE  
18 GREATEST POTENTIAL FOR DIVERSION. AND SO, AGAIN,  
19 BASED ON WHAT THEY SAID, BASED ON YOUR INPUT FROM  
20 THE LAST MEETING, I'VE GONE THROUGH SEVERAL PLACES  
21 AND TRIED TO CLARIFY THE FACT THAT WE DO HAVE BOTH  
22 MANDATES, AND WE WILL BE WORKING FOR BOTH. I  
23 DIDN'T USE THE WORD "RATCHET UP" THAT YOU GAVE ME  
24 LAST TIME. BUT, IN EFFECT, YOU CAN SEE IT  
25 HOPEFULLY AND WE'RE CLEARLY IN THE PLAN THAT WE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 HAVE A MANDATE TO GET ALL THOSE PLANS IN, AND THAT  
2 MANDATE WILL ALWAYS BE MET. BUT THE  
3 IMPLEMENTATION PART I'VE TRIED TO PUSH A LITTLE  
4 HARDER SO YOU CAN SEE WHERE WE WOULD FOCUS ON  
5 IMPLEMENTATION. SO THOSE ARE ALSO INCLUDED IN THE  
6 PLAN.

7 AND THEN SIT BACK AND LISTEN TO THE  
8 PARAGRAPH THAT I HAVE TO READ. AS REVISED, THIS  
9 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN STILL IDENTIFIES THE LOCAL  
10 ASSISTANCE WHICH HAS BEEN REQUESTED BY JURISDIC-  
11 TION, THE NEEDS OF THE BOARD REGARDING PROGRAM  
12 PLANNING, IMPLEMENTATION, AND ENFORCEMENT, AND THE  
13 CRITERIA THAT THE BOARD COULD USE OVER THE NEXT  
14 TWO TO THREE YEARS TO PRIORITIZE REQUESTS AND  
15 ASSIST LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO MEET THEIR DISPOSAL  
16 REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS. THE REVISED PLAN SETS  
17 DIRECTION FOR BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND STRONGLY  
18 ENCOURAGES THE FORMATION OF PARTNERSHIPS AS WELL  
19 AS COORDINATE ASSISTANCE INTEGRATED OUTREACH.  
20 ALSO HELP TO REVIEW JURISDICTION NEEDS, CURRENT  
21 POLICIES, REGULATIONS, AND LEGISLATION TO  
22 CONTINUALLY STREAMLINE, CLARIFY, AND SIMPLIFY  
23 LOCAL GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENTS.

24 AS YOU CAN SEE, THE PLAN STILL  
25 MAINTAINS A BALANCE OF ASSISTING THE JURISDICTIONS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       AND MEETING THE LEGISLATIVE PLANNING REQUIREMENTS  
2       AND THEN ASSISTING THEM IN SUCCESSFULLY MOVING ON  
3       INTO THE IMPLEMENTATION STAGE.  THEREFORE, STAFF  
4       RECOMMENDS APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT LOCAL ASSISTANCE  
5       PLAN.  AND THAT BASICALLY CONCLUDES MY PRESENTA-  
6       TION, AND I'LL GO BACK TO JUDY.

7               MS. FRIEDMAN:  ACTUALLY I WAS GOING TO  
8       WAIT FOR YOUR ACTION AND THEN SAY A FEW WORDS.

9               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:  COMMENTS FROM OTHER  
10       MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE?  I NOTE THAT THERE'S  
11       STAFF PEOPLE -- THEY PROBABLY DON'T HEAR ME  
12       TALKING RIGHT AT THE MOMENT.  THERE'S STAFF PEOPLE  
13       OF TWO OF THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE HERE,  
14       AND WE'VE TRIED TO BE AS INCLUSIVE AS WE COULD.  
15       I'M HOPING WHEN THIS COMES TO THE BOARD, THAT IF  
16       THERE WERE CONCERNS, WE WILL HAVE INCORPORATED  
17       THOSE CONCERNS.

18               CAN I ASK THE -- HOWARD, CAN I ASK  
19       THE ADVISORS TO THE OTHER TWO BOARD MEMBERS THAT  
20       ARE REPRESENTED HERE WHETHER THERE ARE ADDITIONAL  
21       SUBSTANTIVE CONCERNS THAT ARE LIKELY TO GET RAISED  
22       RELATIVE TO THIS?  WE'VE TRIED TO BE AS INCLUSIVE  
23       AS WE COULD.  I'D LIKE TO GET THESE, IF THERE ARE  
24       REMAINING ISSUES, MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE TAKEN  
25       CARE OF SO THAT WE CAN BRING THIS THING FORWARD

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WITH SOME HELPFULNESS. I KNOW WE'VE TRIED TO  
2 COMMUNICATE AND INCORPORATE PAUL'S CONCERNS. BUT  
3 I JUST WANTED TO ASK YOU --

4 MR. LEVENSON: APPRECIATE THE  
5 OPPORTUNITY, MR. CHAIR. I WOULD SAY IN REVIEWING  
6 THE PLAN I THINK STAFF HAS RESPONDED TO PAUL'S  
7 CONCERNS THAT WE RAISED ABOUT THE PRIORITY ISSUES  
8 AND SOME OF THE LINKS WITH MARKETS.

9 WE WERE TALKING WITH PAUL LAST  
10 NIGHT -- HE'S ON JURY DUTY, AS YOU KNOW. IT'S A  
11 LITTLE DIFFICULT -- BUT HE DOES WANT TO DISCUSS  
12 ONE ISSUE, I THINK, AT THE BOARD MEETING; THAT IS,  
13 THE NATURE OF ASSISTANCE, NOT TO -- NOT THE PLAN  
14 ITSELF, BUT WHAT IS THE TYPE OF ASSISTANCE THAT WE  
15 MIGHT BE PROVIDING TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS IN TERMS  
16 OF CARRYING OUT PROGRAMS, IDENTIFYING BARRIERS,  
17 HOW MIGHT WE WORK BETTER TO AID LOCAL JURIS-  
18 DICTIONS AND THEIR COLLECTORS AND POTENTIAL MARKET  
19 END USERS IN IDENTIFYING SPECIFIC PROBLEMS. SO I  
20 THINK HE WANTS TO DISCUSS THAT IN GENERAL, BUT  
21 OTHER THAN THAT, IT'S NOT A SUBSTANTIVE PROBLEM.  
22 IT'S JUST A FOCUS ISSUE. DOES THAT HELP?

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YES, THAT IS HELPFUL.  
24 THANKS. ANY CONCERNS FROM MR. JONES' OFFICE  
25 THAT --

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1                   MR. LIPSON: WE HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO  
2 REVIEW THIS WITH HIM IN DETAIL, BUT PRELIMINARY  
3 REVIEW JUST RAISED A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. ONE, I  
4 KNOW THAT EARLY ON WHEN THIS QUESTION CAME UP OF  
5 THE BOARD'S ROLE IN LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING,  
6 STEVE'S CONCERN WAS THAT WE MOVE TOWARD IMPLEMEN-  
7 TATION ASSISTANCE VERSUS PLANNING ASSISTANCE. AND  
8 THAT WAS ONE PRIORITY THAT I THINK IS SOMEWHAT  
9 REFLECTED IN THIS LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN.

10                   THE OTHER WAS A CONCERN THAT  
11 RESOURCES BE DIRECTED TOWARD THOSE JURISDICTIONS  
12 THAT HAVE DONE THE LEAST. THAT'S A MESSAGE THAT  
13 SEEMS TO COME THROUGH FROM SOME OF THE DISCUSSION  
14 IN THE PLAN, AND THAT MAY BE SENDING A WRONG  
15 MESSAGE. I DON'T KNOW THAT WE WANT TO SAY TO  
16 JURISDICTIONS THOSE WHO ARE WORSE SHAPE DESERVE  
17 THE MOST ASSISTANCE FROM THE BOARD. SO I THINK  
18 THAT'S A QUESTION THAT NEEDS SOME MORE DISCUSSION.

19                   CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IS THAT RESPONDING  
20 PRIMARILY TO THE PREVIOUS DRAFT, OR IS THAT STILL  
21 A CONCERN WITH THE CURRENT DRAFT --

22                   MR. LIPSON: I READ THE DRAFT IN THE --  
23 IN YOUR PACKET.

24                   CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, CERTAINLY, I  
25 THINK THAT MY RESPONSE AND THE STAFF'S RESPONSE,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1       AND I DON'T THINK I CAN SPEAK FOR THE COMMITTEE,  
2       BUT I THINK THERE'S A GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH BOTH  
3       OF THE THINGS THAT YOU'VE SAID. AND SO IF THERE  
4       ARE SPECIFIC -- IF THERE CONTINUE TO BE SPECIFIC  
5       AREAS THAT WE CAN FURTHER CLARIFY THAT IN ORDER TO  
6       ANSWER THOSE CONCERNS, WE WOULD WELCOME THE INPUT.

7               MR. LIPSON: I THINK THE QUESTION IS  
8       WHETHER THE SPECIFIC KINDS OF ASSISTANCE THAT LEND  
9       TOWARD PROVIDING HELP TO JURISDICTIONS ON THE  
10      IMPLEMENTATION SIDE, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN IN  
11      SPECIFIC TERMS. I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING THAT  
12      NEEDS MORE TIME.

13             CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THE POINT ABOUT  
14      IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE AS OPPOSED TO PLANNING  
15      ASSISTANCE HAS BEEN THE REASON WHY I'VE BEEN  
16      PUSHING THIS THING. I MEAN IT'S THAT TRANSITION  
17      THAT IS, IN MY MIND, THE WHOLE PURPOSE FOR THIS,  
18      NOT THAT WE'RE GOING TO STOP PLANNING ASSISTANCE,  
19      BUT THAT SHOULD BE SHRINKING AS OUR PRIORITY, AND  
20      THE PRIORITY NEEDS TO BE, AS YOU'VE SAID,  
21      IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE. SO WE WELCOME THE  
22      FURTHER COMMENTS AND LOOK FORWARD TO INCORPORATING  
23      THOSE CONCERNS INTO THE PLAN AT THE BOARD MEETING.

24             MR. LIPSON: THANK YOU.

25             CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ANY OTHER

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COMMENTS FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE?

2 MEMBER GOTCH: NO.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I'LL WELCOME A MOTION  
4 ON THIS ITEM. I WOULD SUGGEST ACCEPTING THE  
5 STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AND PLACING IT ON THE  
6 BOARD'S REGULAR DISCUSSION AGENDA.

7 MEMBER FRAZEE: THAT'S WHAT I WAS GOING  
8 TO SAY. SO MOVED.

9 MEMBER GOTCH: AND SECONDED.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE  
11 PRIOR ROLL. THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO. AND  
12 I'D LIKE TO THANK STAFF VERY MUCH FOR ALL THE GOOD  
13 WORK AND CALL ON JUDY TO MAKE HER ADDITIONAL  
14 COMMENTS.

15 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES, I APPRECIATE THAT,  
16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. JUST A  
17 COUPLE COMMENTS THAT I'D LIKE TO MAKE. IT'S NOT  
18 LOST ON ME THAT MANY FOLKS HAVE SAID, "NOW THAT  
19 ALL THE PLANS ARE DONE, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO  
20 WITH ALL THOSE STAFF THAT HAVE BEEN WORKING ON  
21 PLANS?"

22 AND THE -- YOU KNOW, YOU'VE NOTED  
23 THAT, YES, WE STILL CONTINUE TO GET REQUESTS FOR  
24 PLANNING ASSISTANCE. AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO AS  
25 WE'RE STILL WORKING TOWARDS A HUNDRED PERCENT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COMPLIANCE ON PLAN ADEQUACY, AS YOU KNOW. BUT,  
2 YOU KNOW, WE DO HAVE THE BULK OF THE PLANS IN, SO  
3 THIS PLAN LAYS OUT WHAT THE BLUEPRINT FOR  
4 IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE. AND THAT WAS A  
5 QUESTION, AND IF YOU NOTE IN THE ATTACHMENT, THERE  
6 ARE SEVERAL LISTINGS IN THIS PARTICULAR PLAN OF  
7 ALL THE DIFFERENT KINDS OF ACTIVITIES THAT STAFF  
8 ARE PROPOSING TO ENGAGE IN TERMS OF IMPLEMENTATION  
9 ASSISTANCE.

10 AND WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE DOING IN  
11 ORDER TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE BOARD, THE  
12 STRATEGIC PLAN, AND THIS SUBPLAN UNDER THE  
13 STRATEGIC PLAN IS LOOKING AT ORGANIZING  
14 APPROPRIATELY TO MEET IMPLEMENTATION ASSISTANCE  
15 NEEDS. AND SO WE ARE UNDERGOING A LOOK AT HOW  
16 WE'RE ORGANIZED WITHIN THE DIVISION TO MAKE SURE  
17 THAT WE MAXIMIZE RESOURCES ON IMPLEMENTATION  
18 ASSISTANCE. AND YOU WILL BE HEARING MORE FROM ME  
19 ON THAT WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE STRATEGIC PLAN THAT  
20 COMES BACK IN SEPTEMBER TO THIS BOARD. I JUST  
21 WANTED TO GIVE YOU HEADS UP ON THAT JUST SO THAT  
22 YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WE ARE RETOOLING TO MEET  
23 TODAY'S NEEDS.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: EXCELLENT. THANKS.  
25 OKAY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1                   THE FINAL ACTION ITEM IS CONSIDERA-  
2                   TION OF THE PROPOSED WINNERS FOR THE 1997 WASTE  
3                   REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM.

4                   MS. FRIEDMAN:   CAREN TRGOVCICH ASKED THAT  
5                   I INTRODUCE JEFF HUNTS FOR THE PRESENTATION FOR  
6                   STAFF.

7                   MR. HUNTS:   MORNING.   GOOD MORNING,  
8                   COMMITTEE MEMBERS.   MY NAME IS JEFF HUNTS.   I'M  
9                   THE SUPERVISOR OF THE BUSINESS EDUCATION  
10                  ASSISTANCE SECTION.   I HAVE WITH ME THIS MORNING  
11                  LINDA HENNESSY, WHO IS THE WASTE REDUCTION  
12                  PROGRAMS -- AWARD PROGRAM COORDINATOR.

13                  AND THIS ITEM IS BEFORE THE  
14                  COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER THE APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED  
15                  LIST OF 1997 WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM OR  
16                  WRAP WINNERS.

17                  IN SHORT, THE WRAP APPLICATIONS HAVE  
18                  ALL BEEN SCORED, AND THE LIST OF POTENTIAL WINNERS  
19                  HAVE BEEN REVIEWED BY THE PERMITTING AND  
20                  ENFORCEMENT DIVISION TO DETERMINE IF THERE WERE  
21                  ANY OUTSTANDING REGULATORY OR COMPLIANCE ISSUES  
22                  RELATED TO ANY OF THE APPLICANTS.   IN FACT, I  
23                  BELIEVE ONE OF THE ATTACHMENTS TO THIS ITEM WOULD  
24                  HAVE BEEN THE LIST OF IDENTIFIED APPLICANTS, BUT  
25                  THERE WERE NONE THAT HAD OUTSTANDING REGULATORY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ISSUES.

2 BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, THE WASTE  
3 REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM, THE WRAP PROGRAM, IS AN  
4 ANNUAL PROGRAM ESTABLISHED IN 1993 BY THE BOARD  
5 THAT RECOGNIZES CALIFORNIA BUSINESSES THAT HAVE  
6 MADE OUTSTANDING EFFORTS TO REDUCE NONHAZARDOUS  
7 SOLID WASTE AND SEND LESS GARBAGE TO OUR  
8 LANDFILLS.

9 EACH YEAR APPLICANTS COMPLETE AN  
10 APPLICATION WITH QUESTIONS THAT APPLY TO THEIR  
11 RESOURCE EFFICIENCY AND WASTE REDUCTION PRACTICES.  
12 APPLICANTS DO NOT COMPETE AGAINST EACH OTHER, BUT  
13 INSTEAD MUST SATISFY THE BREADTH OF WASTE  
14 REDUCTION ACTIVITIES RANGING FROM PREVENTION,  
15 REUSE, RECYCLING, AND THROUGH BUYING RECYCLED, AS  
16 WELL AS EMPLOYEE EDUCATION.

17 EACH YEAR THE STAFF REVISES AND  
18 APPROVES THE LAST YEAR'S APPLICATION. HIGHLIGHTS  
19 OF THE REVISIONS THAT WERE INCORPORATED IN THIS  
20 YEAR'S APPLICATION INCLUDED EXTENDED DIRECTIONS ON  
21 THE DIFFERENT WAYS BUSINESSES COULD APPLY FOR A  
22 WRAP AWARD, MULTIFACILITY-TYPE APPLICANTS. WE HAD  
23 A QUESTION THAT MENTIONED ISO 14000, THE  
24 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM STANDARDS, TO GET  
25 A FEEL FOR HOW CALIFORNIA BUSINESSES ARE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PERCEIVING THAT SYSTEM.

2 WE'VE CONVERTED THE WRAP'S PREVIOUS  
3 INDUSTRY TYPE CATEGORIES TO COINCIDE WITH THE 38  
4 SUBPOPULATIONS THAT ARE USED WITHIN THE BOARD'S  
5 UNIFORM WASTE CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE. WE HAVE  
6 A SPECIAL FIVE-YEAR WINNER RECOGNITION COMPONENT,  
7 AND WE'VE REFINED SOME OF OUR SCORING METHODOLO-  
8 GIES TO CORRECT PREVIOUS INEQUITIES THAT WERE  
9 AFFECTING SMALL BUSINESSES.

10 ADDITIONALLY, STAFF EACH YEAR ALSO  
11 SEEKS TO IMPROVE THE WAY THE PROGRAM, OVERALL  
12 PROGRAM, OPERATES. LATELY, WE HAVE BEEN USING THE  
13 BOARD'S WEBSITE TO PUBLICIZE THE PROGRAM AND TO  
14 ACTUALLY MAKE THE APPLICATION AVAILABLE VIA OUR  
15 WEBSITE. WE'VE ADJUSTED THE APPLICATION PERIOD SO  
16 THAT WINNERS COULD BE PROMOTED DURING THE  
17 NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED POLLUTION PREVENTION WEEK,  
18 WHICH IS UPCOMING IN SEPTEMBER, AND WE HAVE BEEN  
19 USING THE WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR COMPONENT OF THE  
20 PROGRAM TO FURTHER PROMOTE THE PROGRAM AND THE  
21 CONCEPTS OF BUSINESS WASTE REDUCTION THROUGHOUT  
22 THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY.

23 AS I SAID EARLIER, THE LIST OF  
24 PROPOSED WINNERS HAS BEEN REVIEWED BY THE P&E  
25 DIVISION AND WITHOUT ANY IDENTIFIED ISSUES. THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 TIME FRAME THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT FOR PROMOTING --  
2 FOR RECOGNIZING AND PROMOTING WRAP WINNERS THIS  
3 YEAR IS DURING POLLUTION PREVENTION WEEK, WHICH IS  
4 SEPTEMBER 15TH THROUGH THE 21ST. AND A COPY OF  
5 THE RESOLUTION THAT BOTH DESIGNATES THIS YEAR'S  
6 WINNERS AND PROMOTES THEM DURING POLLUTION  
7 PREVENTION WEEK IS ATTACHED TO THIS ITEM.

8 LINDA, HERE, WILL NOW RUN THROUGH  
9 SOME OF THE NUMBERS THAT RESULTED FROM THIS YEAR,  
10 AS WELL AS PAST YEAR'S PROGRAMS.

11 MS. HENNESSY: YEAH. JEFF'S GOING TO PUT  
12 UP A COUPLE OF GRAPHICS. THIS YEAR WE HAD 278  
13 WINNERS OUT OF 290 APPLICANTS. WE HAVE COMPARED  
14 THAT -- OH, AND OUT OF THE 278 WINNERS, 18 OF  
15 THESE ARE FIVE-YEAR WINNERS. THEY'VE APPLIED AND  
16 WON EVERY YEAR OF THE PROGRAM'S EXISTENCE, SO  
17 THAT'S -- WE'RE DOING A SPECIAL CERTIFICATE FOR  
18 THEM THIS YEAR FOR THAT AND A LETTER.

19 MEMBER GOTCH: LINDA, MAY I INTERRUPT  
20 RIGHT HERE. I'D LIKE TO ASK IF IN THE FUTURE WE  
21 COULD ADD ANOTHER COLUMN AND LET US KNOW OF THE  
22 QUALIFYING APPLICANTS, WHICH ONES HAVE BEEN  
23 PREVIOUS WINNERS AND HOW MANY TIMES.

24 MS. HENNESSY: OH, SURE. IN FACT, WE'RE  
25 STARTING TO TRACK A LOT MORE OF THAT KIND OF DATA

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND GETTING IT ON OUR DATABASE HERE. IN THE PAST  
2 THE CONTRACTORS KEPT ALL THAT, BUT IT'S  
3 INTERESTING AND FUN TO BE ABLE TO DO THESE  
4 MANIPULATIONS.

5 MEMBER GOTCH: THANK YOU.

6 MS. HENNESSY: THIS IS THE '97 WINNERS.  
7 OUT OF THE 278, 18 ARE FIVE-YEAR WINNERS, 42 HAVE  
8 WON FOR FOUR YEARS, ETC. AND THEN 128 JUST OF THE  
9 THESE APPLICANTS JUST HAVE WON THIS YEAR.

10 THIS IS COMPARING THE FOUR YEARS OR  
11 THE FIVE YEARS SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE PROGRAM  
12 THE NUMBER OF WINNERS ACROSS THE YEARS.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NOW, BEFORE SOMEBODY  
14 ELSE ASKS ABOUT THE 1997 NUMBER BEING LESS, I WAS  
15 GOING TO ASK FOR THAT EXPLANATION.

16 MS. HENNESSY: I WAS JUST GOING TO GET  
17 INTO THAT. WHILE IT LOOKS LIKE A LOWER NUMBER,  
18 LAST YEAR WE STARTED, BASED ON A REQUEST FROM VONS  
19 GROCERS -- SUPERMARKETS, WHO WANTED TO APPLY, BUT  
20 DID NOT WANT TO SUBMIT AN INDIVIDUAL APPLICATION  
21 FOR EACH SITE. THEY WANTED TO APPLY AS ONE  
22 ENTITY, AND WE HADN'T DONE THAT BEFORE. AND SO  
23 PREVIOUSLY TARGET HAD BEEN APPLYING FOR A HUNDRED  
24 PLUS OF THEIR STORES THROUGHOUT THE STATE.

25 SO THIS YEAR -- WE TOLD VONS LAST

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 YEAR THAT THEY COULD DO THAT AND THEY DID, AND  
2 THEY WON LAST YEAR. THIS YEAR WE MADE IT PART OF  
3 THE APPLICATION, GIVING THE CHAINS AN OPTION TO  
4 APPLY DIFFERENT WAYS, EITHER AS ONE ENTITY OR  
5 INCLUDING SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION, AND THEY  
6 WOULD GET AN AWARD CERTIFICATE FOR EACH SITE.

7 WELL, THIS YEAR, IF WE HAD NOT  
8 CHANGED THE RULES, THIS YEAR VONS AND TARGET HAD  
9 APPLIED LIKE TARGET HAD IN THE PAST, WE WOULD HAVE  
10 HAD OVER 700 WINNERS INSTEAD OF 278. BUT WE'RE  
11 MORE INTERESTED IN RECOGNIZING THE GOOD PLAYERS  
12 OUT THERE, THE BUSINESSES THAT ARE DOING GOOD  
13 THINGS, AND GIVING THEM THE RECOGNITION IN HOPES  
14 THAT -- WELL, BECAUSE THEY DESERVE IT AND TO  
15 STIMULATE INTEREST AMONG THE REST OF THE BUSINESS  
16 COMMUNITY. WE'RE MORE INTERESTED IN THAT THAN IN  
17 NUMBERS, BUT WE DO ALWAYS LIKE TO SEE THE PROGRAM  
18 GETTING OUT THERE BETTER AND BETTER.

19 THE NEXT GRAPHIC --

20 MR. HUNTS: THAT JUST SHOWS LAST YEAR'S  
21 MINUS TARGET.

22 MS. HENNESSY: THAT JUST SHOWS -- YEAH.  
23 IF WE HAD TAKEN TARGET FROM THE PREVIOUS YEARS.

24 MR. HUNTS: OR RATHER TARGET HAD APPLIED  
25 AS A SINGLE ENTITY AS OPPOSED TO THE --

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1                   MS. HENNESSY:   STILL 1994 WAS A GOOD  
2                   YEAR.   I NEED -- THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS WE NEED  
3                   TO KEEP ANALYZING THE WHOLE PROGRAM FOR IS TO SEE  
4                   WHAT WORKS AND WHERE WE CAN IMPROVE IT.

5                   CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:   ANOTHER WAY TO SHOW  
6                   WOULD BE TO BUILD A CHART THAT ADDS ANOTHER  
7                   SCREENED LINE -- BAR OR SOMETHING ABOVE FOR THIS  
8                   YEAR, THE NUMBER THAT YOU GAVE, WHICH IS WHAT IT  
9                   WOULD BE IF THEY HAD CONTINUED TO APPLY AS THEY  
10                  HAD IN PREVIOUS YEARS.

11                  MR. HUNTS:   IF WE REALLY WANTED TO PAT  
12                  OURSELVES.

13                  CHAIRMAN CHESBRO:   I JUST THINK IT'S  
14                  IMPORTANT FOR THE SAKE OF MOMENTUM AND SHOWING --  
15                  BUILDING SUPPORT THAT WE STILL HAVE ALL THOSE  
16                  INDIVIDUAL UNITS INVOLVED.   IT'S JUST THAT THEY'RE  
17                  BEING MEASURED SEPARATELY.

18                  MS. HENNESSY:   DO YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD  
19                  AND PUT THIS UP OF THE 18 WINNERS THAT WON FIVE  
20                  YEARS IN A ROW, SINCE IT'S NOT THAT LONG OF A  
21                  LIST.

22                  AND, YOU KNOW, THESE RANGE IN SMALL  
23                  BUSINESSES FROM THREE EMPLOYEES TO LARGER  
24                  BUSINESSES WITH UP TO 5,000.   AND WE HAVE AUTRY  
25                  MUSEUM OF WESTERN HERITAGE, BV, BIOWORLD PRODUCTS,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 EMCON, FENDER MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS, WHICH I HEAR IS  
2 USING THE WRAP LOGO ON THEIR PACKAGING. FIREMEN'S  
3 FUND INSURANCE IN NOVATO IS A BIG -- BESIDES  
4 WINNING FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS, ALL FIVE YEARS,  
5 THEY'RE COSPONSOR OF HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE  
6 EVENTS IN THEIR COMMUNITY. THEY'RE REAL  
7 PROACTIVE. GOOD COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT.

8 FLAIR CLEANERS. IT'S NICE TO HAVE A  
9 DRY CLEANERS REPRESENTED IN WRAP AT ALL, LET ALONE  
10 BEING A FIVE-YEAR WINNER. HERMAN MILLER,  
11 HEWLETT-PACKARD IN ROSEVILLE, MARIN CONSERVATION  
12 CORPS, THE NEW UNITED MOTOR MANUFACTURING, PACIFIC  
13 STORAGE COMPANY, PORTOLA PACKAGING, ST. JOHN'S  
14 REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, WARNER BROTHERS, WATERMAN  
15 INDUSTRIES, WORLDWISE INC., AND YOSEMITE  
16 CONCESSION SERVICES. THOSE ARE THE 18 FIVE-YEAR  
17 WINNERS.

18 AND IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR  
19 NEED ANY KIND OF ANALYSES OF ANY OF THESE NUMBERS  
20 OR ARE CURIOUS ABOUT ANY OF THE DETAILS, GIVE US A  
21 CALL OR AN E-MAIL, AND WE'LL DO WHAT WE CAN ON  
22 GETTING YOU THE INFO.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I HAD MADE ONE  
24 SUGGESTION TO STAFF, AND I'D BE INTERESTED IN  
25 FEEDBACK FROM THE OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS, THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SINCE WE'RE GOING TO BE IN CONTRA COSTA COUNTY,  
2 THAT WE TAKE THE, WHAT, FOUR --

3 MS. HENNESSY: THERE'S FOUR CONTRA COSTA  
4 WINNERS.

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: -- CONTRA COSTA ITEMS  
6 AND ASK -- IT'S A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT BECAUSE  
7 WE'D HAVE TO PROBABLY ADOPT A LIST EARLY IN THE  
8 MEETING IN ORDER TO ACTUALLY HAND OUT THE AWARDS  
9 BEFORE THE -- I MEAN HAVE THE ACTION DONE BEFORE  
10 WE HAND THE AWARDS OUT. BUT IT SEEMS LIKE WE  
11 OUGHT TO SEE WHAT INTEREST THERE IS FROM THOSE  
12 FOUR BUSINESSES IN ATTENDING THE BOARD MEETING IN  
13 ORDER TO PUBLICIZE, EVEN THOUGH THE OVERALL  
14 STATEWIDE PUBLICITY EFFORT, IT SOUNDS LIKE, WILL  
15 START IN SEPTEMBER. WE MIGHT AS WELL UTILIZE THE  
16 OUT-OF-TOWN MEETINGS FOR WHAT THEY WERE ORIGINALLY  
17 INTENDED FOR, WHICH WAS TO GENERATE LOCAL  
18 PUBLICITY AND INTEREST IN THE BOARD'S PROGRAMS.

19 SO IS THERE ANY DISAGREEMENT WITH  
20 THAT?

21 MEMBER GOTCH: I AGREE.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO IF YOU COULD --

23 MS. HENNESSY: WE'LL GIVE THEM A CALL AND  
24 INVITE THEM.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WORK WITH PUBLIC

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AFFAIRS TO TRY TO GET SOME NEWS ADVISORIES OUT SO  
2 THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO GENERATE SOME PUBLICITY  
3 FOR THEM TOO.

4 MR. HUNTS: THIS YEAR WE HOPE TO  
5 PROMOTE -- NOT HOPE, WE WILL BE PROMOTING WRAP  
6 WINNERS REGIONALLY RATHER THAN SENDING OUT A  
7 BLANKET PRESS RELEASE WITH THE ATTACHED LIST OF  
8 300 SOMETHING WINNERS. WE WILL BE DIVIDING IT UP  
9 INTO REGIONS, HIGHLIGHTING THE MORE NOTABLE  
10 WINNERS WITHIN EACH REGION, CUSTOMIZING THE PRESS  
11 RELEASE, AND THEN WORKING WITH OUR CONTRACTOR TO  
12 HAVE FOLLOW-UPS TO TRY AND GENERATE ADDITIONAL  
13 PRESS COVERAGE. AS YOU KNOW, WRAP ANNUALLY  
14 RECEIVES VERY FAVORABLE PRESS COVERAGE THROUGHOUT  
15 THE STATE. AND WE FIGURE WE CAN'T GET ENOUGH OF  
16 THAT.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, NOT ALL OF THESE  
18 BUSINESSES, BECAUSE SOME OF THEM ARE STATEWIDE IN  
19 NATURE, BUT FOR MANY OF THEM THAT REGIONAL OR  
20 LOCAL NEWS STORY IS MUCH OF THE BENEFIT THEY GET  
21 OUT OF THE WRAP AWARD IS THE SPOTLIGHT BRIEFLY  
22 SHOWN ON THEM FOR THEIR RESPONSIBLE POLICIES, YOU  
23 KNOW. SO I THINK THAT'S A GREAT MOVE TO GIVE THEM  
24 A LITTLE MORE LOCAL PUBLICITY.

25 MR. HUNTS: SEE WHAT WE CAN DO FOR THEM.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ANOTHER ASPECT THAT WE LOOKED AT  
2 THIS YEAR WAS WHETHER OR NOT WRAP OF THE YEAR AND  
3 THE ADDITIONAL PROMOTION OF WRAP OF THE YEAR  
4 WINNERS WOULD HAVE ANY IMPACT ON APPLICANTS. AND  
5 LINDA DID SOME ANALYSIS AND FOUND THAT NORTH STAR  
6 AT TAHOE, CORBELL CHAMPAGNE SELLERS, PACKARD BELL,  
7 NEC WERE -- APPLIED THIS YEAR AND WERE WINNERS.  
8 AND WE FIGURED THAT IS PROBABLY A RESULT OF THE  
9 '96 WRAP WINNERS AT SIERRA AT TAHOE AND BV AND  
10 HEWLETT-PACKARD BEING RECOGNIZED. SO WE THINK  
11 THAT COMPONENT IS PAYING OFF TO ATTRACT ATTENTION  
12 TO THE PROGRAM.

13 ONE OTHER THING, WE SET AS A GOAL  
14 FOR OURSELVES THIS YEAR TO HAVE REPRESENTATION  
15 FROM EVERY COUNTY IN THE STATE. AND -- WELL, WE  
16 FELL SHORT. THERE'S BEEN SOME TURNOVER. THIS  
17 YEAR WE DID PICK UP AMADOR COUNTY. WE LOST  
18 MADERA. WE PICKED UP MENDOCINO, PICKED UP NEVADA  
19 COUNTY, LOST SANTA BARBARA, SURPRISINGLY, BUT ONE  
20 OF THE REASONS FOR THAT IS THAT TARGET IS --  
21 APPLIES THROUGHOUT -- ACTUALLY TARGET IS BASED IN  
22 MINNEAPOLIS, SO THERE IS NO TARGET COUNTY. WE  
23 LOST SHASTA AND SUTTER, BUT PICKED UP TEHAMA AND  
24 TRINITY COUNTIES.

25 MEMBER GOTCH: OF COURSE, YOU WANT TO GET

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DEL NORTE ON THERE.

2 MR. HUNTS: YES. WE'LL BE ALL OVER THAT  
3 THIS COMING YEAR.

4 WE HAVE LOTS OF GOOD PLANS FOR THIS  
5 COMING YEAR ON HOW TO TARGET OUR PROMOTION BETTER  
6 AND PIGGYBACKING ON SOME OF OUR BUSINESS WASTE  
7 REDUCTION OUTREACH THAT OTHER PROGRAMS ARE DOING.  
8 SO WE HOPE THE PROGRAM CONTINUES TO GROW.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GREAT.

10 MEMBER GOTCH: GREAT JOB. QUESTION ON  
11 THE NONQUALIFIERS. AS FAR AS THE NONQUALIFIERS  
12 GO, IS THAT MOSTLY BECAUSE OF UNDER THE 75 POINTS  
13 OR WHATEVER THE CUTOFF IS, OR DO WE HAVE SMALL  
14 INFRACTIONS ON THEIR APPLICATION? THEY PERHAPS  
15 DID NOT COMPLETE THEM? WHAT TYPE OF --

16 MR. HUNTS: EVERY YEAR LINDA  
17 PAINSTAKINGLY REVIEWS THE NONWINNER -- WE DON'T  
18 CALL THEM LOSERS -- THE NONWINNER APPLICATIONS,  
19 THOSE THAT HAVE FALLEN BELOW THE THRESHOLD, THE 75  
20 PERCENT. AND ACTUALLY EVERY YEAR WE RATCHET UP  
21 THE BAR TO WHAT WE EXPECT BUSINESSES -- HOW WE  
22 EXPECT BUSINESSES TO PERFORM. LINDA REVIEWS THE  
23 APPLICATIONS JUST TO SEE IF THERE'S BEEN ANY  
24 ISSUES IN THE SCORING, IF THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION  
25 PERHAPS. AND I THINK FOR THE MOST PART THE -- HOW

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MANY DIDN'T WIN THIS YEAR?

2 MS. HENNESSY: THIRTEEN.

3 MR. HUNTS: -- 13, THAT IT WAS BECAUSE OF  
4 LARGE PORTIONS OF THE APPLICATION SIMPLY LEFT  
5 UNCOMPLETED.

6 MEMBER GOTCH: WHAT DO WE DO THEN? ARE  
7 THEY SENT BACK OR WE JUST --

8 MR. HUNTS: WE FOLLOW UP WITH THEM EACH  
9 YEAR. THIS YEAR WE WILL BE SENDING OUT  
10 PERSONALIZED NONWINNER LETTERS, IDENTIFYING WHERE  
11 THE DEFICIENCIES WERE AND OFFERING OUR ASSISTANCE  
12 TO, YOU KNOW, ENCOURAGING THEM TO APPLY NEXT YEAR  
13 AND HOW CAN WE HELP THEM.

14 MEMBER FRAZEE: THAT DOES. I WAS JUST  
15 NOTING THAT OF THE 13, FIVE WERE FROM SAN DIEGO  
16 COUNTY.

17 MS. HENNESSY: I FEEL BAD ABOUT THAT  
18 BECAUSE ONE OF THE WAYS WE TRIED TO DO SOME  
19 OUTREACH THIS YEAR WAS LOOK AT THE BUSINESS  
20 JOURNAL, THE TOP LIST WHEN THEY GIVE TOP 50,  
21 WHATEVER, SOME OF THOSE BUSINESSES THAT WE'VE  
22 LOOKED AT AS JUST BEING SECTORS THAT GENERATE A  
23 LOT OF WASTE LIKE HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS AND  
24 THINGS LIKE THAT. AND SO THERE WERE ANY LIST OF  
25 HOTELS, WE SENT OUT A POSTCARD TO.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1                               WELL, TWO OF THOSE HOTELS IN, I  
2 BELIEVE, IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY APPLIED, PROBABLY AS  
3 A RESULT OF US SENDING OUT THE POSTCARD, AND THEN  
4 THEY DIDN'T WIN. I FELT REALLY BADLY ABOUT THAT.  
5 AND WE HAVE A LOT OF REALLY GOOD INFORMATION ABOUT  
6 HOTEL WASTE REDUCTION AND WASTE PREVENTION.

7                               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: LOOK AT IT AS AN  
8 OPPORTUNITY.

9                               MEMBER GOTCH: IN FACT, FOR THE WASTE  
10 WISE, WHATEVER, I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT THE  
11 HOTEL/MOTEL WORKSHOP WAS CALLED, BUT DO WE HAVE A  
12 LIST OF THOSE HOTELS THAT HAD ATTENDED THE  
13 WORKSHOPS, AND ARE THEY ON THE MAILING LIST?

14                              MR. HUNTS: THEY WILL BE.

15                              MS. FRIEDMAN: THEY CAN BE THOUGH. WE DO  
16 HAVE A LIST OF THOSE WORKSHOP ATTENDEES.

17                              CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE DON'T WANT TO PUT  
18 ALL OUR RESOURCES INTO NONWINNERS. HOWEVER -- NO,  
19 SERIOUSLY I THINK IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO WORK  
20 WITH THEM TO GET THEM IN AND GET THEM QUALIFIED  
21 NEXT YEAR.

22                              MS. HENNESSY: WE'LL BE SENDING THEM A  
23 LETTER OFFICIALLY NOTIFYING THEM, AND I'LL FOLLOW  
24 THEM ALL UP WITH PHONE CALLS AND OFFER ANY OF OUR  
25 ASSISTANCE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MEMBER GOTCH: GOOD JOB.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YES. I'M VERY  
3 EXCITED. I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO ALL THE  
4 ATTENTIONS FOCUSED ON THESE BUSINESSES.

5 THE MOTION WOULD BE TO ACCEPT THE  
6 APPLICATION SCORING AND RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD  
7 THAT THE LIST BE DEEMED THE 1997 WRAP WINNER AWARD  
8 LIST.

9 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

10 MEMBER FRAZEE: AND SECOND.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND  
12 SECONDED, AND WE WILL, AS GENERAL DIRECTION TO  
13 STAFF, TRYING TO GET SOME OF THESE BUSINESSES  
14 BEFORE THE BOARD AT THE CONTRA COSTA MEETING --  
15 MARTINEZ MEETING. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL  
16 CALL. THE MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO. AND THAT  
17 COMPLETES NOT ONLY THIS ACTION, BUT THE --

18 MEMBER GOTCH: I HAVE SOMETHING FOR OPEN  
19 DISCUSSION, AND THAT IS TO WISH MR. CHESBRO HAPPY  
20 BIRTHDAY. TODAY IS HIS 46TH BIRTHDAY.

21 (APPLAUSE.)

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

23 WITH THAT, WE'LL CALL IT QUILTS FOR  
24 TODAY.

25 (END OF PROCEEDINGS AT 11:10 A.M.)

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.