

(1) GHG reductions are a function of CERF X tons.

The first two scoring criteria total 40% and are tonnage driven. So larger projects are disproportionately benefited.

Permits and project readiness only count 10 points.

So under the proposed scoring, CalRecycle could end up with large projects that are not ready.

Please consider increased project readiness and reducing GHG and tons points.

John Davis

recyclingipa@gmail.com

www.urecycle.org

[\(909\) 797 7717](tel:(909)7977717)

(2) While the presentations appropriately emphasized "shovel ready", the scoring weighting do not reflect that emphasis. Eliminate tonnage (already captured in the GHG factors) and add those points to project readiness and permits. Consider criteria for secure feedstock, product outlets, and tipping fee reasonableness under project readiness and budget scoring.

Congrats on moving so quickly in anticipation of this funding.

John Davis

recyclingipa@gmail.com

www.urecycle.org

[\(909\) 797 7717](tel:(909)7977717)