

Discussion of Revising the Five-Year Plan for FYs 2015/16-2019/20

October 21, 2014

AGENDA

Welcome and Opening Remarks from Howard Levenson, Deputy Director, Materials Management and Local Assistance Division and Mark De Bie, Deputy Director, Waste Permitting, Compliance and Mitigation Division (5 minutes)

Report on Fund Status, Spending Authority, and Projections – Carlos Quant, Manager, Budget & Economic Forecasting Section (10 minutes)

Highlights of Staff's Recommendations for Revisions to the Following Program Elements (with up to 10 additional minutes allotted for questions after each section):

- Enhancements of the Waste and Used Tires Storage and Tire Hauler/Manifest Programs - Bill Albert, Tire Enforcement Section Manager and Keith Cambridge, Tire Hauler Compliance Unit Supervisor (5 minute presentation)
- Cleanup, Abatement, or Other Remedial Action Related to Tire Stockpiles Throughout the State of California – Wes Mindermann, Chief, Engineering Support Branch and Michelle Martin, Chief, Financial Resources Management Branch (5 minute presentation)
- Research Directed at Promoting and Developing Alternatives to the Landfill Disposal of Tires and; Market Development and New Technology Activities for Waste and Used Tires – Bob Fujii, Supervisor, Tires, Oil & Pharmaceutical Unit; Howard Levenson, Deputy Director (10 minute presentation)
- Other Submitted Ideas – Howard Levenson (5 minutes)

Open Discussion: (60 minutes)

CalRecycle will solicit additional comments on the above sections and also suggests that stakeholders consider addressing the following:

1. Goals – What is your perspective on whether CalRecycle should retain its goal of 90 percent diversion of waste tires from landfills by the year 2015, or modify it to reflect a recycling goal with a different timeframe?
2. Incentive Programs – What is your perspective on CalRecycle's proposal re: incentive payments versus grants or some other market development approach?
3. Energy Recovery – What is your perspective on the use of tires for energy recovery?
4. Proposed Research and Cleanup – What is your perspective on the proposed changes relative to research and cleanup programs?

Next Steps