

How will CalRecycle monitor that the promised additional composting actually gets additional material to a beneficial use for the term of the grant or loan? What requirements in the evaluation are pertinent? Is a contract persuasive?

Similarly, how will CalRecycle monitor whether conversion of a material into a fuel product actually continues for the term of the loan or grant? Again is a contract persuasive?

Composting already has limited markets, so should conversion of material into an alternate material including fuel get higher weight perhaps via greater GHG weighting?

Making products from the more difficult materials to divert should be the focus of the support. This makes the program more of a technology support for future diversion rather than support of additional industrial capacity in existing markets. Is that correct? Perhaps say that more explicitly.

Perhaps CalRecycle can encourage CARB into making AB32 protocols for using anaerobic digestion to create fuels for vehicle use. This is a massive potential market that could use every carbon atom going into a landfill.

Regards,

Rus Miller
949 235 8677 cell
jrusmiller@yahoo.com