

**SCORING CRITERIA:****HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE GRANT (15th Cycle) FY 2006/2007****CATEGORY 1: REGIONAL U-WASTE COORDINATION GRANTS****Applicants must meet the following criteria to be considered for funding:**

- A. Applicant is eligible as coordinating agency by demonstrated support from majority of stakeholder jurisdictions;
- B. The applicant will coordinate stakeholder meeting(s)/workshop(s) to plan for U-waste collection systems on a regional-wide basis; and
- C. Applicant will submit planning results on the required Regional/Countywide U-Waste Planning Summary form by September 30, 2007 to be shared with other jurisdictions.

**CATEGORY 2: COMPETITIVE INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS**

Applicants must score 80% of 88 points (70 points) for the General Review Criteria, to be eligible to receive points in the Program Criteria section. Applicants must score at least 70% (70 points) of the total possible 100 points to be considered for funding.

**GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA**

<b>Points</b>	<b>Description – Points per bulleted item are in parentheses</b>
<b>20</b>	<p><b>1. NEED</b> = Grant proposal clearly and convincingly describes and demonstrates why the project should be funded.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>(10) Program Background</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (4) Describe existing program and funding gaps in management of HHW in the proposed service area.</li> <li>○ (3) Documents service gaps with local population statistics, surveys, other research or data.</li> <li>○ (2) Include map(s) of the jurisdiction's current HHW facilities and contiguous jurisdictions HHW facilities showing underserved areas.</li> <li>○ (1) Indicate days and hours of current HHW operation versus need.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>(10) Proposed Project</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ (5) Description of the proposed project and how the project will significantly enhance or expand the existing program. Include site plans as necessary.</li> <li>○ (4) Describe jurisdiction need for grant funding. Estimate how much funding the project will require and description of agency's current funding capabilities to supply matching funding for the project. Description of what other funding sources are available, if any.</li> <li>○ (1) Description of how project will continue beyond the grant term.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>8</b>	<p><b>2. GOALS AND OBJECTIVES</b> = Describe in detail what will be accomplished by completing this grant project. Identify measurable target(s) that must be met to accomplish your project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (2) Explanation of project specific goals and objectives, including desired results, and how they relate to need.</li> <li>• (3) Quantification of goals – (how many people will be served, waste collected, etc.)</li> <li>• (3) Demonstrate continuing operation and maintenance costs are sustainable beyond the term of the grant.</li> </ul>

16	<p><b>3. WORK PLAN</b> = Specific list of all grant eligible activities and tasks that will be undertaken to achieve your goals and complete your project. Use template form.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (8) Includes a detailed description of each task required to achieve the objectives, the time frame, and who will perform the task.</li> <li>• (8) Activities and tasks delineated in the Work Plan are well thought through, achievable within the grant term, and within available resources.</li> </ul>
18	<p><b>4. BUDGET</b> = Discuss the costs (dollar figures) associated with activities necessary to complete the project. Use template form.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (5) Costs are broken down for the entire project into very detailed costs categories, and account for all items outlined in the Work Plan.</li> <li>• (3) Adequately describes source and amount of matching local funds, in kind services, other grants, or other additional project funding (if any).</li> <li>• (4) All budget backup documentation including quotes, estimates, equipment details are attached and clearly marked.</li> <li>• (6) Overall budget is realistic, understandable, and matches the project proposal. Proposed expenses (including match) are cost effective. Budget is likely to cover all costs for completion of project.</li> </ul>
9	<p><b>5. EVALUATION</b> = Measures the outcome of the applicant's project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (3) Baseline data is clearly established or defined.</li> <li>• (4) Methods for evaluating and modifying the project during implementation are thoroughly outlined on the Work Plan template.</li> <li>• (2) Describes the methods that will be used to evaluate the success of the project and to determine whether the objectives were accomplished.</li> </ul>
7	<p><b>6. APPLICATION COMPLETENESS, LETTERS OF SUPPORT, EXPERIENCE, ETC.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (4) Required forms and documentation are included: Maps, Facility Profile, Site Plans, Work Plan, Budget, Cost Estimates, Resolution, etc.</li> <li>• (1) Letters of support from all cooperating and participating governmental agencies, businesses and organizations.</li> <li>• (2) Applicant (including its contractors) and cooperating organizations have sufficient staff resources, technical expertise, and experience to successfully complete project.</li> </ul>
10	<p><b>7. EVIDENCE OF AN ENVIRONMENTALLY PREFERABLE PRACTICES AND RECYCLED CONTENT PURCHASING POLICY OR DIRECTIVE</b> = Policy is in place or will be adopted during the application period. Use template form.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (3) Policy is in place or has been adopted during the application period by the jurisdiction's executive officer or its governing body.</li> <li>• (3) Applicant provides evidence of sustainable environmentally preferable purchasing practices within the past year.</li> <li>• (2) Applicant provides evidence of environmentally preferable business practices within the past year.</li> <li>• (2) Applicant proposes to evaluate their environmentally preferable practices and purchasing policies annually. Evaluation should address the positive and negative features, including a plan to increase the percentage of environmentally preferable products purchased.</li> </ul>
88	<b>TOTAL POSSIBLE GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA POINTS</b>

<b>PROGRAM CRITERIA</b>	
<b>Points</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Statutory Requirements:</b> As authorized by Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 47200	
<b>3</b>	<p>If applicant is qualifies for either A or B, they receive 3 points each.</p> <p><b>A.</b> Establish new programs for HHW for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Rural areas</b> (county having a population of 200,000 or less); or</li> <li>• <b>Small Cities</b> (population of less than 35,000).</li> </ul>
<b>3</b>	<b>B.</b> Establish a <b>multi-jurisdictional</b> HHW program that addresses regional needs.
<b>Discretionary Criteria</b>	
<b>6</b>	<p>If applicant qualifies for either A, B, or C, they receive 6 points.</p> <p><b>A.</b> Construct or plan new sustainable HHW collection facility(ies);</p> <p><b>B.</b> Expand permanent HHW collection facility(ies) to accommodate U-waste; or</p> <p><b>C.</b> Innovative U-waste collection opportunities that promote private/public partnerships.</p>
<b>12</b>	<b>TOTAL POSSIBLE PROGRAM CRITERIA POINTS</b>
<b>100</b>	<b>TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE</b> <b>(Total of General Review and Program Criteria Points)</b>