

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.


Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board
 Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25)
 1001 I Street
 PO Box 4025
 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification <i>All respondents must complete this section.</i>			
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:			
Jurisdiction Name City of Ontario		County San Bernardino	
Authorized Signature Ken Jeske 		Title Public Works/Community Services Director	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing Ken Jeske	Date August 7, 2002	Phone (909) 395-2600	
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) Joe Minasso		Title Administrative Analyst	
Phone (909)395-2665	E-mail Address jminasso@ci.ontario.ca.us	Fax (909)395-2601	
Mailing Address 1425 South Bon View Avenue	City Ontario	State California	ZIP Code 91761

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

See Attachment - IIIA-1

2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.

The City is asking for a three-year extension to allow for all programs to be fully implemented. Residential Automated Green Waste and Commingled recycling Collection programs did not become fully implemented until April 2000. Educational and other collection programs have been implemented and it will take another reoprtng period to realize the increase in the diversion rate. It is believed once all programs have been fully implemented, end of calander year 2003, the City will be able to meet it's 50% mandate.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

See Attachment - IIIA-3

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need an Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %		27%	Non-residential %		73%
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
<p>Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm</p>					
Commercial Commingled Recycling Collection	Expand	Collection of commingled recycling started in July 2000. The City currently services approximately 200 businesses. Current tonnage reports show that approximately 200 more businesses will have to be added to reach diversion goals for this program. The City will expand program to include variable sized container rates. The City will use outreach through waste assessments to show businesses that it would be a cost savings to have recycling containers added to services than a straight refuse collection. The City is also targeting approximately 300 businesses to be added to a select load route. Currently the select load routes are being determined.	City Refuse Collection Fees	April 2003	5%
Commercial Greenwaste Collection	Expand	Collection of Greenwaste from commercial/industrial customers began in July 2000. This program only included one container size (40 yard bin). Since only one type of container was offered, not many businesses took advantage of the program. In April 2002 City Council approved rates for variable container sizes. Container sizes are 1.5 yard, 4 yard and 40 yard. After the Council approved rates collection routes were increased and greenwaste collection was offered to more business types. Outreach to landscape management companies and businesses did increase the amount of greenwaste collected and the City increased greenwaste collection routes. From tonnage data already collected from the just started program it is anticipated that the City must add approximately 40 more business to meet the diversion rate for this program. Container rates for this program are far less than for refuse. Customers are shown that they can save a substantial amount of money if they go to the greenwaste collection program.	City Refuse Collection Fees	April 2003	5%
Inert Recycling Collection Program	Expand	City began to divert inert materials (concrete, asphalt, wood) to an inerts processing facility for recycling in 2001. This program diverted approximately 2,500 tons. Will expand program in 2003. The City will expand to divert all inert loads to the processing facility. Outreach to construction companies and residents that are doing demo or remodeling. When ordering bins they will be instructed to keep bins clear of refuse so bins can be diverted to the processing facility. Incentive to customer is that the collection rate will be much lower if bin is clear of refuse.	City Refuse Collection Fees	December 2003	2.5%

Electronic Waste Recycling Collection Program	New	City began collecting electronic waste from residential customers as part of bulky item collection program. Electronic wastes are separated then brought to an electronic waste recycler. The goals set for this program is based on tonnage collected so far by this program. The program was started in July 2002.	City Refuse Collection Fees	July 2003	.5%
Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					13%
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					37%
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					50%

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
Backyard and On-Site Composting/Mulching	Expand	City conducts backyard composting workshops two times a year, 6 classes each period (12 classes a year). At the classes the City distributes backyard composters free of charge. The City will expand this program to add another set of classes starting in July 2002.	On-going
Business Waste Reduction/Recycling Program	Expand	Environmental Tech (City Employee) conducts outreach programs and conducts waste assessments. The program assists commercial/industrial customers identify recycling opportunities that may not be readily apparent. The expanded program includes variable container rates and increased assistance to businesses to assist in recycling efforts. Other activities planned include workshops for businesses, subjects may include reuse, buy recycled, and how to identify recycling opportunities. The City will also pass a C/D Ordinance requiring recycling plans for debris removal for medium to large projects.	Ongoing
Public Education	Expand	The City plans to expand its public education program greatly in 2001, 2002, and 2003. Currently the City produces a quarterly recycling newsletter, attends special community events, and places ads in local newspaper and radio stations. These efforts mostly target the residential sector. In the expanded programs the commercial/industrial sector would be targeted with following campaigns: 1. Promote expanded Commercial Greenwaste Collection Program by marketing the availability of the program to property owners and landscape maintenance companies. 2. Promote expanded Commercial Commingled Recycling Collection Program by marketing directly with building maintenance companies and increasing contacts through waste assessments. Also attend Chamber of Commerce mixers to interact with the business community. 3. Conduct a campaign promoting the City's Inert Recycling Collection Program. The City will also conduct expanded campaigns for reuse and buy recycled. School education programs will also be expanded.	Ongoing

Section IV B—GOAL ACHIEVEMENT					
Goal Achievement describes the activities the jurisdiction will use to achieve the ADR. <i>Attach additional sheets if necessary..</i>					
Residential %		Non-residential %			
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG/Central/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm					
Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs					
Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report					
Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated					
PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES					
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED		

Section V – PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.

CITY OF ONTARIO
SB 1066 TIME EXTENSION
Section IIIA

IIIA-1

The City of Ontario planned to meet the 50% goal in the year 2000. However, several factors prevented this. One factor is the tremendous growth that has taken place including the building of a regional mall, Convention Center, and additional terminals at the Ontario International Airport. It is difficult to measure what impacts these developments have had on the diversion number, although it can be assumed that it has had a negative impact.

Another factor is that the City of Ontario took a leading role in recycling prior to the implementation of AB939. The City collected newspaper, aluminum cans and plastic/glass bottles from the curbside as early as 1979. These programs required that the City make capital investments in vehicles and other equipment. Major changes in collection programs could not be implemented until this equipment was fully paid for. This program consisted of a three-bucket manual collection. It required that the residents separate recyclables and place all three buckets on the curbside. This program, effective at first, proved to be customer unfriendly and inefficient. This program did not capture the bulk of recyclable materials and did not include green waste.

A third factor that prevented the implementation of SRRE selected programs was litigation. The City was involved in litigation regarding disposal and transfer of municipal solid waste. This litigation prevented the City from entering into agreements for the processing of recycling and green waste processing. This litigation held up the implementation of commingled recycling and green waste collection programs for over a year. Once the litigation was settled the City moved quickly to implement new collection and educational programs for recycling.

During the period the City attempted to expand other diversion programs, however these efforts were not successful in raising diversion sufficiently to meet the 50% mandate. The city needed to implement new collection and education programs to reach the 50% mandate.

City staff prepared a plan of action and presented it to the City Council in a Town Hall Meeting in January 1999. This presentation included a plan for implementation of a comprehensive collection and educational program. At this meeting the Council approved the plan and authorized staff to move forward in implementing these programs. The implementation of these programs required a substantial capital investment (\$4,200,000) for new collection vehicles, 64,000 automated residential containers and 500 commercial recycling bins. A nearly 12% rate increase for all customers was required to implement recycling collection programs.

Educational programs started immediately. However, collection of residential and commercial recycling could not occur until vehicles and containers were ordered and delivered. Full collection did not occur until April 2000.

In April of 2001 the City implemented a Variable Rate Container Program for residential and commercial customers. Residents and commercial customers can have reduced rates if they opt to have smaller refuse containers.

The City hopes that by offering these programs it can reach the 50% mandate. Barriers to this mandate include contamination of recyclable materials and implementation of an effective multi-family recycling program. By implementing strong educational programs, the City will be able to overcome these barriers and meet the 50% mandate.

III A-3

Year 2000 diversion for the City of Ontario is 37%. The City implemented the following programs and raised the diversion by 11% (from 26% to 37%) between 1999 and 2000. The following programs become fully implemented in calendar year 2000:

- ❖ **Curbside recycling:** Added automated commingled recycling collection, which added materials collected (cardboard, magazines, etc) and automated green waste collection.
- ❖ **Commercial/Industrial recycling:** Hired an Environmental Tech to work directly with commercial/industrial customers to identify recycling opportunities. Implemented lower rates for recycling containers. Implemented greenwaste collection for some business types, limited to one size container.
- ❖ **Internal recycling:** City composts all green materials (tree and shrubbery clippings) and grasscycles. Requires that street sweeping contractor divert it's debris to a composting facility or inert material processor. Placed recycling containers at all desks and workstations to collect commingled recycling. Use of coffee mugs instead of Styrofoam cups. City purchases products with recycled content when feasible.

Public education and information programs have been enhanced to increase awareness of these implemented programs and better inform residents and business operators of the importance of diverting materials. This includes the production of a quarterly newsletter, newspaper ads, radio spots and involvement in community events. The City also joins with other local jurisdictions to enhance information and educational opportunities.

Programs that are being implemented and will be completed in the extension period include:

- ❖ **Variable container rates:** City will implement in April 2001 variable container rates for residential and commercial customers to encourage recycling.

- ❖ Expanded Commercial Greenwaste Collection Program: City will expand program to allow more business types and variable sized containers.
- ❖ Inert Diversion Program: City will divert all inert loads (concrete, asphalt and wood) to a recycling processing facility.
- ❖ Select Commercial Loads: City will identify commercial/industrial routes that are high in recyclable content, route them and process through a MRF.
- ❖ C/D Ordinance: City will pass a comprehensive C/D Ordinance.
- ❖ Public education programs will be expanded to promote the above listed programs.

The City has made great strides over the past few years in its recycling effort. Despite increased growth, the City has increased its diversion rate by 20% (1998 = 17%, 2000 = 37%). Once all programs have been fully implemented it is expected that the City will reach the 50% mandate.