

Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery

Sample Summary of Impacts for Product Management Programs

April 20, 2010

The table below may be expanded. This table contains some key data fields to use in comparing and analyzing product management programs. Use quantitative information, if available.

Population (insert year)*	
Total program cost (\$)	
Cost(\$)/capita	
Cost (\$)/unit collected	
Education/Communications (% of total program cost)	
EOL materials management (% of total program cost)	
Program administration (% of total program cost)	
Governance (program oversight) (% of total program cost)	
Total cost to local government (if applicable)	
Environmental	
Materials management ¹	
Product sold (mass or volume)	
Product collected (mass or volume)	
Product sold that is available for collection	
Percent collected (from available for collection)	
Percent reused	
Percent recycled	
Percent other (<i>e.g. landfilled, incinerated for energy recovery, etc.</i>)	
GHG emissions (tons)	
\$ invested in product design R&D	
Program effectiveness	
Progress against goals and targets	
Regulatory non-compliances	
Demonstrated improvements in product design	
Public awareness	
Public participation ²	
Total job change from traditional to EPR (+/-/=)	Base year used in comparison: _____
Local Government	
Product Stewards	
State Government	

¹ In some cases, it may be necessary to convert unit to material vs. product (e.g. use data on amount of cadmium recycled from an industry assn. to determine amount of batteries collected)

² Via survey results or other reported data (such as Form 303 in CA, which local jurisdictions must report <http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/hhw/Reporting/>)

Materials extraction, processing, & manufacturing	
Collectors & Recyclers	
Retailers	