To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 255-2555 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board
Office of Local Assistance, MS 8
8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento CA 95826

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification

All respondents must complete this section.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:

Jurisdiction Name	County
City of Auburn	Placer

Authorized Signature	Title
Thomas A. Fossum	Director of Public Works

Type/Print Name of Person Signing	Date	Phone
Thomas A. Fossum	08/31/01	(530) 823-4211

Person Completing This Form (please print or type)	Title
Joanna Belanger	Administrative Analyst

Phone	E-mail Address	Fax
(530)823-4211	jbelanger@auburn.ca.gov	(530)885-5508

Mailing Address	City	State	ZIP Code
1225 Lincoln Way	Auburn	CA	95610

Section II—Cover Sheet

This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.

1. Eligibility

Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?

☐ No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.

☒ Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.

2. Specific Request and Length of Request

Please specify the request desired.

☒ **Time Extension Request**

Specific years requested _2001, 2002, 2003_____

Is this a second request? ☒ No ☐ Yes Specific years requested. _ _____
(**Note:** Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)

☐ **Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (*Not allowed for Regional Agencies*).**

Specific ADR requested _ _____%, for the years_ _____.

Is this a second ADR request? ☐ No ☐ Yes Specific ADR requested _ ____%, for the years _ _____
(**Note:** Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)

Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend beyond January 1, 2006.

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

1. **Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.**

With limited staff the City has attempted to expand other diversion programs such as green waste, office waste, blue bag and educational programs but unfortunately these efforts were not successful in raising the diversion amounts to meet the 50% diversion mandate. On average 20,000 Blue bags were distributed each quarter in the year 2000 to City residents. Auburn's participation in this program is the highest in the County, however direct credit is not given for these diverted recyclables. There are Institutional barriers when identifying potential programs. The City is required to ask permission from the County and the Western Placer Waste Management Authority as to whether the program is compatible with the infrastructure of the material recovery facility or whether direct credit will be given for efforts in such programs. Since the County has limited programs, whatever the City attempts to achieve through recycling programs seem to be swallowed up in the mix of the other jurisdictions. Where at the end of each year the diversion percentage is divied up to each jurisdiction by the County per population size. Therefore it seems unfair that smaller cities carry the weight of the County. This is obviously a concern of the City and needs to be addressed to ensure that the implementation of City programs is not hindered by the politics of the Western Placer Waste Management Authority.

The City planned to meet the 50% diversion goal in 2000, after reaching a goal of 47% in 1999. However, the City has encountered problems in gaining information on allocated waste, and has been unsuccessful in obtaining weight slips to ensure that the allocation is for waste actually generated within the City. The City therefore needs time to implement a Survey Week with assistance from the wastehauler to get a better handle of Disposal allocations and to measure waste and recycling activities for business waste and residential waste within the City.

Problems have been experienced with regards to Tire Waste residue sent to the Los Angeles Landfill. The City of Auburn was allocated for all tire waste in the Auburn area, whereas Placer County Unicorporated was allocated none. Even though the waste in this particular case was minimal it is an apparent problem which seems to occur when any waste is taken from one jurisdiction to another.

2. **Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.**

The City of Auburn is asking for a three year time extension to attempt to gain further information and develop a better relationship between the Western Placer Waste Management Authority and other jurisdictions, thus getting a better handle on waste allocation. Hopefully this will ensure that waste is allocated to the jurisdiction where it is generated and that the diversion credit is allocated per actual waste diverted . The City anticipates participating in a green waste program, to take more of the Cities generated green waste source separated to the Material Recovery Facility. This program will have to be coordinated with the WPWMA to gain accurate reports of green waste diverted. There are funding restraints to be considered in implementing these programs, and therefore rate increase and infrastructure need to be explored along with changes to Franchise Agreements with the Waste Hauler.

The City needs this time to implement a Construction and Demolition Ordinance to place requirements upon Contractors working within the City to separate their waste and provide information of waste generated. Other plans are to conduct a comprehensive survey week to monitor waste coming into the waste stream and also to measure accurate tonnage allocations from the source to the material recovery facility. During this survey process the City will be surveying local businesses and organizations to determine waste generated, recyclables diverted and other reduction programs conducted privately. This will give the City a better understanding of other recycling activities which are reducing waste from entering the waste stream.

This time extension is needed due to limited staff and the lengthy processes involved in preparation for public hearings and comments and City Council Meetings to approve the implementation of proposed programs.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

Current Diversion is at 38%. The City has attempted to implement and expand the programs in the original SRRE to reduce waste at its source. Additional drop boxes were placed throughout the City, educational workshops and promotion of the blue bag recycling program were increased. The City also had relied upon the activites and recycling at the Material Recovery Facility to bring the numbers and percentage of diverted waste up to and beyond the required 50% diversion goal. It was apparent in the late 1990's that the diversion rates were not as high as anticipated. Funding and staffing for recycling programs was limited until 1999 when staff increased and funding sources were explored to add to and increase programs and recycling activities within the City limits. The City expanded the following programs:

Drop-Off locations: increased the number of locations and added bins for offices and businesses within the City.

Government Recycling Programs: Increased programs within City offices, to ensure sole purchase of recycled content paper and paper products, practice double-sided copying, use of email and paperless interoffice memo's, office paper recycling. Participated in the Department of Conservation City Grant Program and have implemented beverage container recycle receptacles for the general public to use.

Outreach: The City has increased marketing efforts to promote recycling and diversion opportunites available within both the City and County.

Education : The City has made efforts to reach local schools with recycling and composting information, competitions, available grants, CALMAX information and other available resources.

Composting: The City implemented a pilot Composting program, "Leaf It Out" where 30 toters were converted into backyard composting bins and made available to City residents to encourage backyard composting and lower the amounts of greenwaste in the waste stream. Pending the programs success, increased receptacles will be made available to City residents who wish to participate in the Backyard Composting system, in coincidence with a green waste bag program this could potentially increase a large percentage of the Cities generated waste.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

No additional information to be provided.

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %	56	Non-residential %	44

PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION
3000-CM-RCG	Expand	CURBSIDE RESIDENTIAL GREEN WASTE PROGRAM: Implement a new program for green waste with options of using a bagged system or a containerized system. A green waste bag program would be very similar to the blue bag program. Where green waste is separated from all other waste in a bag system. The alternative which may be explored pending funding and hauler equipment would be a separate can for a green waste program.	Franchise Hauler Fees	12/2003	7%
2010-RC-DRP	Expand	Add approximately 4 more drop off locations for recycling of newspaper, cardboard and plastic to other parts of the City. Residential areas of the City have grown and there is potential for more recycling by adding these drop off sites. Commercial businesses at the Auburn Industrial Park are also a target for these drop off sites.	Franchise Hauler Fees	06/2003	2%
2000-RC-CRP	Expand	Increased use of Blue Bag Program through educational and marketing programs.	Franchise Hauler Fees	09/2003 Ongoing	2.5%
4050-SP-WDW/ 4060-SP-CAR	New	The City plans to expand the requirements for drop boxes and recycling methods for use at construction and demolition sites. In coordination of the construction demolition ordinance this program will contribute to wood waste, concrete, asphalt and rubble diversion.	City Funds	12/2003 Ongoing	2%
		Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs			13.5%
		Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report			38 %
		Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated			51.5%

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES			
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
5020-ED-OUT	Expand	Increase marketing efforts for the Blue Bag, including educational workshops for the public, website materials and coordination of programs with County programs.	12/2003 Ongoing
5020-ED-OUT	New	Promotion of Curbside Green Waste Program, combination of education and marketing efforts.	08/2003 Ongoing
6020-PI-ORD	New	Implementation of Construction and Demolition Ordinances where contractors are required to sort wood metal, asphalt and concrete waste and report to the City their disposal numbers.	12/2003 - Ongoing
7020-FR-TST/7000-FR-MRF	New	Implementation of a Survey week to evaluate waste in the waste stream and monitor accurate tonnage sent to the material recovery facility, tracking the waste from generator to disposal. Track participation in Blue Bag program and work with WPWMA to gain direct diversion credit. Also this survey would gain accurate measurement and allocation of diversion and disposal at the Material Recovery Facility and Transfer Station.	12/2002